

From: Cooke, Michelle  
Sent: 2/16/2012 5:18:06 PM  
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov); Halligan, Julie (julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);  
Redacted  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Pressure Restoration Revised Schedule

Thank you. This seems logical.

Michelle

**From:** Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 16, 2012 3:57 PM  
**To:** Cooke, Michelle; Halligan, Julie; Shori, Sunil  
**Cc:** Horner, Trina; McMahon, Allie  
**Subject:** Pressure Restoration Revised Schedule

Michelle, Julie, Sunil,

As you may recall, back in October, we submitted a 12-month schedule of pressure restoration requests for lines on which pressure reduction had been mandated (similar to Topock and the Peninsula lines).

We were scheduled to file our first restoration of this year in March on Line 131-30. However, given some permitting and engineering issues, we're running again into a situation where a March filing would have been a 'partial' filing. We've heard (clearly) your preference to avoid any piecemeal requests. Therefore, we're going to submit to the ALJ and parties a revised schedule either late tomorrow or Tuesday where our Line 131-30 filing is submitted in May in full.

In this filing of the revised schedule, we will also let parties know that we will give them at

least two weeks notice for any pressure restoration request that falls under this CPUC decision process so they can anticipate when it will be filed. We'll give you a heads-up much prior to that date and agree to a schedule that allows adequate review time for you.

Hopefully you'll find this makes sense and is an improvement.

Shilpa