

From: joyce.steingass@cpuc.ca.gov

Sent: 2/28/2012 9:48:39 AM

To: Redacted

Gibson, Bill (GE&O) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=WLG3)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Agenda/questions for Noon: FW: Phone call w/ Joyce, Jane, & Bill re: GRC

When: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 12:00 PM-1:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Jane & Bill to call Joyce (415) 355-5532

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

~~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*

Hi Jane and Bill,

I hope these frame the Q/A that I have,

It will help me to know what advice or directions CPUC already gave PG&E about the Senate Bill 705 (SB705).

Similarly, what directions/modified instructions has PG&E received about its upcoming NOI, as related to revised approaches, incorporating more explicit information about safety in the ratemaking process, etc.

Who has PG&E worked with on the above (name/division) -- I don't want to be at cross purposes from them.

How does PG&E envision responding to the new requirements promulgated by SB705 and PU Code 961/963? (can you briefly describe how you understand/interpret SB705)

If PG&E had a deadline of June 29, 2012 to comply and transmit its Gas Safety Plan that meets SB705/PUCode 961/963, could it, please elaborate?

Other elements of the anticipated OIR Scope Expansion (preliminary, subject to finalizing and voting):

A) CPUC will order both financial audits and management audits of every gas utility in California;

B) Judge wants to explore/augment the Safety Certification (swearing to, like September 2011 decision) along the lines of code of ethics of Professional Engineers.

C) Incorporates other legislation -- SB 44, SB 216, 56, and 8XX...

About GRCs or Gas Accords or other ratemaking proceedings:

Will gas utilities such as PG&E and the others, be amenable to altering the submittals without a full rulemaking to change the Rate Case Plan -- and if so, how flexible?

If CPUC Staff needs to alter what PG&E will transmit to CPUC with its NOI and its Formal Application, will PG&E willingly enter dialogue to frame what type of data and justifications are needed?

Intentions of CPUC to hire/augment its analysis during GRCs or other proceedings by hiring engineering consultants to perform various cost/benefit analyses. This consultant most likely will be overssen by the CPSD branch, not by DRA, but that could change.

Thanks again for having this informal discussion with me. I really appreciate it.

Joyce Steingass

Consumer Protection and Service Division

Gas Safety Branch -- Risk Assessment Unit

(415) 355-5532 office
(925) 639-1896 (cell)
JWS@cpuc.ca.gov

From: [Redacted] **On Behalf Of** Yura, Jane
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:00 AM
To: Gibson, Bill (GE&O); Steingass, Joyce; Yura, Jane; Steingass, Joyce
Subject: Phone call w/ Joyce, Jane, & Bill re: GRC
When: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 12:00 PM-1:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: Jane & Bill to call Joyce (415) 355-5532
Meeting requested by:
Joyce Steingass

Participants:
Bill Gibson
Joyce Steingass
Jane Yura

Purpose:
Informal Q and A related to GRC applications, etc.

Pre-Read Materials:
No pre-materials at this time.

Meeting Organizer:
[Redacted]
Executive Assistant to Jane Yura