

From: Louie, Stephanie

Sent: 2/9/2012 3:33:03 PM

To: Pagedar, Sujata (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=sxpg); Silva, Madeline (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=M6Sk); Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7); Wu, Josephine (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JWWd); Warner, Christopher (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJW5); Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd); 'dbr@cpuc.ca.gov' (dbr@cpuc.ca.gov); Walter, Stacy W (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWW9); 'kdw@cpuc.ca.gov' (kdw@cpuc.ca.gov); 'omv@cpuc.ca.gov' (omv@cpuc.ca.gov); 'dbp@cpuc.ca.gov' (dbp@cpuc.ca.gov); 'kar@cpuc.ca.gov' (kar@cpuc.ca.gov); 'kho@cpuc.ca.gov' (kho@cpuc.ca.gov); 'lmi@cpuc.ca.gov' (lmi@cpuc.ca.gov); 'edd@cpuc.ca.gov' (edd@cpuc.ca.gov); 'ako@cpuc.ca.gov' (ako@cpuc.ca.gov); 'mc3@cpuc.ca.gov' (mc3@cpuc.ca.gov); 'clu@cpuc.ca.gov' (clu@cpuc.ca.gov); 'SJP@cpuc.ca.gov' (SJP@cpuc.ca.gov); 'bfs@cpuc.ca.gov' (bfs@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: R07-05-025, Request for Parties to Agree to Proposed Request for Extension Under Rule 11.6

To All Parties in R.07-05-025:

On February 8, 2012, Assigned Commissioner Mark Ferron issued an Assigned Commissioner's Ruling and Amended Scoping Memo ("Scoping Memo") directing the three Investor-Owned Utilities ("IOUs") to submit opening comments on February 24, 2012 addressing the financial security requirements and re-entry fee provisions for small commercial and residential direct access customers. Under Commission Rule 11.6, a party can make a motion for extension of time by e-mail to the Administrative Law Judge. However, before making such a motion, the party requesting an extension is required to "first make a good-faith effort to ask [other] parties to agree to the extension." This e-mail complies with that requirement.

The IOUs intend to send an e-mail to ALJ Pulsifer tomorrow (2/10) requesting an extension for their opening comments from February 24, 2012 to March 16, 2012. The Scoping Memo directed the IOUs to present proposals for the determination of financial security requirements and related re-entry fees, as well as proposals for how to distinguish between large and small customers. Proposals for financial security requirements will likely take some time to develop considering the number of issues already raised in this proceeding regarding such requirements. In addition, all of the parties in this proceeding would likely benefit if the IOUs are able to develop a single, joint proposal. However, developing a single IOU proposal will take some time to coordinate among the IOUs and to allow sufficient time for internal review at each of the IOUs. Developing proposals for differentiating small and large customers will also take time because the IOUs will need to review their respective customer data to determine if there are ways to readily distinguish between customers. All of the

parties will benefit from carefully considered and developed proposals, which will take more than two weeks.

If you are interested in joining the IOUs' request for an extension from February 24 to March 16 for opening comments, please let us know by no later than 3:00 p.m. on Friday (2/10). The IOUs will indicate in their e-mail to ALJ Pulsifer the parties that support their request. If you have additional questions or comments, please let us know.

Thank you for your consideration.

Charles Middlekauff, PG&E

On behalf of the IOUs

NOTE: The recipient portion of this e-mail may not reflect all the addressees who are being served. The service list has been split into 20-addressee groups, to avoid rejection by CPUC and other e-mail servers.

Please note that the PG&E Law Department does not maintain the official service list for Docket No. R.07-05-025. If you would no longer like to receive documents regarding this docket, please contact the CPUC Process Office directly via email at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov or by phone at 415-703-2021 to remove yourself from the official service list.