From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: 2/13/2012 12:06:00 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov) (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: FW: DRA Discussion

FYI.

From: Sharp, Shelly

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 11:59 AM **To:** Cherry, Brian K; Frank, Steven (Law)

Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) **Subject:** DRA Discussion

Priv and Conf

Brian - DRA is not supportive of the commission hiring an independent consultant, even at our shareholders' expense, to provide testimony on our assets plans around safety. They threw up a few reasons as to why it wouldn't work procedurally which really didn't comport with the commission's use of Jacobs in the PSEP case. They suggested that we just hire someone to do the assessment – we pointed out that that approach wouldn't allay folks concerns regarding "independence" as articulated in the straw proposal and at the workshop. Heads up, it appears that DRA plans to get back to Marzia to let her know that they are not supportive.

Steve/John - Did I miss any key points?

S