

From: Doll, Laura
Sent: 2/23/2012 11:33:06 AM
To: 'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Where things stand

Great -- this is good news. I am waiting FINAL confirmation about the guy, but expect to have that soon.
I understand that you will develop the final agenda and that we need to get presentations to you for review by 3/2.
Progress!
Thanks

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 11:31 AM
To: Doll, Laura
Subject: RE: Where things stand

If you can confirm the availability of the independent guy, yes. I'm ready to go forward.

I will now assume control of the agenda, but you should have folks on your side proceed consistent with what is described therein. Plan to have slide decks provided to me by 3/2 so that I can review and ask for any additional information to be added to people's presentations to the extent that I think it would help. I am still deciding on whether CPSD would present at this point. That may be unclear til much closer to the end.

Thanks.

Michelle

From: Doll, Laura [mailto:LRDD@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 11:21 AM
To: Cooke, Michelle
Subject: RE: Where things stand

Thanks Michelle -- are you ready to lock down the March 7 date today?

From: Cooke, Michelle [<mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 10:47 AM
To: Doll, Laura
Subject: RE: Where things stand

The way that it is characterized in the agenda is consistent with what I'd like to see. I think that the nuts and bolts are what is needed even though it might be a bit dry...the nuts and bolts are the key here.

Michelle

From: Doll, Laura [<mailto:LRDD@pge.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 6:46 PM
To: Cooke, Michelle
Subject: Where things stand

Kirk Johnson's team has identified an independent weld expert/X-ray specialist named Redac Redacted who can speak to issues like: qualifications and training required for x-ray technicians, their liability requirements, the process for x-raying a weld and how they are reviewed, quality assurance, etc. He represents one of the third party firms we use, and he isn't confirmed yet for March 7 availability, but I am hopeful.

There is still some confusion here about the scope of the discussion. I am hearing concerns that the process info is "boring" but I don't consider that a particular problem. I took a stab at fleshing out an agenda -- I don't think we'd necessarily publish the detailed bullets, but I wanted to get something down to frame expectations. Would you take a look at this and let me know if this seems like we are going in the right direction?

BTW folks here are also working on a response to a 2/13 letter Jerry Hill sent to Chris Johns. We will share that with you when it's final. I believe some of the more general points in that letter, i.e., not specific to allegations, will be appropriate for the symposium.

Hope we can get this firmed up tomorrow!

Laura