

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 2/22/2012 10:56:23 AM
To: 'Tisdale, Matthew' (matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=[Redac])
Bcc:
Subject: RE: ED rate

Matt - [Redacted] is our resident expert on the application.

[Reda] - can you help Matt ?

From: Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 10:12 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: ED rate

It's a good start, but I'm going to need more detail. For example, what is the minimum and maximum forecasted revenue shortfall? How does PG&E intend to make up those revenue shortfalls? Also, which counties would currently be eligible? Also, the current ED rate has a cap (number of MWs), does this one? Why or why not?

John Hughes left me a message yesterday to discuss. I'll take him up on that ASAP.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 9:50 AM
To: Tisdale, Matthew
Subject: FW: ED rate

Matt - hope this helps explain our proposal.