

From: Tisdale, Matthew  
Sent: 2/22/2012 4:27:13 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: ED rate

Brian,

Can you please give me a call this afternoon or evening? Cmr Florio asked me to touch base with you on this filing ASAP.

Office: 415-703-5137  
Cell: 510-508-0761

Thanks

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2012 10:56 AM  
**To:** Tisdale, Matthew  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: ED rate

Matt - [Redacted] is our resident expert on the application.

Dan - can you help Matt ?

**From:** Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2012 10:12 AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** RE: ED rate

It's a good start, but I'm going to need more detail. For example, what is the minimum and maximum forecasted revenue shortfall? How does PG&E intend to make up those revenue shortfalls? Also, which counties would currently be eligible? Also, the current ED rate has a cap (number of MWs), does this one? Why or why not?

John Hughes left me a message yesterday to discuss. I'll take him up on that ASAP.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2012 9:50 AM  
**To:** Tisdale, Matthew  
**Subject:** FW: ED rate

Matt - hope this helps explain our proposal.