


From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 2/29/2012 9:47:27 AM  
To: Frank Lindh (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov); Paul Clanon [Redacted] Cherry,  
Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7); Bottorff,  
Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Russell City and My Living Room Window  
At least he dresses better Frank Lindh...

**From:** Paul Clanon [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:47 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]; Frank Lindh; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff  
**Subject:** Re: Russell City and My Living Room Window

I've brought in more competent legal representation to help defend Oakley.



But you do to shut Oakley!

Paul--who is representing the Commission?

-----Original Message-----

From: Lindh, Frank [<mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:55 AM

To: Paul Clanon  
Cc: Lindh, Frank; [Redacted]; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff  
Subject: Re: Russell City and My Living Room Window

Oh, I didn't think we needed to go to court to shut Sutter.

On Feb 29, 2012, at 7:33 AM, Paul Clanon <[pac@well.com](mailto:pac@well.com)> wrote:

52 dammit. Now I understand why you tried to file our Sutter opposition in the 10th Circuit.

On Feb 29, 2012, at 7:23 AM, "Lindh, Frank"  
<[frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov)> wrote:

53.

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:10 AM

To: Lindh, Frank; Paul Clanon; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff

Subject: RE: Russell City and My Living Room Window

So he has fooled you as well? How do you think he has survived at

PG&E for the past 52 years?

-----Original Message-----

From: Lindh, Frank [<mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:07 AM

To: Redacted; Paul Clanon; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff

Subject: RE: Russell City and My Living Room Window

I believe Mr. Clanon's email message, while intemperate and

sophomoric, qualifies as privileged under the common law.  
I am

referring, of course, to the "could prove embarrassing" privilege.

So, I don't think it will be admissible in the Ninth Circuit.

As for the "evil genius" suggestion, I have never heard anyone refer

to Tom Bottorff either as evil or as a genius.

-----Original Message-----

From: Redacted

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:02 AM

To: Paul Clanon; Lindh, Frank; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff

Subject: RE: Russell City and My Living Room Window

Hey, where is the picture of Cherry at the controls of the mothership

at

77 Beale carrying out the orders of the evil genius, aka, "The Man

Behind the Curtain", Tom Bottorff?

We are also taking odds whether this map ends up as Exhibit A in

Jewell Hargelroad's next filing in the 9th Circuit for her client,

Redacted

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Clanon Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:09 PM

To: Redacted; Frank Lindh; Brian K Cherry; Tom E Bottorff

Subject: Russell City and My Living Room Window

What chance do I have??

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or privileged and protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by mistransmission. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of this e-

SB\_GT&S\_0583790

mail and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your computer system. Thank you.