



Wholesale WDG Issues Summary Brief 12/2012

Introduction

California's policy approach to renewable energy development has been heavily towards a single, interconnected generation typically termed "central station". This is grounded, in theory, in the following assumptions:

- Assumption: Central station energy is less expensive than distributed energy.
- Assumption: Central station is the only market that can scale distributed energy.

Examining the costs and benefits of the different clean energy market that either of these assumptions holds true. Wholesale distributed generation (WDG) is, many circumstances, more expensive than central station, provides greater environmental and economic benefits, and can be deployed at a scale more quickly.

This new reality requires a meaningful shift in the Public Utilities' clean energy programs and regulations. In the WDG market should be provided a playing field in which it can transparently compete with central deploying effective energy towards California's clean energy goals.

Many of the active, relevant policies at the PUC are under the Ferron. This brief key considerations within the active proceedings.

Transmission Planning

R08-03-009, CPD Implementing the NAB 1954

The proposed decision perpetuates flawed transmission planning assumptions. the CPD allows cost recovery based on the transmission projects are necessary toward meeting RPS goals. But there is no requirement transmission project against potentially detrimental state.

FERC Order 1000 directs transmission providers consider alternatives to transmission project at all. Accordingly, the IOUs should be required to alternatives to central station before initiating any of their transmission expenses that they are seeking.

In considering WDG alternatives, the Commission should acknowledge the borne by ratepayers in the procurement of central station energy.

- Transmission Access** approximately 1.6 cents/kwh over a 20 year contract
- Transmission Project Access** 2 cents/kwh from various studies, including RETI and the costs of the Sunrise Power Project
- Network Services** much as 3 cents/kwh from recent interconnection study results

Locational Benefits of WDG*R11-05-005, Filing Implementation of WDG SB #32*

202

To the level of the playing field for WDG, the costs avoided by locating distribution grid infrastructure near load centers should be ~~appropriately~~ fairly compensated. Specifically, developers must be compensated for these "locational" Such compensation is not only required due to the "ratepayer indifference" but also satisfies the desire of the utility to defer investment. 202

Four types of locational benefits should be included:

- **Avoided Line Losses:** WDG that serves local load does not suffer the associated with transporting electricity. At today's leverage, estimated at 7.2%, it should be applied as a pricing add-on for SB 32 contracts.
- **Avoided Congestion Losses:** WDG that serves local load avoids congestion associated with the transmission system. At today's leverage, estimated at 7.2%, it should be applied as a pricing add-on for SB 32.
- **Avoided Grid Investments:** New WDG capacity can defer investment in infrastructure. The current Transmission Access Charges (TACs) are a proxy for the value of grid infrastructure deferral.
- **Avoided Grid Investments:** WDG capacity can defer investment in the Grid infrastructure. The E3 analysis conducted for SB 32 serves methodology to determine that applies in each case.

Merger of SCE and SPPV and RAM*Application #09015, Filing of the Merger of SPPV to RAM*

202

Initiated by an unverified cost savings analysis and based only on the Commission is poised to drastically reduce an existing WDG market scale, potentially interconnecting it. Although the Commission committed to the development of the market segment, the Proposed Decision market capacity to generation not allow WDG to compete on a level playing field.

The Commission should efforts by the IOUs to create more barriers see through the critically flawed reasoning that has perpetuated the note, larger scale generation development.

Modifying RAM Maps*R08-08-009, Filing Petition to Modify RD.10*

202

In this petition, SCE is seeking to drastically reduce the information that publish to support the siting of RAM projects. The claims that under CII and is critical for grid security have been easily refutable and dismissed. In order for SCE to be allowed to erect more barriers producers, they must satisfy a high burden of proof. The petition does so and has such the petition should be denied in whole.

202