

From: Rahman, Junaid  
Sent: 2/2/2012 10:00:10 AM  
To: Simon, Jason (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); [Redact]  
[Redacted]  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

Thanks so much [Redacted] I appreciate the communication and that you are on top of things.

Junaid Rahman | Regulatory Analyst | Energy Division

Renewable Procurement and Market Development

Phone: (415) 703-1189

Fax: (415) 703-2200

E-mail: [junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov)

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/energy/Renewables/index.htm>

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 02, 2012 9:59 AM  
**To:** Rahman, Junaid; Simon, Jason  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

It sounds like we'll leave the "proposed pricing" definition for the under negotiations projects the same and change the definition for the "current contract pricing" columns for projects under negotiations to the most current known price.

Apologies for the back and forth but I just want to make sure we are clear and give Energy Division exactly what you're asking for with no misunderstandings of data points.

Thanks,

Redacted

Pacific Gas & Electric Company  
Energy Proceedings

Redacted

**From:** Rahman, Junaid [mailto:junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, February 02, 2012 9:37 AM

**To:** Redacted; Simon, Jason

**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; Redacted

**Subject:** RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations


Redact,

"The assumption PG&E had been working under previously was that the proposed prices were to reflect the first price that came through the door. PG&E doesn't mind providing the most current pricing for the currently under negotiations projects but just wanted to note the definition inconsistency throughout those two columns."

Based on the definitions below, proposed prices should reflect the first price that comes through the door. For current pricing under negotiations we want the most current pricing. So I think we are good.

Thanks.

-Junaid



Junaid Rahman | Regulatory Analyst | Energy Division

Renewable Procurement and Market Development

Phone: (415) 703-1189

Fax: (415) 703-2200

E-mail: [junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov)

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/energy/Renewables/index.htm>

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 02, 2012 9:17 AM  
**To:** Rahman, Junaid; Simon, Jason  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

Also, I just wanted to note that for the Proposed Pricing columns, for the 2011 shortlist projects, if we use the current price, this does not match how all other executed projects in the PDSR were populated. The assumption PG&E had been working under previously was that the proposed prices were to reflect the first price that came through the door. PG&E doesn't mind providing the most current pricing for the currently under negotiations projects but just wanted to note the definition inconsistency throughout those two columns.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Energy Proceedings

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 02, 2012 9:11 AM  
**To:** 'Rahman, Junaid'; Simon, Jason  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

Junaid -

Thanks for the clarification about the proposed prices. As you stated, the date of CPUC approval for contracts is captured in the Resolution / Decision Date column (definition: Date of Issuance (CPUC Mailing Date)). In regards to the contract execution date, I wanted to clarify exactly what Energy Division is looking for, as many contracts have converted from QF to RPS and/or have several amendments. Does the following definition capture the date you're looking for?

Column: Contract Execution Date

Definition: The date on which the original RPS contract/PPA was executed by the IOU. If contract is converting from QF to RPS, put the execution date of the RPS contract. If contract was amended and restated, put the date the amended and restated contract was executed.

Thank you,

Redacted

Pacific Gas & Electric Company  
Energy Proceedings

Redacted

**From:** Rahman, Junaid [mailto:junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 01, 2012 5:24 PM

**To:** Redacted; Simon, Jason

**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; Redacted

**Subject:** RE: PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

Redacted

In answer to your question, we only want the most current price.

In regards to our discussion this evening, we'd like the following included in the PDSR:

Executed Date for Contracts (Date the proposed PPA was actually signed)

Date of CPUC approval for Contracts (This is covered by the CPUC Resolution Field)

After we get this info, the PDSR would be considered Final Final.

Thanks.

Junaid Rahman | Regulatory Analyst | Energy Division

Renewable Procurement and Market Development

Phone: (415) 703-1189

Fax: (415) 703-2200

E-mail: [junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:junaid.rahman@cpuc.ca.gov)

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/energy/Renewables/index.htm>

**From:** Redacted  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 01, 2012 5:17 PM  
**To:** Rahman, Junaid; Simon, Jason  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith; Redacted  
**Subject:** PDSR: Question re Proposed Prices for projects under negotiations

Jason and Junaid -

In our review of our PDSR projects, a question regarding what defines the proposed price for projects under negotiations came up (columns "Proposed Price TOD adjusted (\$/MWh)" and "Proposed Price pre-TOD adjusted (\$/MWh)"). In the past, PG&E would put the first and original price that came through the door for these columns. At this moment with PG&E's 2011 solicitation shortlist, we are in a unquiet situation. When reassessing the shortlist PG&E asked all developers to re-evaluate their projects, including prices. Some, but not all, actually adjusted their proposed price.

Our question is, in the PDSR for the projects on the 2011 shortlist, does Energy Division want to see the first and original price that came through during the initial bid process (late summer 2011) OR the revised proposed prices that will be included in our upcoming revised shortlist filing? We have both sets of prices but are unsure which you want to see in the PDSR.

If we go with the first and original price, we wanted to make sure there was no confusion as to why the PDSR and the updated shortlist filing have differing prices.

Please feel free to give me a call to discuss.

Thanks,

Redacted


Regulatory Analyst

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

77 Beale Street, MC B9A | San Francisco, CA 94105

Redacted

This email is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law, including the attorney-client privilege. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. If you have received this communication in error, please return the original message to the sender via email.

 Stay GREEN: Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.