

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: 2/15/2012 1:24:32 PM  
To: Doll, Laura (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LRDD)  
Cc: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);  
Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);  
Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov) [Redacted] r  
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Peet's, alas

It is to weep.

On Feb 15, 2012, at 1:15 PM, "Doll, Laura" <[LRDD@pge.com](mailto:LRDD@pge.com)> wrote:

It is with an extremely heavy heart that I tell you that Peet's won't work with us on getting a Peet's in our lobby because of some corporate policy that restricts the food they will provide. Apparently we want more than the overpriced (but good!) pastries and oatmeal, and Peet's is more of a one size fits all kind of business model.

I have thrown my tantrum to no avail and Conor patiently listened. Apparently some alternative vendor is being pursued, and I am told that he "used to work at Peet's." That is hollow consolation at best.

I think the CPUC had a similar issue way back when, and I had hoped you might have taken over coffee vendor regulation by now.

Still, we really appreciate your approval of the 851. It just won't be Peet's.

Laura