

From: Lafrenz, Donald J.
Sent: 2/2/2012 1:32:41 PM
To: [Redacted];
Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Advice letter 3896-E-B (AET filing)

Thanks, [Redacted].

John, I would follow the approach set forth in [Redacted] note.

If there is to be a separate clean-up advice letter, that AL can become effective no earlier than the date filed assuming it is filed as a tier 1 AL. The way to address the effective date of the New System Gen Charge would be to indicate in the revised tariff sheets that the charge is effective on 1/1/12 pursuant to the relevant Commission order(s).

-Don

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 12:48 PM
To: Lafrenz, Donald J.; Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE: Advice letter 3896-E-B (AET filing)

Don and I discussed how to approach this clean-up so as to add the needed 'New System Generation Charge' text and delineate it as effective 1/1/12. Don's recommendation is to file as Tier 1 with the effective date as of the date of filing with a footnote on the respective tariff sheets specifying that the New System Gen Charge is effective 1/1/12 per D.11-12-031. I am working on that filing now and hope to file it early next week.

[Redacted]

From: Lafrenz, Donald J. [mailto:donald.lafrenz@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 11:13 AM
To: Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Advice letter 3896-E-B (AET filing)

I have spoke with [Redacted] on a very similar issue. The problem is that a "clean up" filing cannot be effective on January 1, 2012. Please discuss the issue with [Redacted] before doing anything.

-Don

From: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) [mailto:J8HS@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 10:22 AM
To: Lafrenz, Donald J.
Subject: Advice letter 3896-E-B (AET filing)

Don

Please see the portion of an email note below. As you can see some of the language was left out of some of the various rate schedules. We would suggest filing a new separate "cleanup" advice letter to insert the missing text and serve it on the current proceeding list for the DA/CCA proceeding since these are the only parties who would have any interest in this minor change. Let me know if this is acceptable.

Just noticed that in the AET filing (Advice 3896-E-B), we didn't update the billing description in the various rate schedules to include the new system generation charge. Here is the language that appears just before the DA/CCA CRS in each rate schedule (I added the new system generation charge in red):

Transitional Bundled Service Customers take transitional bundled service as prescribed in Rules 22.1 and 23.1, or take bundled service prior to the end of the six (6) month advance notice period required to elect bundled portfolio service as prescribed in Rules 22.1 and 23.1. These customers shall pay charges for transmission, transmission rate adjustments, reliability services, distribution, nuclear decommissioning, public purpose programs, **New System Generation Charge**, the applicable Cost Responsibility Surcharge (CRS) pursuant to Schedule DA CRS or Schedule CCA CRS, and short-term commodity prices as set forth in Schedule TBCC.

Direct Access (DA) and Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) Customers purchase energy from their non-utility provider and continue receiving delivery services from PG&E. Bills are equal to the sum of charges for transmission, transmission rate adjustments, reliability services, distribution, public purpose programs, nuclear decommissioning, **New System Generation Charge**, the franchise fee surcharge, and the applicable CRS. The CRS is equal to the sum of the individual charges set forth below. Exemptions to the CRS are set forth in Schedules DA CRS and CCA CRS.