BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2009-2011 Low Income Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U 39 M)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009, 2010, and 2011 Application 08-05-022 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application 08-05-024 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application 08-05-025 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application 08-05-026 (Filed May 15, 2008)

ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-NINTH STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ON THE RESULTS OF ITS ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE AND CARE PROGRAM EFFORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERING PARAGRAPH 17 OF DECISION 01-05-033, ISSUED MAY 7, 2001

ANN H. KIM DANIEL F. COOLEY Law Department Pacific Gas and Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120 Telephone: (415) 973-6646 Fax: (415) 973-0516 e-mail: DFC2@pge.com

Attorneys for: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: February 21, 2012

]	BEFC	DRE TH	HE P	UBLIC UTI	LITIES	COMMIS	SSION C	F THE
				STATE OF	CALIF	ORNIA		
	~	1 1		0	1			

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2009-2011 Low Income Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U 39 M)	Application 08-05-022 (Filed May 15, 2008)
Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011	Application 08-05-024 (Filed May 15, 2008)
Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011	Application 08-05-025 (Filed May 15, 2008)
Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009, 2010, and 2011	Application 08-05-026 (Filed May 15, 2008)

ONE-HUNDRED AND TWENTY-NINTH STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ON THE RESULTS OF ITS ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE AND CARE PROGRAM EFFORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERING PARAGRAPH 17 OF DECISION 01-05-033, ISSUED MAY 7, 2001

In accordance with Ordering Paragraph 17 of Decision 01-05-033, the direction of Administrative Law Judge Gottstein at the July 11 and 28, 2001 status conferences, and the agreements reached between the utilities and the Energy Division on the format and content of the tables, Pacific Gas and Electric Company submits its attached one-hundred and twenty-ninth monthly status report on the results of its Energy Savings Assistance and CARE Program efforts, showing results through January 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

ANN H. KIM DANIEL F. COOLEY

/s/

DANIEL F. COOLEY Law Department Pacific Gas and Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120 Telephone: (415) 973-6646 Fax: (415) 973-0516 e-mail: DFC2@pge.com Attorneys for: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

February 21, 2012

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) AND California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE)

Program Monthly Report For January 2012

(February 21, 2012)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND CARE PROGRAM MONTHLY REPORT FOR JANUARY 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title

Page

1. LOW INCOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ...3

	1.1.	Energy Savings Assistance Program Overview	4
	1.2.	Whole Neighborhood Approach Evaluation	4
	1.3.	ESA Program Customer Outreach and Enrollment Update	7
	1.4.	Leveraging Success Evaluation, Including CSD	8
	1.5.	Workforce Education & Training	8
	1.6.	Miscellaneous	9
2.	CARE E	XECUTIVE SUMMARY	9
2.	CARE E 2.1.	XECUTIVE SUMMARY	
2.			9
2.	2.1.	CARE Program Summary	9

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND CARE PROGRAM MONTHLY REPORT FOR JANUARY 2012

This Low Income Programs Monthly Report complies with low income reporting requirements established in Decision (D.) 01-05-033, as updated by D.08-11-031, requiring the utilities to comply with reporting and program evaluation requirements previously established for the California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) and Energy Savings Assistance (formerly known as Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE)) programs. The utilities met with Energy Division staff to revise reporting tables and formats in compliance with the mandates of D.08-11-031 and now use the resulting Energy Division-approved monthly reporting format.

D.11-11-010 adopted bridge funding to June 30, 2012 for the CARE and ESA Programs to ensure continuity of the two low income programs until the Commission adopts a final decision on the CARE and ESA Program budget application for 2012-2014. This decision authorizes PG&E and the other IOUs to expend an amount not to exceed 50 percent of their respective 2011 budget level, from January 1, 2012 until June 30, 2012.

Table 1Bridge Funding Budgets beginning January 1, 2012- June 30, 2012Budget Summary

Utility	ESA Program	CARE	Total
PG&E	\$78,394,519	\$244,614,218	\$323,008,737
SCE	\$31,706,930	\$108,442,500	\$140,149,430
*SoCalGas	*\$39,128,134	\$71,244,819	*\$110,372,953
SDG&E	\$10,163,803	\$26,532,227	\$36,696,030
Total	\$159,393,386	\$450,833,763	\$610,227,149

*SoCalGas's bridge funding budget is augmented, and SoCalGas is authorized an additional \$6.06 million for its ESA program, for this bridge period, in addition to \$39,128,134 shown in Table 1 above.

1. Low Income Energy Assistance Program Executive Summary

The ESA Program provides free home weatherization, energy efficient appliances and energy education services to income-qualified PG&E customers throughout the Company's service area.

PG&E has offered energy efficiency programs to income-qualified customers in its 48 counties since 1983. The ESA Program's objective is to help income-qualified customers reduce their energy consumption and costs while also improving their quality of life. The

2009-2011 ESA Program authorized in D.08-11-031 is a resource program emphasizing long-term and enduring energy savings. It continues to serve all eligible low income customer populations by providing all feasible ESA Program measures at no cost to the customer through a direct-install, whole house approach. All housing types are eligible to participate and the ESA Program is available to both homeowners and renters.

1.1. Energy Savings Assistance Program Overview

The six-month bridge-funded 2012 ESA Program was adopted in D.11-11-010. PG&E's authorized program budget for the bridge period is \$78.4 million. The bridge program essentially authorizes the 2009-2011 program adopted in D.08-11-031 to be carried over through June 30, 2012.

PG&E's 2009-2011 ESA Program follows the policies and guidance given in D.07-12-051. D.07-12-051 established the following programmatic initiative for LIEE:

To provide all eligible customers the opportunity to participate in the LIEE programs and to offer those who wish to participate all costeffective energy efficiency measures in their residences by 2020.

PG&E's ESA Program has treated 7,014 customers in 2012.

1.1.1. Provide a summary of the Energy Savings Assistance Program elements as approved in Decision 11-11-010:

Energy Savings Assistance Program Summary for Month									
2012	Authorized / Planning Assumptions	Year-to-Date Actual	%						
Budget	\$ 78,394,519	\$ 6,749,187	8.6%						
Homes Treated	58,450	7,014	12%						
kWh Saved*	na	2,258,455	na						
kW Demand Reduced*	na	397	na						
Therms Saved*	na	131,125	na						

*Impacts for January 2012 are calculated based on West Hill Energy & Computing,2005 California LIEE Program Impact Evaluation, Final Report(December 19, 2007). PG&E will update impact reporting for its February 2012 Monthly Report to use the ECONorthwest, 2009 LIEE Program Impact Evaluation, Final Report, as previously agreed.

1.2. Whole Neighborhood Approach Evaluation

In D.08-11-031, the Commission described a Whole Neighborhood Approach (WNA) to ESA Program installation, under which the IOUs install all feasible

measures in the homes of eligible customers on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood basis. The Commission believes this approach will increase energy savings, reduce overhead and transportation costs, and encourage leveraging with local entities.

1.2.1. Provide a summary of the geographic and customer segmentation strategy employed, (i.e. tools and analysis used to segment "neighborhoods," how neighborhoods are segmented and how this information is communicated to the contractor/CBO).

PG&E identifies neighborhoods with large numbers of low income customers with the aid of census and other demographic information and correlates it with PG&E customer energy usage information, as directed in D.08-11-031. Key variables defined by the Commission in D.08-11-031 were high incidences of poverty and high energy use, as well as high energy burden and energy insecurity.¹

To identify potential neighborhoods to target for the low income programs, PG&E starts with its estimates of ESA Program eligibility by ZIP-7, derived from census data.² PG&E ranks ZIP-7 areas with the highest populations of estimated ESA Program-eligible customers³ in its service area, and correlates them with PG&E billing information, including information on PG&E customer energy use;⁴ the number of 48-hour shut-off notices sent; actual shut-offs over the last year; and

¹ Energy burden is the percent of income that goes towards payment of energy bills, and energy insecurity refers to customers experiencing difficulty in paying energy bills and actual or threatened utility shut-offs.

² The joint utility methodology, which derives the number of customers potentially eligible for CARE and ESA (formerly LIEE) services in each utility's service area, was adopted by the Commission in D.01-03-028, and is updated annually. Sources for this estimation include: the Commission's current guidelines; current year small area vendor marginal distributions on household characteristics; Census Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) 2000 and PUMS 2007 sample data; utility meter and master meter household counts; Department of Finance CPI series; and various Geographic Information System (GIS) sources. ZIP-7s are smaller breakdowns of postal ZIP Codes that are used for small area research in census data. They are the smallest geographical area for which reliable income and demographic data is available.

³ Customers with household incomes at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level are eligible for both ESA and CARE.

⁴ To calculate energy use, PG&E's electric customers were divided into low, medium and high tiers, based on their electric use at Tiers 1-2 (Low Electric Use below 130% of baseline), Tier 3 (Medium Electric Use from 131% to 200% of baseline) and Tiers 4-5 (High Electric Use above 200% of baseline). A customer is considered at the highest tier if they overused electricity during at least two months of the previous twelve month period. PG&E chose to use a two month tier trigger rather than a one month trigger to help filter out atypical usage patterns caused by unusual weather spikes, temporary home visitors, or other outlier events that are not indicators of normal household energy usage.

In order to accurately assess home energy use, a customer must have a minimum six month billing history to be eligible to participate in the program. Customers with less than a six month history will be re-evaluated after they have sufficient billing history.

PG&E also tiered gas usage and divided gas customers into Tier 1 below-baseline low usage customers, and Tier 2 above-baseline high usage customers. PG&E used the same two month trigger described above for electric tiering.

the number of customers in PG&E's Third-Party Notification Program. PG&E also correlates this data with the current CARE penetration rate, and the number of customers who have already participated in the ESA Program since 2002 (thus making them ineligible for participation at this time).

Finally, D.08-11-031 permits targeted self-certification and enrollment activities in areas of the IOUs' service territory where 80% of the customers are at or below 200% of the federal poverty line. (D.08-11-031, O.P.6) PG&E ranks ZIP-7 areas by percent of ESA Program estimated eligibility. As described above, areas with the highest estimates of eligibility, correlated with high energy usage, the number of 48-hour shut-off notices sent, actual shut-offs over the last year, and low previous ESA Program participation, are evaluated so that they can be selected first for the Whole Neighborhood Approach events. We anticipate that some of the areas selected will be over 80% ESA Program-eligible. These neighborhoods where over 80% of the customers are at or below 200% of the federal poverty level will be self-certified.

Using this information to help determine potential neighborhoods to approach, PG&E's ESA Program managers work with both internal and external groups to target and select neighborhoods. PG&E works closely with its ESA Program implementation contractors, CARE outreach contractors, PG&E local government relations and communications staff, and state Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) agencies to help establish contact with government representatives and neighborhood leaders.

In addition to neighborhoods identified and selected by PG&E for Whole Neighborhood Approach events, PG&E's contractors are also encouraged to suggest neighborhoods to target based on their knowledge of the areas in which they work. PG&E contractors are very familiar with the local neighborhoods in their assigned areas and currently use many strategies to enroll ESA Program customers, including canvassing neighborhoods; targeted direct mail; outbound calls; advertising in local venues; speaking to local groups; and outreaching at community events.

Where practical, PG&E coordinates ESA Program neighborhood events with scheduled CARE events such as "We CARE" or other community activities, including fairs or festivals, and publicizes them in advance through targeted mailings, door hangers, local community partners (e.g., civic and social leaders, churches, and low income service agencies), and local print, radio and television media. PG&E's outreach staff work to publicize and promote events with local community and civic leaders, and to enlist their support and partnership in making neighborhood events a success. PG&E contractors all carry door hangers to leave behind for customers that were not home at the time of the neighborhood visit. The door hangers include program and contact information so that the customer can schedule a visit.

1.3. ESA Program Customer Outreach and Enrollment Update

PG&E coordinates activities and advertising with other PG&E energy efficiency and rate programs likely to reach low income customers and service providers. For example, PG&E's ESA Program contractors are required to inform customers about other programs for which they may be eligible. Additionally, PG&E automatically enrolls customers participating in the ESA Program onto the CARE discount rate.

PG&E employees regularly provide information on the company's low income programs at community events throughout PG&E's service area. These presentations educate customers about energy efficiency and inform them about assistance programs and opportunities available to them in multiple languages, including English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese, Russian, Korean, and Hmong.

PG&E contracts directly with both community-based organizations (CBOs) and private contractors who provide a wealth of experience in the communities they serve. PG&E currently has 34 installation contractors including 11 CBOs and two appliance contractors who serve 48 counties. Of the 11 CBOs, six are LIHEAP agencies.

PG&E is currently developing five contracts with LIHEAP agencies that are not part of PG&E's ESA Program. PG&E coordinates with these LIHEAP agencies to install Energy Star® refrigerators in homes receiving PG&E electric service where the LIHEAP contractors have installed all other measures under the State Weatherization Program. This allows both the ESA Program and LIHEAP to leverage their resources and help additional low income homes. PG&E anticipates the contracts becoming effective in the next month.

1.3.1. Provide a summary of the Energy Savings Assistance Program outreach and enrollment strategies deployed this month.

PG&E and its implementation subcontractors perform outreach for the ESA Program that targets ethnic populations and other low income PG&E customers through a combination of bill inserts and direct mailings, outbound phone calls, text messages, public service announcements, ethnic and local media, community events and partnerships and other innovative approaches. Customers who call PG&E's customer service centers are referred to the ESA Program and assigned to a contractor in their area who sets up an appointment with them. PG&E subcontractors are provided access to a database containing current CARE customers in their contract area. The ESA Program also takes full advantage of CARE's successful marketing strategies by working closely with its outreach team.

In January, PG&E's ESA program rolled out the following direct mail initiatives:

! English/Spanish letters were mailed to 525 customers in Alpaugh, Allensworth, Earlimart and Live Oak who were not enrolled in the ESA Program.

In January, PG&E's ESA Program continued the following media campaigns:

! There were no media campaigns in the month of January.

In January, PG&E's ESA Program participated in the following outreach events where program representatives were available to answer questions and help customers enroll:

! There were no outreach events in the month of January.

1.4. Leveraging Success Evaluation, Including CSD

1.4.1. Please provide a status of the leveraging effort with CSD. What new steps or programs have been implemented? What was the result in terms of new enrollments?

PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and SoCalGas met with CSD staff, representatives from several LIHEAP agencies and CPUC staff in Downey on April 29, 2009 to discuss leveraging opportunities. Ideas discussed included: developing a shared repository database that could include customers served and customers on wait lists by utilities and LIHEAP agencies; and sharing utility information with LIHEAP agencies about ESA Program customers who are found to be over the ESA Program income guidelines or require HVAC or other services which the utilities are unable to provide under ESA Program guidelines. PG&E has attempted to schedule follow-up meetings with CSD; however, CSD has been unable to attend. In the meantime, PG&E has also had conversations with individual LIHEAP agencies to come up with workable strategies and discuss how we can work together to implement them. One such series of meetings culminated in the successful Sacramento Avenues Weatherization Project with Community Resource Project and SMUD in April 2010. Following the success of this effort, PG&E is working to expand this project to other locations and to implement more leveraging projects with individual LIHEAP agencies.

1.5. Workforce Education & Training

1.5.1. Please summarize efforts to improve and expand Energy Savings Assistance Program workforce education and training. Describe steps taken to hire and train low income workers and how such efforts differ from prior program years.

All contractors and subcontractors responsible for implementing the ESA Program are trained at the PG&E Energy Training Center (ETC) in Stockton California. Most of these ESA Program energy specialists and installation contractors are from the local communities in which they work. Because of the slightly reduced unit goals for the first half of the 2012 ESA Program, fewer contractor Weatherization Specialists have been hired to implement it. In various capacities 79 individuals have been trained to deliver the ESA Program year-to-date.

PG&E selected and hired a consultant to conduct an on-line training pilot project through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process authorized by D.08-11-031. This pilot will explore what ESA Program training currently conducted on-site at the ETC can be moved to a web-based and/or off-site curriculum without decreasing effectiveness or results. Specifically, the pilot will evaluate the effectiveness of selected topics for on-line training in lieu of sending all students to a single location for all elements of the certification program. The integration of an on-line training component may reduce the training costs of ESA Weatherization Specialists by the participating ESA contractor, which could lead to the training of more individuals.

1.6. Miscellaneous

Energy Savings Assistance Program Coordination with the Single Family Affordable Solar Housing Program (SASH)

PG&E's ESA Program works with Grid Alternatives to deliver ESA services to customers that have been approved to participate in the Single Family Affordable Solar Housing Program (SASH). Grid Alternatives refers SASH-eligible homes to PG&E on a regular basis. If the customer has not yet participated in the ESA Program, the customer is placed in the program. The home is assessed, and delivery of all eligible measures is expedited. PG&E then notifies Grid Alternatives of the measures that were installed in the home. Grid Alternatives uses this data in their calculations to accurately size the SASH solar unit to be installed. In 2012, the ESA Program has not yet completed treatment of any homes that were selected for SASH program participation. However, PG&E supplied ESA measure installation data for 32 SASH-selected homes that were treated through the ESA Program in prior years.

2. CARE Executive Summary

The CARE program provides a monthly discount on energy bills for income-qualified households throughout PG&E's service area.

To qualify for CARE, a residential customer's household income must be at or below 200 percent of Federal Poverty Guidelines, as required in D.05-10-044.

2.1. CARE Program Summary

To ensure continuity of the CARE Program until the Commission adopts a final decision on the CARE budget application for 2012-2014, a bridge funding period beginning January 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2012 was authorized in D.11-11-010 on November 10, 2011. The authorized bridge funding budget for PG&E's CARE Program is \$244,614,218 or 50 percent of authorized 2011 program budget.

CARE Budget Categories	Authorized Budget	Actual Expenses Year to Date	% of Budget Spent
Outreach	\$2,835,500	\$379,060	13%
Automatic Enrollment	\$30,000	\$0	0%
Proc / Certification / Verification	\$1,000,000	\$165,027	17%
Information Tech / Programming	\$200,000	\$3,400	2%
Pilots	\$0	\$0	0%
Measurement and Evaluation	\$0	\$0	0%
Regulatory Compliance	\$127,500	\$4,216	3%
General Administration	\$350,000	\$52,499	15%
CPUC Energy Division Staff	\$103,000	\$6,830	7%
Cooling Centers	\$114,500	\$(40)	0%
Total Expenses	\$4,760,500	\$610,992	13%
Subsidies and Benefits	\$239,853,718	\$74,897,877	31%
Total Program Costs and Discounts	\$244,614,218	\$75,508,869	31%

2.1.1. Please provide CARE program summary costs

2.1.2. Please provide the CARE program penetration rate to date

	CARE Penetration	
Participants	Estimated Eligible Participants	YTD Penetration Rate
1,530,262	1,663,102	92%

2.2. Outreach

2.2.1. Discuss utility outreach activities and those undertaken by third parties on the utility's behalf.

PG&E performs outreach for the CARE Program that targets income-qualified customers through a variety of innovative approaches.

PG&E contracted with 103 Community Outreach Contractors (COCs) throughout its service area. These COCs represent a variety of communities, including African-Americans, Hispanics, Asian Pacific Islander Americans (Chinese, Vietnamese, Laotian, Hmong), Native Americans, seniors, rural residents, agricultural workers, sub-metered tenants, and nonprofit living facilities. Year-todate, this initiative has generated 205 new enrollments.

PG&E maintained a CARE Facebook fan page to reach new customers of the web-savvy generation. The fan page served to increase awareness about the program and encouraged customers to apply online.

PG&E's CARE program enrolled eligible customers via automated phone calls, online enrollment, door-to-door canvassing, and local office partnerships:

- ! Automated Phone Calls PG&E utilized the CARE toll-free line and contracted with a third-party vendor to enroll new customers and recertify existing customers by telephone. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 2,330 new enrollments and 8,936 recertified customers.
- ! Online Enrollment PG&E utilized its website to enroll customers online. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 6,760 new enrollments.
- ! Door-to-Door Canvassing PG&E contracted with third-party vendors to conduct door-to-door outreach among urban and rural customers who have not responded to traditional outreach efforts. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 1,084 new enrollments.
- ! Local Office Partnerships PG&E partnered with local offices by placing self-service kiosks in the lobby. Customers were able to pick up, complete and deposit their CARE application while waiting in line. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 1,731 new enrollments.

In January, PG&E's CARE program rolled out the following direct mail initiatives:

- ! Bill inserts English/Spanish applications were inserted into 3.2 million customer bills.
- ! Recertification Direct mail pieces in English, Spanish, Chinese, and Vietnamese were sent to customers who had not recertified for CARE. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 282 re-enrolled customers.
- ! Welcome Packet Insert English/Spanish applications were inserted into new customers' welcome packets. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 1,416 new enrollments.
- ! 15-Day Notice Insert English/Spanish applications were inserted into customers' 15-day notices. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 330 new enrollments.

In January, PG&E's CARE Program continued to roll out the following direct mail initiatives:

- Zip code English/Spanish applications were mailed to 700,000 customers residing in low income zip codes within PG&E's service area. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 6,012 new enrollments.
- ! English/Spanish Direct Mail Applications were mailed to a targeted list of the following customer segments: Veteran (10,900), African American (1,400), Senior (70,900), Hispanic (28,500) and General (84,100). Yearto-date, this initiative has generated 322 new enrollments.

- ! English/Chinese Direct Mail Applications were mailed to a targeted list of 5,500 Chinese customers. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated 14 new enrollments.
- ! English/Vietnamese Direct Mail Applications were mailed to a targeted list of 2,000 Vietnamese customers. Year-to-date, this initiative has generated two new enrollments.

In January, PG&E's CARE program participated in the following outreach events where program representatives were available to answer questions and help customers enroll:

- ! 2012 Winter Career Fair in Santa Clara on 01/18/2012
- ! School Community Outreach in Richmond on 01/20/2012
- **2.2.2.** Describe the efforts taken to reach and coordinate the CARE program with other related low income programs to reach eligible customers.

PG&E currently exchanges data with Southern California Edison (SCE) Company and Southern California Gas (SCG) Company to automatically enroll their CARE customers who also receive PG&E service. PG&E also participates in data exchanges of qualified low income customers with the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) and Modesto Irrigation District (MID). PG&E provides natural gas in the SMUD and MID electric service areas and will automatically enroll qualified low income customers served by SMUD and MID in CARE.

PG&E utilizes an internal report to automatically enroll customers who receive LIHEAP and REACH payments. Year-to-date, 634 LIHEAP customers and 170 REACH customers have been automatically enrolled in CARE.

PG&E automatically enrolls customers that receive ESA Program services. Year-to-date, 1,163 ESA participants have been enrolled in CARE.

In addition, PG&E continues to integrate CARE and ESA outreach efforts to effectively provide eligible customers with the knowledge and tools to access all of PG&E's free energy services.

2.2.3. Recertification Complaints

D.08-11-031, Ordering Paragraph 90, directed the IOUs to report in their monthly and annual reports, the number of customer complaints received regarding CARE recertification efforts and the nature of the complaints beginning with the first report due on or about December 31, 2008.

PG&E reports that it received no complaints about CARE recertification in January.

2.3. Miscellaneous

D.08-11-031, Ordering Paragraph 64, granted the IOUs discretion about how to enroll eligible public housing residents in each of their service areas. In response, PG&E contracted with 12 Public Housing Authority (PHA) as a Community Outreach Contractor (COC) to enroll their eligible residents in the program.

3. Appendix: ESA Tables and CARE Tables

ESA- Table 1- ESA Program Expenses

ESA- Table 2- ESA Measure Installations and Savings

ESA- Table 3- Average Bill Savings per Treated Home

ESA- Table 4- ESA Homes Treated

ESA- Table 5- ESA Customer Summary

ESA- Table 6- Expenditures for Pilots and Studies

ESA- Table 7- Whole Neighborhood Approach

CARE- Table 1- CARE Program Expenses

CARE- Table 2- Enrollment, Recertification, Attrition, and Penetration

CARE- Table 3- Standard Random Verification Results

CARE- Table 4- CARE Self-Certification and Self-Recertification Applications

CARE- Table 5- Enrollment by County

CARE- Table 6- Recertification Results

CARE- Table 7- Capitation Contractors

CARE- Table 8- Participants as of Month-End

	A		В		С		D		E		F		G	1	Н	Г	1		J	к	L	М	
1											e 1 - ESA Pro	-		;									
2										Thr	ough Januai	ry 3'	1, 2012	_									
									_														
3	504 B			Aut	horized Budge	t	Total			rent	t Month Expe	ense				ar-T	To-Date Expe	nse			of Budget Spent YTD		
	ESA Program: Energy Efficiency		Electric		Gas	9055542	Iotai	7. C C	Electric	SSREET	Gas		Total		Electric	0.000	Gas	25.063	Total	Electric	Gas	Total	
5	- Gas Appliances			e e	8,049,312	¢	8,049,312	99276		\$	480,263	¢	480,263			\$	480,263	¢	480,263	0.0%	6.0%	6.0%	
7	- Gas Appliances	\$	29.845.564	\$	0,049,312	φ \$	29,845,564	\$	2.645.915	φ	400,203	\$ \$	2,645,915	\$	2,645,915	L.	400,203	φ \$	2,645,915	8.9%	0.0%	8.9%	
Ľ		L.		Ľ.		*	, ,	-	, ,			•			, ,	<u> </u>		Ψ	, ,				
8	- Weatherization	\$	3,826,787	\$	21,685,124	\$	25,511,911	\$	382,540	\$	2,167,729	\$	2,550,270	\$	382,540	\$	2,167,729	\$	2,550,270	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	
9	- Outreach and Assessment	\$	537,695	\$	289,528	\$	827,223	\$	52,071	\$	28,038	\$	80,109	\$	52,071	\$	28,038	\$	80,109	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	
10	- In Home Energy Education	\$	4,839,256	\$	2,605,753	\$	7,445,009	\$	502,426	\$	270,537	\$	772,963	\$	502,426	\$	270,537	\$	772,963	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	
11	- Education Workshops	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-													0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
12	- Pilot	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-													0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
13	- Cool Centers	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-													0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
14	Energy Efficiency TOTAL	\$	39,049,302	\$	32,629,717	\$	71,679,019	\$	3,582,952	\$	2,946,567	\$	6,529,519	\$	3,582,952	\$	2,946,567	\$	6,529,519	9.2%	9.0%	9.1%	
15																							
16	Training Center	\$	306,379	· ·	164,974	\$,	\$	32,001	\$	17,231		49,232		32,001	\$,		49,232	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	
17	Inspections	\$	1,923,067	\$	1,035,497	\$	_,,	\$	1,580	\$	851		2,431	\$	1,580	\$			2,431	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
18	Marketing	\$	646,163		347,934	\$	994,098	· ·	15,898	\$	8,561		24,459		15,898	<u> </u>	0,001		24,459	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	
19		\$	29,250		15,750	\$		\$	(251)		(135)		(386)	\$	(251)	<u> </u>	()		(386)	-0.9%	-0.9%	-0.9%	
20	Regulatory Compliance	\$,	· ·	87,500	\$		\$	10,286	\$	5,539		15,825	\$	10,286	\$	0,000		15,825	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	
21		\$	1	\$	681,231	\$	1,946,375	\$	81,367	\$	43,813		125,180	\$	81,367	\$	10,010		125,180	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	
	CPUC Energy Division	\$	32,571	\$	17,538	\$	50,110	\$	1,903	\$	1,024	\$	2,927	\$	1,903	\$	1,024	\$	2,927	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	
23	TOTAL PROGRAM	1 1														I			line second				
24	COSTS	\$	43,414,376	\$	34,980,141	\$	78,394,519	\$	3,725,736	\$	3,023,451	\$	6,749,187	\$	3,725,736	\$	3,023,451	\$	6,749,187	8.6%	8.6%	8.6%	
25											utside of ESA		ogram Budg	et									
26	Indirect Costs							\$	25,945	\$	15,309	\$	41,254	\$	25,945	\$	15,309	\$	41,254				
27														736917									
28	NGAT Costs									\$	154,050	\$	154,050			\$	154,050	\$	154,050				

02/21/2012

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Energy Savings Assistance Program and CARE Monthly Report

1	.										
3 4	Measures	Units	Quantity Installed	y ,		d & Expensed I Therms [5] (Annual)	nstallations Expenses [6] (\$)	% of Expenditure			
	Heating Systems Furnaces ^[7]	Each		-		-	-	0.00			
7	Cooling Measures										
	- A/C Replacement - Room - A/C Replacement - Central	Each Each	100	10,767	16	-	137,412	2.33			
	- A/C Tune-up - Central	Each		-		-	-	0.00			
1 2	- A/C Services - Central - Heat Pump	Each Each									
3	- Evaporative Coolers	Each	300	76,406	48	-	200,018	3.39			
4 5		Each Each									
	Infiltration & Space Conditioning	Laun									
	Envelope and Air Sealing Measures ^[1]	Home	4,328	36,409	7	38,314	1,790,099	30.38			
	~	Home Home	197 361	23,483 14,337	2 18	6,252 21,454	153,809 491,713	2.61 8.34			
	Water Heater Savings										
	Water Heater Conservation Measures ^[2] - Water Heater Replacement - Gas ^[7]	Home Each	5,046	136,023	30	65,105	305,773	5.19			
3	- Water Heater Replacement - Electric ^[7]	Each	-	-		-	-	0.00			
4	- Tankless Water Heater - Gas	Each									
5 6	- Tankless Water Heater - Electric Lighting Measures	Each									
7		Each	27,969	447,504	56 115	-	194,998	3.31 17.06			
	- Exterior Hard wired CFL fixtures	Each Each	12,971 2,661	739,347 42,576	-	-	1,005,134 220,051	3.73			
	- Torchiere	Each									
	Refrigerators Refrigerators - Primary	Each	610	473,128	80	-	496,392	8.42			
3	Refrigerators - Secondary	Each		,							
	Pool Pumps Pool Pumps	Each									
6	New Measures										
	Forced Air Unit Standing Pilot Change Oul Furnace Clean and Tune	Each Each									
9	High Efficiency Clothes Washer	Each									
	Microwave Thermostatic Shower Valve	Each Each									
	LED Night Lights	Each									
	Occupancy Sensor Torchiere	Each Each	1,133 1,046	45,207 213,269	5 21	-	64,495 80,838	1.09			
	Pilots		1,040	213,209	21	-	00,030	1.31			
	A/C Tune-up - Central Interior Hard wired CFL fixtures	Home Each									
8	Ceiling Fans	Each									
	In-Home Display Programmable Controllable Thermosta	Each Each									
1	Forced Air Unit	Each									
-	Microwave ^[8] High Efficiency Clothes Washer	Each	-	-	-	-	-	0.00			
3		Each	-	-		-	-	0.00			
	Customer Enrollment - Outreach & Assessment	Hama	7.014				70 120	1.10			
6	- In-Home Education	Home Home	7,014				70,130 681,675	1.19			
8	- Education Workshops	Participants									
0											
1 2	Total Savings/Expenditures			2,258,455	397	131,125	5,892,539	100			
3	Homes Weatherized [3]	Home	5,605								
4 5	Homes Treated										
6	- Single Family Homes Treatec	Home	5,725								
7 8	- Multi-family Homes Treatec - Mobile Homes Treated	Home Home	708 581								
9	- Total Number of Homes Treated	Home	7,014								
	#Eligible Homes to be Treated for PY ^[4] % of Homes Treated	Home %	58,450 5.61%								
2											
3	- Total Master-Metered Homes Treated	Home	335								
5	 Envelope and Air Sealing Measures may inc minor home repairs. Minor home repairs pre 						loor, caulking an				
7	[2] Water Heater Conservation Measures may i	nclude water h	ieater blanket, lo	w flow showerhe	ad, water heater	pipe wrap, fauc					
	[3] Weatherization may consist of attic insulatio[4] Bridge Period target for PY2012 Jan - Jun a			weatherstripping	 door, caulking, 	, & minor home r	epair				
0	[5] All savings are calculated based on the follo	wing sources:	(Will use 2009 E		ipact, 2011 June	version values i	n Feb.				
1 2	M&E is from Impact Evaluation of the 2005 Cali SCE by West Hill Energy & Computing, Inc			port submitted to							
3	M&E is from the Report on the Assessmen	of Proposed I	New Program Ye	ear 2006,							
4 5	LIEE Program Measures by LIEE Standard M&E is from the LIEE Measure Cost Effect			2003							
6	06-08 DEER and PG&E Workpapers.		i toport, ourie 2, i	2000.							
	[6] Costs exclude support costs that are include [7] Includes both Replacement and Repair	d in Table 1.									
	[7] Includes both Replacement and Repair.										
	[8] Microwave savings are calculated on the bas										
	the customer has an electric oven/cooktop, elec										

	A	В	С		D	E	F	G
1		•			· · · · · · ·			
2			Year-to-Date Ex	xpenses from E	SA Table 2			
3 4			Cooling Measures		\$337,430		5.7%	
5			Water Heating		\$305,773		5.2%	
6			Furnaces		\$005,775		0.0%	
7			Lighting		\$1,420,184		24.1%	
8			Refrigerators		\$496,392		8.4%	
9			Pool Pumps		\$0		0.0%	
10			Infiltration & Space Co	onditioning	\$2,435,621		41.3%	
11			New Measures	C C	\$145,333		2.5%	
12			Enrollment		\$751,805		12.8%	
13			Pilots		\$0		0.0%	
14								
15			Total		\$5,892,539			
16								7
17			ESA Voor to Da	ate Expenditures by	Monsuro Group			
18			ESA Tedi-to-Da	ate Expenditures by	measure Group			
19								
20 21								
21								
22			Enrollment _	Pilots 0.0% _ Cooling	Maaalinaa			
24			12.8%		Measures Water He 7% 5.2%			
25		A1				•		
26		Ne	w Measures 2.5%		Furn			
27					0.0)%		
28								
29 30					$\langle \cdot \rangle$	Lighting 24.1%		
30						24.170		
31								
32						1		
33						1		
34								
35					\mathbf{V}			
36 37		Infiltration & S	Space					
37 38		Conditioni						
30 39		41.3%			Refrige	rators		
40					8.4	%		
41				Pool Pum 0.0%	ips/			
42				0.0%				
43								
44								
<u> </u>								

02/21/2012

	Α	В						
	ESA Table 3 - Average Bill Savings per							
	Treated Home							
1	Pacific Gas & Electric Company							
2	Through January 31,	2012						
3	Year-to-date Installations - Expensed							
4		0.050.455						
5	Annual kWh Savings	2,258,455						
6	Annual Therm Savings	131,125						
L <u>-</u>	Lifecycle kWh Savings	29,163,549						
8	Lifecycle Therm Savings	1,374,414						
9	Current kWh Rate	\$ 0.1043						
10	Current Therm Rate	\$ 0.8262						
11	Number of Treated Homes	7,014						
12	Average 1st Year Bill Savings / Treated Home	\$ 49.01						
13	Average Lifecycle Bill Savings / Treated Home	\$ 460.64						

1 2 3 (acific Ga	4 - ESA H	omes Tr	eated	I							
2 3 (Pa	acific Ga		omes ir	eateo								
2 3 (- O Elect			l							
3 (County	There are a	Pacific Gas & Electric Company										
	County	Through January 31, 2012											
	,		gible Custome			Treated	Year to Date						
4													
		Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total						
5/	ALAMEDA	-	182,884	182,884	0	569	569						
	ALPINE	241	-	241	0	0	0						
	AMADOR	5,244	-	5,244	19	0	19						
	BUTTE	13,133	28,600	41,732	277	0	277						
	CALAVERAS	9,238	33	9,271	27	2	29						
		3,041	17	3,058	90	0	90						
	CONTRA COSTA	-	99,276	99,276	0	260	260						
	EL DORADO	6,491	7,293	13,784	93 64	0 409	93						
	FRESNO GLENN	198 4,780	143,370	143,568 4,780	04 134	409	473 135						
	HUMBOLDT	23,982		4,780	134	1	135						
	KERN	58,398	37,654	96,052	294	266	560						
	KINGS	8,779	243	9,022	204	0	27						
	LAKE	16,817	-	16,817	25	0	25						
	LASSEN	230	-	230	0	0	0						
	MADERA	5,987	13,644	19,631	73	0	73						
21	MARIN	-	24,657	24,657	8	34	42						
	MARIPOSA	3,150	20	3,170	2	1	3						
	MENDOCINO	17,634	23	17,657	21	0	21						
	MERCED	19,945	19,511	39,456	170	181	351						
	MONTEREY	5,525	47,222	52,747	43	90	133						
	NAPA	-	16,534	16,534	6	66	72						
		13,728	-	13,728	75	2	77						
		12,292	18,883	31,175	13	45	58						
	PLUMAS SACRAMENTO	3,509	- 173,856	3,509 173,856	0	0 385	0 387						
	SACRAMENTO	- 5,782	173,830	5,913	2	- 385							
	SAN BERNARDINO	3,782	55	436	0	0	0						
	SAN FRANCISCO		125,124	125,124	0	142	142						
	SAN JOAQUIN	10,309	80,307	90,616	34	470	504						
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	21,412	14,741	36,153	124	0	124						
	SAN MATEO		59,333	59,333	3	91	94						
	SANTA BARBARA	1,423	16,997	18,420	45	26	71						
38	SANTA CLARA	4,183	147,706	151,889	9	830	839						
	SANTA CRUZ	-	30,261	30,261	38	80	118						
	SHASTA	13,970	14,483	28,453	23	96	119						
	SIERRA	346	0	346	0	0	0						
	SISKIYOU	27	-	27	0	0	0						
	SOLANO	-	43,282	43,282	6	179	185						
		3,333	58,213	61,546	62	254	316						
		29,853	37,795	67,648	56	125	181						
	SUTTER	-	14,516	14,516	55	0	55						
	TEHAMA TRINITY	12,414 481	10 -	12,424 481	143 0	1 0	144 0						
	TULARE	7,644	- 680	8,324	58	3	61						
	TUOLUMNE	12,232		12,232	11	0	11						
	YOLO		27,902	27,902	34	38	72						
	YUBA	106	11,381	11,486	62	0	62						
_	Total	356,241	1,496,636		2,367	4,647	7,014						

02/21/2012

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	-	J	K	L	М	N	0	P	Q
1						ES		5 - ESA C				kΕ					
2							Т	hrough J	anuary 3	1, 2012							
3			Gas &	Electric			Gas	Only			Elec	tric Only				Fotal	
4		# of YTD		(Annual)		# of YTD		(Annual)		# of YTD		(Annual)		# of YTD		(Annual)	
5	Month	Homes	Therm	kWh	kW	Homes	Iherm	kWh	kW	Homes	Iherm	kWh	kW	Homes	Therm	kWh	kVV
6	January 2011	1,298	110,042	1,653,115	283.6	5,008	20,401	11,939	3.5	708	681	593,400	110.4	7,014	131,125	2,258,455	397
7	February 2011																
8	March 2011																i
9	April 2011																
10	May 2011																i
11	June 2011																i
12	July 2011																i.
13	August 2011																i.
	September 2011																i.
15	October 2011																
16	November 2011																
17	December 2011																
	igures for each mo nonth in ESA Table		December re	sults should app	roximate cale	ndar year re	sults. Therm	s and kWh sa	ivings are an	nual figures	. Total Ener	rgy Impacts for a	ll fuel types	should equal	YTD energy ir	npacts that are re	ported every

	А		В		С		D		E		F		G		Н		1	J		K	L	N	1
1							ES/	Tabl	e 6 - Exp	enditu	ires for	Pilots	and Stu	dies									
2									acific Ga														
3									J	lanuar	y 31, 20	12											
4			Author	ized	Bridge Y	ear Bu	ıdget		Curre	ent Mo	nth Exp	enses	3	E	Expenses	s Sine	ce Janua	ry 1, 20 [.]	12	% of I	Bridge Budge	t Spent	
5		E	lectric		Gas		Total	EI	ectric	0	Bas		otal	Ele	ectric		Gas	Tot	tal	Electric	Gas	Tot	tal
6	Pilots:																						
7	-On Line EP Training	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
8	City of San Joaquin	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1	0%	0%		0%
9	High Efficiency Clothes Washers	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
10		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
11																							
12	Total Pilots	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$	-
13																							
14	Studies:																						
15	Low Income Non-Energy Benefits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
16	2009 Process Evaluation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
17	Household Segmentation Study	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
18	Impact Evaluation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%		0%
19	Refrigerator Degradation Study	\$	29,250	\$	15,750	\$	45,000	\$	(251)	\$	(135)	\$	(386)	\$	(251)	\$	(135)	\$	(386)	-1%	-1%		-1%
20																							
21																							
22																							
23	Total Studies	\$	29,250	\$	15,750	\$	45,000	\$	(251)	\$	(135)	\$	(386)	\$	(251)	\$	(135)	\$	(386)	-1%	-1%		-1%
24																							
25																							
20																							

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Energy Savings Assistance Program and CARE Monthly Report

	Α	В	С	D	E
1			ESA Table 7 - PG&E		
2		Who	ole Neighborhood Approach		
3		Т	hrough January 31, 2012		
4	А	В	C	D	E
	Neighborhood (County, Zipcode,				
5	Zip+7 etc.) Targeted	Total Residential Customers	Total Estimated Eligible	Total Treated 2002-2010	Total Treated Year to Date
6					
7					
8					
9					
10 11				<u> </u>	
11				+	
13				+ +	
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25				<u> </u>	
23 24 25 26 27				<u> </u>	
2/				<u> </u>	
28 29			l	<u> </u>	
30				+ +	
31				+ +	
32				+ +	
33				<u>}</u>	
34				1 1	
35				1 1	

	A	В	С		D		E	F	G		Н	1		J	К	L	М
1					CARE Tab	le 1 -	CARE	Program E	xpenses -	PGa	&E						
2								nuary 31,									
_	Final	Autho	ized Budget (Jan	Jarv-J	une)		-	rent Month Expen		1	Y	ear to Date Ex	penses	6	% of E	Budget Spent	t YTD
4	CARE Program:	Electric	Gas	Ť	Total	EI	ectric	Gas	Total		Electric	Gas	<u> </u>	Total	Electric	Gas	Total
5	Outreach [1]	\$ 2,360,000	\$ 590,00	0 \$	2,950,000	\$	303,208 \$	5 75,812	\$ 379,02	0 \$	303,208	\$ 75	5,812	\$ 379,020	13%	13%	13
6	Automatic Enrollment	\$ 24,000	\$ 6,00	0 \$	30,000	\$	- :	\$-	\$-	5	\$-	\$	-	\$ -	0%	0%	0
7	Processing/ Certification/Verification	\$ 800,000	\$ 200,00	0 \$	1,000,000	\$	132,022	\$ 33,005	\$ 165,02	7 \$	132,022	\$ 33	3,005	\$ 165,027	17%	17%	17
8	Information Technology / Programming	\$ 160,000	\$ 40,00	0 \$	200,000	\$	2,720	\$ 680	\$ 3,40	0\$	2,720	\$	680	\$ 3,400	2%	2%	2
9																	
10	Pilots																
	Recert and PEV Non-Response Study	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	- :	\$-	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$ -	0%	0%	C
12	One-E-App	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	- 3	\$-	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$-	0%	0%	0
13	- Pilot	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	- 3	\$-	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$-	0%	0%	0
14	Total Pilots	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	- 3	\$-	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$ -	0%	0%	(
15																	
16	Measurement & Evaluation	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	- :	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	0%	0%	C
17	Regulatory Compliance	\$ 102,000	\$ 25,50	0 \$	127,500	\$	3,373	\$ 843	\$ 4,2	6\$	3,373	\$	843	\$ 4,216	3%	3%	3
18		\$ 280,000	\$ 70,00	0 \$	350,000	\$	41,999	\$ 10,500	\$ 52,49	9 \$	41,999	\$ 10	,500	\$ 52,499	15%	15%	15
19	CPUC Energy Division	\$ 82,400	\$ 20,60	0 \$	103,000	\$	5,464 §	1,366	\$ 6,83	0 \$	5,464	\$,366	\$ 6,830	7%	7%	7
20																	
21	SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT COSTS	\$ 3,808,400	\$ 952,10	0\$	4,760,500	\$	488,786	\$ 122,206	\$ 610,99	2 \$	488,786	\$ 122	2,206	\$ 610,992	13%	13%	13
22																	
23	CARE Rate Discount ^[2]	\$ 192,718,647	\$ 47,135,07	1 \$	239,853,718	\$	57,731,194	\$ 17,166,683	\$ 74,897,87	7\$	57,731,194	\$ 17,166	683	\$ 74,897,877	30%	36%	31
24	Service Establishment Charge Discount																
25																	
26	TOTAL PROGRAM COSTS & CUSTOMER DISCOUNTS	\$ 196,527,047	\$ 48,087,17	1 \$	244,614,218	\$	58,219,980	\$ 17,288,889	\$ 75,508,86	9\$	58,219,980	\$ 17,288	3,889	\$ 75,508,869	30%	36%	31
27																	
									-								
29						\$	4,108,776		\$ 4,108,77	6\$	4,108,776			\$ 4,108,776			
30						\$	7,063,272	\$ 2,148,430	\$ 9,211,70	2 \$	7,063,272	\$ 2,148	3,430	\$ 9,211,702			
31	- California Solar Initiative Exemption					\$	1,045,580		\$ 1,045,58	0\$	1,045,580			\$ 1,045,580			
32	- kWh Surcharge Exemption																
33	Total - Other CARE Rate Benefits					\$	12,217,628	\$ 2,148,430	\$ 14,366,05	8 \$	12,217,628	\$ 2,148	3,430	\$ 14,366,058			
34												Sector States					
35	Indirect Costs					\$	45,848	§ 11,464	\$ 57,3	2 \$	45,848	\$ 1'	,464	\$ 57,312			

The Outreach category includes expenses from Capitation Fee, Mass Media Advertising, Outreach, Exp.
 ^[2] The Authorized Budget for the CARE Rate Discount is based on the estimate filed in A.08-05-022.

39 Per D.02-09-021, PG&E is authorized to recover the full value of the discount through the CARE two-way balancing account on an automatic pass-through basis.

40 ^[3] PPP Exemption - CARE customers are exempt from paying CARE program costs including PPP costs for CARE admin. and the CARE surcharge.

41 Note: Any required corrections/adjustments are reported herein and supersede results reported in prior months and may reflect YTD adjustments.

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R
1						CARE	Table 2 - Er	nrollment, l	Recertificati	on, Attritio	on, & Penetra	tion - PG&I						
2								Thre	ough Januai	ry 31, 2012								
3							Gross Enrollme	nt						Enrol	llment			
4				Automatic	Enrollment							Total			Net	Total	Estimated	Penetration
		Inter-Utility 1	Intra-Utility ²	Leveraging ³	One-e-App ⁴	SB580	Combined (B+C+D+E+F)		Other Sources	Total	_	Adjusted	Attrition	Net	Adjusted	CARE	CARE	Rate %
5	2012	maer-ounty		00	Otte-e-App	38380	,	eapitation	*	(G+H+I)	Recertification	(J+K)	(Drop Offs)	(L-M)	(N-K)	Participants	Eligible	(P/Q)
	January	0	1,967	0	0	0	1,967	389	33,448	35,804	51,520	87,324	38,234	49,090	-2,430	1,530,262	1,663,102	92%
	February																	
	March																	
9	April																	
10	May																	
11	June																	
12	July																	
	August																	
	September																	
	October																	
	November																	
	December																	
18	YTD Total	0	1,967	0	0	0	1,967	389	33,448	35,804	51,520	87,324	38,234	49,090	-2,430	1,530,262	1,663,102	92%
10		•				•					•				•			

Enrollments via data sharing between the IOUs. 20

21 Enrollments via data sharing between departments and/or programs within the utility.

Enrollments via data sharing with programs outside the IOU that serve low-income customers.

One-e-App is a pilot program set up by The Center to Promote Healthcare Access (The Center) and PG&E. The pilot will occur within two PG&E counties to implement a strategy of automatic enrollment for low income customers into the CARE program based on customers' applications or eapplications for related low income health and social welfare services (e.g., MediCAL, Healthy Families, CALKids, etc.). The goal is to develop another means by which low income families can be introduced into the CARE program and, depending on the success of the pilot, possibly expand this pilot o other counties within PG&E's service area as well as to the other IOUs.

24

⁵Not including Recertification. Note: Any required corrections/adjustments are reported herein and supersede results reported in prior months and may reflect YTD adjustments.

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
1	ĺ	(CARE Table 3	3 - Standard	Random Ve	rification Re	sults - PG&E		
2				Throug	h January 3 [°]	1, 2012			
3	2012	Total CARE Population	Participants Requested to Verify	% of Population Total	Participants Dropped (Due to no response)	Participants Dropped (Verified as Ineligible)	Total Dropped ¹	% Dropped through Random Verification	% of Total Population Dropped
	January	1,530,262	7,405	0.48%			0	0.00%	0.00%
	February								
	March								
	April								
8	Мау								
თ	June								
10	July								
11	August								
12	September								
	October								
	November								
15	December								
16	YTD Total	1,530,262	7,405	0.48%	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
17 18 19		Its are tied to the m ed corrections/adjust				-			ond.

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	CARE Table 4 - C	CARE Self-Ce	ertification ar	nd Self-Recer	tification App	olications - PG	6&E
2			Through Jan	uary 31, 2012	2		
3		Provided ²	Received	Approved	Denied	Pending/ Never Completed	Duplicates
4	YTD Total ¹	4,300,909	91,948	75,182	2,027	14,739	12,683
5	Percentage ³		100.00%	81.77%	2.20%	16.03%	13.79%
6					•		
7	Footnotes:						
8	¹ Includes sub-metered customers.						
	² Includes number of applications provi by which customers obtain applications					thods. Because the	re are other means
	³ Percent of Received. Duplicates are Note: Any required corrections/adjustn			•		l mayreflect YTD adj	ustments.

	Λ 1	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		J
	A	D	_				_		<u> </u>	J
1			CARE	Table 5 - E						
2					h Januar	y 31, 2012	2			
3			timated Eligit			tal Participan			enetration Rate	
4	County	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total
	ALAMEDA	155,140	0	155,140	145,838	5	145,843	94%	n/a	94%
	ALPINE	0	239	239	0	20	20	n/a	8%	8%
	AMADOR	0	5,200	5,200	0	4,361	4,361	n/a	84%	84%
	BUTTE	27,108	12,792	39,900	25,944	13,088	39,032	96%	102%	98%
	CALAVERAS	33	9,200	9,233	42	6,104	6,146	129%	66%	67%
	COLUSA CONTRA COSTA	14 90,326	2,994 0	3,009 90,326	10 92,908	3,142	3,152 92,909	70% 103%	105% n/a	105% 103%
	EL DORADO	90,328	6,473	90,320	6,292	6,419	92,909	87%	99%	92%
	FRESNO	136,500	190	136,690	137,782	160	137,942	101%	84%	92 // 101%
	GLENN	0	4,689	4,689	107,702	4,764	4,765	n/a	102%	101%
	HUMBOLDT	0	22,958	22,958	0	21,970	21,970	n/a	96%	96%
	KERN	36,674	57,108	93,782	37,961	55,240	93,201	104%	97%	99%
	KINGS	239	8,712	8,951	146	8,386	8,532	61%	96%	95%
18	LAKE	0	16,660	16,660	1	12,866	12,867	n/a	77%	77%
	LASSEN	0	230	230	0	200	200	n/a	87%	87%
	MADERA	13,391	5,953	19,343	15,134	5,457	20,591	113%	92%	106%
	MARIN	21,715	0	21,715	15,522	0	15,522	71%	n/a	71%
	MARIPOSA	18	3,098	3,117	17	2,670	2,687	93%	86%	86%
	MENDOCINO	23	17,343	17,366	6	11,857	11,863	26%	68%	68%
	MERCED MONTEREY	19,159	19,088	38,247 48,289	19,144 35,368	19,283	38,427 40,824	100% 82%	101% 106%	100% 85%
	NAPA	43,147 15,333	5,142 0	40,209	12,624	5,456 0	40,824	82%	n/a	82%
	NEVADA	10,000	13,484	13,484	5	10,261	12,024	02 % n/a	76%	76%
	PLACER	18,301	11,672	29,974	14,500	8,946	23,446	79%	77%	78%
	PLUMAS	10,001	3,502	3,502	14,000	2,003	2,021	n/a	57%	58%
	SACRAMENTO	144,304	0	144,304	121,910	0	121,910	84%	n/a	84%
	SAN BENITO	127	5,649	5,776	88	4,995	5,083	70%	88%	88%
32	SAN BERNARDINO	55	381	436	51	327	378	94%	86%	87%
	SAN FRANCISCO	84,992	0	84,992	73,259	0	73,259	86%	n/a	86%
	SAN JOAQUIN	74,922	9,951	84,873	75,563	9,415	84,978	101%	95%	100%
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	14,407	21,233	35,640	7,554	16,569	24,123	52%	78%	68%
	SAN MATEO	50,240	0	50,240	44,901	0	44,901	89%	n/a	89%
	SANTA BARBARA	16,675	1,375	18,050	17,469	880	18,349	105%	64%	102%
	SANTA CLARA	123,884	3,923	127,808	120,508	3,230	123,738	97%	82%	97%
	SANTA CRUZ SHASTA	27,286 13,667	0 13,785	27,286 27,452	23,329 12,792	2 11,341	23,331 24,133	85% 94%	n/a 82%	86% 88%
	SIERRA	13,007	344	345	12,792	11,341	24,133	94% n/a	46%	<u>88%</u> 47%
	SISKIYOU	0	27	27	2	100	102	n/a	38%	38%
	SOLANO	39,744	0	39,744	40,909	0	40,909	103%	0/0	103%
	SONOMA	54,806	3,258	58,064	45,500	2,992	48,492	83%	92%	84%
	STANISLAUS	35,048	29,008	64,056	31,626	26,490	58,116	90%	91%	91%
	SUTTER	13,257	0	13,257	13,372	0	13,372	101%	n/a	101%
	TEHAMA	10	12,282	12,292	11	11,749	11,760	110%	96%	96%
	TRINITY	0	471	471	0	357	357	n/a	76%	76%
	TULARE	658	7,559	8,218	390	8,417	8,807	59%	111%	107%
		0	12,201	12,201	0	8,038	8,038	n/a	66%	66%
	YOLO	25,465	0	25,465	22,510	1	22,511	88%	n/a	88%
52 53	YUBA	10,884	106	10,989	11,509	114	11,623	106%	108%	106%
	Total	1,314,820	348,281	1,663,102	1,222,516	307,746	1,530,262	93%	88%	92%
54		1,314,020	J40,201	1,003,102	1,666,010	501,140	1,000,202	5370	00 70	3∠ /0
	[1] "Rural" includes ZIP	Codes classif	ied as such h	the Goldsmith	modification	that was devel	loned to identif	iv small		
		20000 000001			······			, oan		

56 [1] "Rural" includes ZIP Codes classified as such by the Goldsmith modification that was developed to identify small
 57 towns and rural areas within large metropolitan counties. ZIP Codes not defined as rural are classified as urban.
 58 Note: Any required corrections/adjustments are reported herein and supersede results reported in prior months and may reflect YTD adjustments.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1		C	CARE Table 6	6 - Recertific	ation Result	s - PG&E		
2			Thr	ough Janua	ry 31, 2012			
3	2012	Total CARE Population	Participants Requested to Recertify ¹	% of Population Total	Participants Recertified ²	Participants Dropped ²	Recertification Rate % (E/C)	% of Total Population Dropped (F/B)
	January	1,530,262	42,180	2.76%			0.00%	0.00%
	February March							
7	April							
8	Мау							
	June							
	July							
	August September							
	October							
14	November							
15	December							
16	YTD Total	1,530,262	42,180	2.76%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
17 18	¹ Does not include partic 2 Does not include to the	•			· ·	thed for a participa	at to record	
19 20	² Results are tied to the Note: Any required corr						•	djustments.

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitatio	n Confi	ractor	s - PG&F				
2	Through Janu				•			
2		ury 01, /		actor Type			ear to Da	to
3		(Cher		more if appli	cable)		nrollmen	
4	Contractor Name	Private		WMDVBE		Rural	Urban	Total
	Advancing Vibrant Communities, Inc.		020			0	0	0
	Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency		x			4	0	4
	American Canyon Family Resource Center		X			0	1	1
	Anderson Cottonwood Christian Assistance					0	2	2
9	Arc of San Francisco					0	0	0
10	Area 12 Agency on Aging					1	0	1
	Area Agency on Aging Serving Napa and Solano					0	0	0
	Arriba Juntos					0	0	0
	Asian Community Center Asian Community Mental Health Services		X			0	10	10
	Asian Pacific American Community Center		X X			0	1	0
	Asian Resources		\vdash			0	1	1
	Berkeley Housing Authority	X				0	2	2
	Breathe California of the Bay Area					0	1	1
19	Building A Generation					0	Ö	0
20	California Association of Area Agencies on Aging	Х			Х	30	109	139
	California Council of the Blind					0	0	0
	California Human Development Corporation					0	0	0
	Canal Alliance		X			0	0	0
	Capture the Dream, Inc. Catholic Charities Diocese of Fresno					0	0	0
	Catholic Charities Diocese of Fresho Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Stockton					0	0	0
	Catholic Council for the Spanish Speaking of the Diocese of Stockton					0	1	1
	Center for Training and Careers, Inc.					0		1
	Center of Vision Enhancement					0	0	0
30	Central California Legal Services, Inc.					0	0	0
	Central Coast Energy Services, Inc.					4	26	30
	Central Valley Opportunity Center					0	1	1
	Centro La Familia Advocacy Services					0	0	0
	Child Abuse Prevention Council of San Joaquin County					0	0	0
	Child Care Links					0	0	0
	Chinese Christian Herald Crusades Chinese Newcomers Service Center					0	0	0
	Communication Services, LLC					0	4	4
	Community Action Marin		x			1	65	66
	Community Action of Napa Valley	1	X	1		0	00	0
41	Community Action Partnership of Madera County, Inc.	1	X		Х	1	1	2
42	Community Pantry of San Benito County		X			0	0	0
	Community Resource Project, Inc.		Х		Х	0	20	20
	Community Resources for Independent Living		Х			0	0	0
	County of San Benito	ļ				2	0	2
	CSU Chico Research Foundation - Passages					0	0	0
	Davis Street Community Center Delta Community Services, Inc.		X X			0	1	1
	Disability Resource Agency for Independent Living		\vdash			0	0	0
	Disability Resource Agency for Independent Living					0	1	1
	Ebony Counseling Center					1	1	2
	Familia Center					Ö	Ö	0
	Filipino American Development Foundation					0	0	0
54	Folsom Cordova Community Partnership		Х			0	0	0
	Fresno Center for New Americans		Х			0	1	1
	Friends of Emeryville Senior Center					0	0	0
	Global Center for Success					0	1	1
	GOD Financial Plan, Inc.					0	7	7
	Greater Hill Zion Missionary Baptist Church Habitat for Humanity, Stanislaus					0	0	0
	Havia OF Humanity, StanSidus	1				0	0	0

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitatio	n Conti	ractor	s - PG&F		•	-	•
2	Through Janu							
2		ary 51, /		actor Type			ear to Da	to
3		(Chec		more if appli	cable)		nrollmen	
4	Contractor Name	Private				Rural	Urban	Total
	Heritage Institute for Family Advocacy					0	8	8
63	Hip Housing Human Investment Project, Inc.					0	0	0
	Housing Authority of Alameda County	Х				Ő	Ő	Ő
	Housing Authority of the City of Fresho	Х				0	0	0
	Housing Authority of the County of Kern	Х				2	2	4
	Independent Living Center of Kern County, Inc.					1	2	3
	Independent Living Services of Northern California Instituto Laboral de la Raza					0	0	0
	International Humanities Center dba The Companion Line					0	0	0
	KidsFirst					0	0	0
	Kimochi, Inc.					0	1	1
	Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.		Х			1	0	1
74	La Luz Bilingual Center					0	1	1
	Lao Khmu Association., Inc.		Х			0	4	4
	Marin Center for Independent Living					0	0	0
	Merced County Community Action Agency Merced Lao Family Community Inc.		X X	Х	Х	0	0	0
	Moncada Outreach	X				0	0	0
	Monument Crisis Center					0	0	0
	Mutual Assistance Network of Del Paso Heights		Х	Х	Х	0	1	1
	National Alliance on Mental Illness-Santa Clara County					0	0	0
83	National Asian American Coalition					0	0	0
	Native American Health Center					0	0	0
	New Connections					0	0	0
	North Peninsula Neighborhood Services Center Northeast Community Federal Credit Union					0	0	0
	NuGate Group					0	0	0
	Oakland Citizens Committee for Urban Renewal (OCCUR)		X			0	0	0
	Opportunity Junction		X			0	Ő	Ő
91	People of Purpose					0	0	0
	Plumas County Community Development Commission					1	0	1
	Plumas Crisis Intervention & Resource Center					0	0	0
	Project Access, Inc.					0	0	0
	REDI (Renewable Energy Development Institute) Redwood Community Action Agency		~			1	0	1
	Resources for Independence - Central Valley		X			2	1	2
	Resources for Independent Living Inc Sacramento					0	1	1
99	Richland School District	Х				0	0	0
	Rising Sun Energy Center		Х			0	0	0
	Ritter Center					0	1	1
	Roseville Housing Authority	X				0	0	0
	Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency Sacred Heart Community Service		X X		x	0	1	1
	Sacred Heart Community Service Salvation Army Golden State Divisional Headquarters					0	9 26	9 26
	San Francisco Community Power					0	5	5
107	Second Harvest Food Bank of Santa Cruz County					0	1	1
108	Self-Help for the Elderly		Х	Х	Х	0	5	5
	Shasta County Child Abuse Prevention Council		Х			0	0	0
	Silicon Valley Independent Living Center					0	0	0
	Southeast Asian Community Center		X			0	0	0
	St. Helena Family Center Suscol Intertribal Council					0	2	2
	Transitions Mental Health Association					0	0	0
	United Way of Fresno County					0	0	0
	Upwardly Global	1				0	0	0
	Valley Oak Children's Services, Inc.					2	1	3
	Vietnamese Elderly Mutual Assistant Association	1				0	0	0

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitatio	n Conti	ractor	s - PG&E				
2	Through Janua	ary 31, :	2012					
			Contr	actor Type		Y	ear to Da	ite
3		(Chec	k one or	more if appli	cable)	E	nrollmen	ts
4	Contractor Name	Private	СВО	WMDVBE	LIHEAP	Rural	Urban	Total
119	Volunteer Center of Sonoma County					0	0	0
120	West Valley Community Services		Х			0	1	1
121	YMCA of the East Bay West Contra Costa Branch		Х			0	0	0
122	Yolo County Housing Authority	Х				0	0	0
123	Yolo Family Resource Center					0	2	2
124	Yuba Sutter Legal Center					0	0	0
125	Total Enrollments and Expenditures					54	335	389
126								
127	Note: Any required corrections/adjustments are reported herein and sur	persede res	sults rep	orted in prior	months an	d may re	eflect YTI	C
128	adjustments.							

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 8 - Participants as of Month-End - PG&E							
2	Through January 31, 2012							
3	2012	Gas and Electric	Gas Only	Electric Only	Total	Eligible Households	Penetration Rate	% Change ¹
4	January	902,680	263,341	364,241	1,530,262	1,663,102	92%	-0.2%
5	February							
6	March							
7	April							
8	Мау							
9	June							
10	July							
11	August							
12	September							
13	October							
	November							
15	December							
16								
17	¹ No monthly variance of 5% or more in the number of participants has occurred in 2012.							
18	Note: Any required corrections/adjustments are reported herein and supersede results reported in prior months and may reflect YTD adjustments.							