

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
San Bruno Explosion and Fire Oil
Investigation 12-01-007
Data Request

Recipient:	Consumer Protection and Safety Division	
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE-CPSD_003	
PG&E File Name:	SanBrunoExplosion-FireOil_DR_PGE_CPSD003!	
Request Date:	March 30, 2012	
Due Date:	April 13, 2012	

- Q 1: Referring to page 15, please explain in detail the basis for the statement, “To date, no documents showing specifications for this segment [Segment 180] have been located.”
- Q 2: Referring to page 21, please identify and provide copies of any regulation, interpretation, or guidance document stating that individual pieces of gas transmission pipe must meet a minimum length standard.
- Q 3: Referring to page 22, please provide a detailed explanation supporting the statement, “Based on pipeline characteristics associated with the six pups, it is clear that, if a strength test that conformed to industry standards had been performed, it would have failed.” Identify the “industry standards” to which CPSD refers and provide copies of all documents and information that support the quoted statement and CPSD’s explanation.
- Q 4: Referring to page 23, please provide a detailed explanation supporting the statement, “PG&E did not follow ASA B31.1.8-1955 when it initially established the MAOP for the failed segment.” Identify and provide copies of all documents and information, including the applicable sections of ASA B31.1.8-1955, that support the quoted statement and CPSD’s explanation.
- Q 5: Please identify and provide a copy of any regulation, interpretation, guidance document or any other written statement by the Commission issued prior to September 9, 2010, that states directly or indirectly that the “grandfather clause” set forth in 49 CFR 192.619 was inadequate to protect public safety and/or that natural gas pipeline operators should not be permitted to establish pipeline MAOP based on that regulatory provision.