From:Bottorff, Thomas ESent:3/12/2012 9:18:46 AMTo:'Clanon, Paul' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)Cc:Bcc:Subject:RE: City of San Bruno

How true; but in this case it worked.

The news team added the following line to the release: "The utility will not seek to recover the contribution through insurance or customer rates."

Tom

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 9:09 AM To: Bottorff, Thomas E Subject: RE: City of San Bruno

If only you and I had the power people think we have!

From: Bottorff, Thomas E [mailto:TEB3@pge.com] Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 9:08 AM To: Clanon, Paul Subject: RE: City of San Bruno

I asked our communications team to make the change; we'll see if it happens

Tom

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 8:56 AM To: Bottorff, Thomas E Subject: Re: City of San Bruno For what it's worth, I think you're missing an opportunity to shape the initial reporting in a more positive (and more accurate) direction if you don't emphasize that this is shareholder money.

On Mar 12, 2012, at 8:52 AM, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <<u>TEB3@pge.com</u>> wrote:

Paul,

The press release doesn't discuss the source of the \$70 million, but the 8k is very clear: shareholders. I'll send you both when they're final.

Tom

From: Bottorff, Thomas E Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 8:36 AM To: Clanon, Paul (<u>paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov</u>) Subject: City of San Bruno

Paul,

Please call as soon as you can. We're announcing an agreement with the City of San Bruno this morning to pay \$70 million in restitution. This amount is in addition to a separate trust capped at \$50 million. Please keep confidential until the press releases go out; the information is considered to be material insider info.

Tom