

**PREPARED TESTIMONY OF RICHARD KUPREWICZ
EVALUATING PG&E'S PIPELINE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT PLAN
ERRATA TO PREPARED TESTIMONY
DATED JANUARY 31, 2012**

TURN

ERRATA TO

**PREPARED TESTIMONY OF RICHARD KUPREWICZ
EVALUATING PG&E'S PIPELINE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT
PLAN
DATED JANUARY 31, 201**

**PREPARED TESTIMONY OF RICHARD KUPREWICZ
EVALUATING PG&E'S PIPELINE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT PLAN
ERRATA TO PREPARED TESTIMONY
DATED JANUARY 31, 2012**

Page(s)	Paragraph(s)	Delete	Replace With/Insert
Table of Contents	3.3	should should	should
9	1 st	transmission pipelines ruptures	transmission pipeline ruptures
18	Last	Table 3	Table 2
19	Footnote 20	failures.	failures for gas transmission pipelines.
20	2 nd	>	≥
26	1 st	>	≥
26	1 st	operating greater	operating equal to or greater
26	Last	>	≥
27	Last	>	≥
32	1 st	county's	country's
35	Footnote 37	"Table 4-3,	Table 4-3,
36	Second Bullet	ASV's	ASVs
36	Third Bullet	8-miles	8 mile
36	Last Bullet	RCVS	RCVs
38	2 nd	Phase and pressure changes	Pressure changes
39	2 nd	1,150 tons	1,050 tons
45	1 st	diameter: doubling	diameter doubling
45	2 nd	publically	publicly
47	2 nd	causalities	casualties
48	1 st	release has been	release have been
48	2 nd	sec) are	sec) is
48	Last	409 feet	414 feet
49	2 nd	C-Fer model	C-FER model
49	2 nd	C-fer Technologies	C-FER Technologies
51	Title	should should	should
51	1 st	PG&E'S use of the PIR	PG&E's use of the PIR
57	Footnote 67	pipeline length would	pipeline length) would
57	1st	PG&E's needs	PG&E needs
57	2 nd	decision point relates	decision point (see Figure 11) relates
76	Last	over 65%	approximately 64%
78	Table 10 Note (a)	See Table 1	See Table 5