

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 3/23/2012 7:36:53 PM
To: 'pac@cpuc.ca.gov' (pac@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

You were right

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 07:35 PM
To: 'Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com' <Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com>
Subject: Re: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

That will go over like a lead a balloon

From: Joseph Ronan [mailto:Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 06:50 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

Sorry. \$14 million per year.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 5:25 PM
To: Joseph Ronan
Subject: RE: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

Are you saying a two year deal at \$4 million for 2013 and 2014 works for you ?

From: Joseph Ronan [mailto:Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 11:02 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

Something approaching \$17 mill for 2012 or a two year toll in the range of \$4 mill per year.

From: Cherry, Brian K [<mailto:BKC7@pge.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 10:56 AM
To: Joseph Ronan
Subject: RE: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

Depends on how you define reasonable...

From: Joseph Ronan [<mailto:Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 10:32 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: FW: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

So, what will we do now? Will Roy cooperate on a reasonable deal?

From: Lindh, Frank [<mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2012 9:07 AM
To: Joseph Ronan
Cc: Paul Clanon
Subject: Fwd: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

FYI

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Prosper, Terrie D." <terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov>
Date: March 22, 2012 5:11:39 PM PDT
To: "Prosper, Terrie D." <terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: CPUC Takes Action to Keep Sutter Plant Operating Through 2012: CPUC Press Release

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
PRESS RELEASE

Media Contact: Terrie Prosper, 415.703.1366, news@cpuc.ca.gov
Docket #: Res. E-4471

CPUC TAKES ACTION TO KEEP SUTTER PLANT OPERATING THROUGH 2012

SAN FRANCISCO, March 22, 2012 - The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) today took action to keep the Sutter Energy Center operating through 2012.

The CPUC directed Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), and San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) to negotiate and enter into a resource adequacy contract with Sutter Energy Center in Yuba City. This would ensure that the Sutter plant is operating through 2012, enabling the 10-year-old natural gas plant to remain in operation while the CPUC finalizes changes to its resource adequacy program.

Calpine, owner of the plant, told the CPUC in November 2011 that it was planning on retiring the plant in 2012 due to a lack of a resource adequacy contract. If retired, the plant would not be available for commercial operations in 2013 and later years. The California Independent System Operator (ISO) has predicted that the Sutter plant will be needed to help integrate renewable resources and phase out older, dirtier plants by 2017.

Said CPUC President Michael R. Peevey, “The plant is a relatively new, clean, efficient power plant that offers the type of flexible operational attributes California will need in the future. The ISO has said California will likely need this plant in 2017 to integrate renewable resources into the grid and to replace older, dirtier units that will be retiring. Our decision today includes maximum ratepayer protection. First, the maximum contract price was lowered from \$29 million to \$17 million. Second, an independent evaluator will oversee the negotiations and review Sutter’s confidential financial information. These measures together will ensure that we do not pay Sutter more than is necessary to keep the plant running this year while we work on fixing our capacity markets.”

The proposal voted on today is available at http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word_pdf/AGENDA_RESOLUTION/162002.pdf.

For more information on the CPUC, please visit www.cpuc.ca.gov.

###

Terrie Prosper
Director, News & Public Information Office
California Public Utilities Commission
Visit the CPUC on: [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or privileged and protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by mistransmission. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your computer system. Thank you.