ERRATA TO PREPARED TESTIMONY IN R.11-02-019

DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES
ERRATA TO JANUARY 31, 2012 DRA REPORT ON
THE PIPELINE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT PLAN OF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PIPELINE MODERNIZATION PLAN
PREPARED TESTIMONY OF THOMAS ROBERTS
IN RULEMAKING 11-02-019

March 28, 2012

ERRATA TO PREPARED TESTIMONY IN R.11-02-019

Page(s)	Starting Line No.	Delete	Replace With/Insert					
3	13	\$850 million	\$900 million					
11	12	four	six					
12	Figure 2	first "25,076"	36,665					
14	14	\$1.25 billion	\$1.36 billion					
21	FN 38	XX	70					
27	FN 62	XX	70					
27	FN 65	XX	70					
35	14	26,076	25,076					
40	16		be added (after 62 miles)					
47	2		relatively (before independent)					
47	20		most (before replacement)					
47	23	replacing	hydrotesting					
48	18		selected (before essentially)					
49	9	pages 12 and 13:	WP 3-32 and WP 3-583 of PG&E workpapers:					
51	5	installed	tested					
51	6	installed	tested					
53	6	hydrotest	replacement					
57	19	restest	replace and retest					
60	12	\$500k	\$160k					
62	5	(replacementonly)						
63	19	defined	derived					
63	20	\$60,000	\$45,000					
66	3		in the "TstSectQty" field					
66	4	filed	in the "TstSectQty" field					
66	4		new FN: Column AT of implementation plan included as attachment to DRA 16 Q1.					
67	FN 131	XX	70					
74	2		is to (before be)					
77	19		new FN: DRA used a \$95k cost for mob/demob for all projects. Refer to page 60.					
77	23		for pipe replacement (after request)					
87	23	95%	nearly 80%					
92	5		DRA (before does)					

ERRATA TO PREPARED TESTIMONY IN R.11-02-019

96	2	variability	possibility				
96	2	for Pipeline Replacement	lower than 117.5 % of the				
		capital costs and	Baseline costs for the				
		Strength Test expense	Pipeline Replacement				
		costs above the Baseline capital and 120 %					
		costs. Baseline costs for					
			Strength Test expense.				
97	2		over the baseline estimate				
			(after 18.8%)				
101	FN: 191		relative (before size)				
103	20		the (before PSEP)				
106	19	Three	Four				
106	20		AFUDC, (before				
			customer)				
111	8	Table 17	Revised Table 17				
112	13	Section 4 of this	This				
113	12		bases for (before cost)				

Table 17 was:

Source	Ratepayers				PG&E			Total						
	REPL	Hydro	Other*	Total	REPL	PL Hydro	Total	REPL	Hydro	Other*	Total	% Paidby Ratepayers	Ratepaye Adjustmer	
	Million	Million	Million	Million										
PG&E Testimony	\$834.2	\$393.2	\$108.4	\$1,335.8	\$ 9.7	\$11.8	\$21.5	\$843.9	\$404.9	\$108.4	\$1,357.3	90.4%	\$ -	0.0%
PG&E per DRA model	\$805.0	\$399.3	\$108.4	\$1,312.7	\$ 9.5	\$11.8	\$21.3	\$814.4	\$411.1	\$108.4	\$1,334.0	90.3%	\$ (23.	0) -1.7%
DRA Testimony	\$355.5	\$ 20.6	\$108.4	\$ 484.5	\$18.9	\$59.6	\$78.5	\$374.4	\$ 80.3	\$108.4	\$ 563.1	66.8%	\$ (851.	2) -63.7%

Revised Table 17 is:

	Ratepayers				PG&E			Total					.		
Source	REPL	Hydro	Other*	Total	REPL	Hydro	Total	REPL	Hydro	Other*	Total	% Paid by	Ratepayer Adjustment		% Adjst.
	Million	Million	Million	Million	11	,		11	,				, .a.j		714,011
PG&E Testimony	\$834.2	\$393.2	\$108.4	\$1,335.8	\$ 9.7	\$11.8	\$ 21.5	\$843.9	\$404.9	\$108.4	\$1,357.3	90.4%	\$	_	0.0%
PG&E per DRA model	\$805.0	\$399.3	\$108.4	\$1,312.7	\$ 9.5	\$11.8	\$ 21.3	\$814.4	\$411.1	\$108.4	\$1,334.0	90.3%	\$	(23.0)	-1.7%
DRA Testimony	\$297.7	\$ 20.6	\$108.4	\$ 426.8	\$76.6	\$59.6	\$136.3	\$374.4	\$ 80.3	\$108.4	\$ 563.1	56.5%	\$	(909.0)	-68.0%