

From: Berdge, Patrick S.
Sent: 4/13/2012 4:01:55 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0);
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

I think we should present our agreed schedule to the ALJ prior to Tuesday morning. However, if you remember, I did not agree to the August hearing date as I thought it would be unacceptable to the judge. I did agree to present your schedule to her for her consideration. Please also include my first draft of proposed dates below with the exception of the August hearing date although I agreed to later dates (except for the August hearing date) based on your reasons.

CPSD Proposed Schedule for Class Location OII

April 17	Second PHC (tentative per Feb 3 PHC)
May 25	CPSD direct testimony
June 4	Intervenor testimony
June 15	PG&E testimony
June 29	CPSD rebuttal testimony
July 2-13	Hearings
(July 16	ALJ starts hearings on another case and asked hearings be concluded by this date)

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 5:07 PM
To: Berdge, Patrick S.
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

Pat,

I put the schedule you and I agreed on into a form we can hand out on Monday (or submit earlier, if you think that desirable). I deleted the reference to August for the hearing, since I understand the ALJ may be out for that month. Instead, I just left it as a date to be set by the ALJ.

Please let me know if you want to file this in advance of the PHC or simply hand it out Tuesday.

Thanks,

Joe

From: Redacted
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 11:15 AM
To: Berdge, Patrick S.
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); 'Ramaiya, Shilpa R'
Subject: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

Pat,

The following confirms our discussion about a schedule to jointly propose to the ALJ, as follows:

April 17 Second PHC (tentative per Feb 3 PHC)

May 25 CPSD direct testimony

June 11 Intervenor testimony

July 9 PG&E testimony
July 31 CPSD rebuttal testimony
August Hearings at a date to be set by the ALJ

Please let me know if I got any of this wrong.

Thanks,

Joe

=====
IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.
=====

=====
NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.
For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com/>
=====