

From: Redacted
Sent: 4/24/2012 12:05:25 PM
To: Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: TIRF of Massachusetts (continued)

<http://www.env.state.ma.us/dpu/docs/gas/09-30/103009dpuord.pdf>

Jane,

At Page 121 of this earlier Massachusetts decision, it discusses why their Commission found merits to the TIRF proposal and granted it.

Again, my interests for sending this are to continue the dialogue, and not necessarily to endorse TIRF. CPUC has no position on these things presently, although I know the ratepayer advocate would be concerned.

Personally, I see there are merits to using a specially designed mechanism, that has both consumer protections AND advantages for the Utility to motivate a gas corporation to perform necessary investments related to safety.

I think parties in R.11-02-019 ought to explore these, to determine pros and cons related to California practices.

Thanks,
Joyce