From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: 4/17/2012 2:22:26 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov); crv@cpuc.ca.gov (crv@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Subject: FW: PG&E load comparison

Marzia ---

Sorry for the slow response, I was at the Dr. this morning. In the course of finding out that this is plenty public and can be used freely, I spoke to Dennis Keane, who points out that the forecasted numbers are close to the backcasted numbers, which he gives below (37%). We apparently file these forecasted numbers publicly each time we do a rate adjustment. Let me know if you need more.

yours,

sid

From: Keane, Dennis

Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 2:17 PM

To: Dietz, Sidney

Subject: RE: PG&E load comparison

Sid-

Matt's e-mail way down this chain shows res sales are 37% (not 30%) and C/I sales are 57%. The sales forecast figures filed with our advice letter pertaining to the March 1, 2012 rate change show very similar percentages (37% and 56%). So I think it's OK to give her these already-public figures. They are on a forecasted (rather than historic) basis for 2012.

Dennis

From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2012 6:54 AM

To: Keane, Dennis

Subject: Fw: PG&E load comparison

Dennis --

Can you see Marzia's question below? Is it okay for her to use this number. It seems like not a big deal to me. Thanks!

yours,

sid

ther start door state vision table state state state state state into indicate the state s

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Allen, Meredith

Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 10:16 PM **To**: Dietz, Sidney; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: RE: PG&E load comparison

I would check in with Dennis.

From: Dietz, Sidney

Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 5:22 PM

To: Allen, Meredith; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: Fw: PG&E load comparison

This seems innocuous to me, but I don't work on these cases. Is it okay for a doc from Marzia's office to say that 30% of our load is Res?

Day and one can have seen term term does seen seen seen seen seen seen seen con one one seen one one one one one

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 05:15 PM

To: Dietz, Sidney; Villarreal, Christopher < christopher.villarreal@cpuc.ca.gov

Subject: RE: PG&E load comparison

Okay, now that I got it, my next question is we want to use the percentage in a public document, is that okay? We want to say that for instance 30% of PG&E's load is to serve residential customers. Is that kosher?

Marzia --Check table 2, line 6, it has the breakdown for kwh for the customer classes. yours, sid Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard. From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 05:06 PM To: Dietz, Sidney; Villarreal, Christopher <christopher.villarreal@cpuc.ca.gov> Subject: RE: PG&E load comparison Hi. No, this is just for Direct Access data. We want to know how much of your load is served for residential customers and how much is for commercial/industrial. Thanks, marzia From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 5:13 PM
To: Zafar, Marzia; Villarreal, Christopher
Subject: Re: PG&E load comparison

Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 5:04 PM

Subject: FW: PG&E load comparison
Marzia
Here's a report we send you monthly that has the data. It's backcasted using March customer population, and it's in the confidential table, table 2, line 6 of the table. Let me know if that works, or if you need something different.
yours,
sid
From: Redacted Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 4:49 PM To: Dietz, Sidney Subject: PG&E load comparison
Hi Sid,
I'm thinking we can use Table 2 from the DA Report. Click on the "Confidential Table" attachment, and go to line 6 of the table.
From that table:
Res: about 37% of total sales
Com/Ind: about 57% of total sales
Of course, these %'s may vary depending on the time of the year, with summer peaks raising

the Residential proportions. Let me know if he has a specific time period in mind, or perhaps an annual average?
Redacted
From: Redacted Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 1:07 PM To: los@cpuc.ca.gov Cc: 'kdw@cpuc.ca.gov'; Redacted Dowdell, Jennifer Subject: PG&E's Direct Access Report - March 2012
Mr. Velasquez: Enclosed please find PG&E's Direct Access Report for March 2012, as directed by D.97-05-040 and D.97-12-088.
Thank you.
Redacted
Regulatory Relations Redacted