

From: Malkin, Joseph M.
Sent: 4/14/2012 6:47:48 AM
To: Berdge, Patrick S. (patrick.berdge@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0);
Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

That sounds fine. I'll send you a draft Monday. Have a good weekend.

On Apr 14, 2012, at 2:02 AM, "Berdge, Patrick S." <patrick.berdge@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Yes it is confusing. I apologize. Better to say that while CPSD first proposed earlier dates, CPSD & PG&E agreed on the final dates to meet each parties needs & scheduling conflicts.

Patrick S. Berdge

On Apr 13, 2012, at 9:15 PM, "Malkin, Joseph M." <jmalkin@orrick.com> wrote:

Pat,

I'm a bit confused. Are you suggesting, in effect, two columns -- one labeled "CPSD's Original Proposal" and the other "Joint CPSD-PG&E Schedule"?

Joe

On Apr 13, 2012, at 7:01 PM, "Berdge, Patrick S." <patrick.berdge@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

I think we should present our agreed schedule to the ALJ prior to Tuesday morning. However, if you remember, I did not agree to the August hearing date as I thought it would be unacceptable to the judge. I did agree to present your schedule to her for her consideration.

Please also include my first draft of proposed dates below with the exception of the August hearing date although I agreed to later dates (except for the August hearing date) based on your reasons.

CPSD Proposed Schedule for Class Location OII

April 17	Second PHC (tentative per Feb 3 PHC)
May 25	CPSD direct testimony
June 4	Intervenor testimony
June 15	PG&E testimony
June 29	CPSD rebuttal testimony
July 2-13	Hearings
(July 16	ALJ starts hearings on another case and asked hearings be concluded by this date)

From: Malkin, Joseph M. [mailto:jmalkin@orrick.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 5:07 PM
To: Berdge, Patrick S.
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

Pat,

I put the schedule you and I agreed on into a form we can hand out on Monday (or submit earlier, if you think that desirable). I deleted the reference to August for the hearing, since I understand the ALJ may be out for that month. Instead, I just left it as a date to be set by the ALJ.

Please let me know if you want to file this in advance of the PHC or simply hand it out Tuesday.

Thanks,

Joe

From: Malkin, Joseph M.
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 11:15 AM
To: Berdge, Patrick S.
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); 'Ramaiya, Shilpa R'
Subject: I.11-11-009 Proposed Schedule

Pat,

The following confirms our discussion about a schedule to jointly propose to the ALJ, as follows:

April 17 3 PHC)	Second PHC (tentative per Feb
May 25	CPSD direct testimony
June 11	Intervenor testimony
July 9	PG&E testimony
July 31	CPSD rebuttal testimony
August the ALJ	Hearings at a date to be set by

Please let me know if I got any of this wrong.

Thanks,

Joe

=====
IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure
compliance with requirements
imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax
advice contained in this
communication, unless expressly stated otherwise,
was not intended or
written to be used, and cannot be used, for the
purpose of (i) avoiding
tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue
Code or (ii) promoting,
marketing or recommending to another party any
tax-related matter(s)
addressed herein.
=====

=====
NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS
MEANT FOR ONLY
THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE
TRANSMISSION, AND
MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED
BY LAW. IF YOU
RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY
REVIEW, USE,
DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR
COPYING OF THIS
E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE
NOTIFY US
IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN
E-MAIL AND
PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM
YOUR SYSTEM.
=====

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR
COOPERATION.

For more information about Orrick, please visit

<http://www.orrick.com/>

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM. THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION. For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com/>

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication, unless expressly stated otherwise, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter(s) addressed herein.

=====

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: THIS E-MAIL IS MEANT FOR ONLY
THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE TRANSMISSION, AND
MAY BE A COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED BY LAW. IF YOU
RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, ANY REVIEW, USE,
DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS
E-MAIL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. PLEASE NOTIFY US
IMMEDIATELY OF THE ERROR BY RETURN E-MAIL AND
PLEASE DELETE THIS MESSAGE FROM YOUR SYSTEM.
THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION.
For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com/>

=====