

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/16/2012 8:54:12 AM
To: Liang-Uejio, Scarlett (CPUC) (scarlett.liang-uejio@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted]
Gandesbery, Mary (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq);
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Technical fixes to APD and PD

Scarlett,

One more simple change that would be useful is to allow PG&E the same expansion of DBP as SCE requested and is approved in the APD and PD. The changes are described on page 114 of the APD:

SCE seeks faster customer enrollment and increased customer satisfaction

with its Demand Bidding Program. As such, SCE requests to expand the

Demand Bidding Program to include non-residential customers with loads

under 200 kW, reduce bidding limits to a 1 kW minimum bid and eliminate

aggregated participation in this program.

To allow PG&E this same flexibility we would change OP42 as follows:

Southern California Edison Company's Demand Bidding Program with their proposed program changes is

approved. We authorize a budget of \$818,343. PG&E may include these or similar proposed changes to its

Demand Bidding Program as part its filing pursuant to OP 44.

This would involve no budget changes. It just allows us to offer the program to a wider range of customers.

Give me a call if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Analyst

Demand Response

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 9:49 AM

To: 'Liang-Weiio, Scarlett (CPUC)'

Cc: Redacted Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Redacted

Subject: RE: Technical fixes to APD and PD

Scarlett,

When we talked last night you asked if we need to add the additional sentence to OP 27 that stated “. PG&E’s BIP budget may be further reduced during the Advice Letter process, if necessary, to ensure that this program is cost-effective.” Upon further review we do not need that sentence added to OP27. We only need to delete the item regarding the \$3.963 Million as shown in item #2 below.

If you have any questions – give me a call.

Thanks for all your help on these important details.

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Analyst

Demand Response

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 4:39 PM
To: 'Liana-Ueijo, Scarlett (CPUC)'
Cc: Redacted Gandesbery, Mary (Law); Redacted
Subject: Technical fixes to APD and PD

Scarlett,

Just wanted to check in on a few important technical items that we'd like to make sure are correct in the APD and PD before the vote next week. If you could help make sure they get fixed that would be very much appreciated. I believe I also sent you earlier emails or voicemails on these. Give me a call if you have any questions.

1. Have SmartAC costs from January 1, 2012 thru the Decision recovered just as other DR costs during the delay period are recovered. This requires a small addition to OP 81 in the APD and adding this to the PD.

OP 81. For the period beginning January 1, 2012 through the issuance of this Decision, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company have authority to: (a) operate their demand response programs at funding levels set in Decision (D.)09-08-027 and D. 08-02-009 as modified by subsequent decisions; and (b) continue to record all expenses in the accounts where such expenses have been authorized by those decisions.

2. Correct the Budget Table (APD, p.192, Category 8- DR System Support Activities, DR Enrollment & Support) and OP27 in the APD to be consistent with the discussion in the APD. See our APD comments on pages 4.

OP27. Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Base Interruptible Program is approved. PG&E shall improve the cost-effectiveness of this program by a) increasing the number of call hours from 120 to 180 hours annually, and b) decreasing the DR Systems Support budget by \$3,963,399, and c) decreasing the Local Demand Response Marketing, Education and Outreach budget allocated to this program by \$140,704. PG&E's BIP budget may be further reduced during the Advice Letter process, if necessary, to ensure that this program is cost-effective. These changes shall go into effect for 2013 and 2014.

3. On the PD (not APD) there is still one important typo that should be fixed.

On page 205 of the PD the following correction needs to be made to get the accounting right.

“PG&E is directed to eliminate the DRRBA account but transfer costs recorded there into the ~~DREBA~~ DRAM account.”

Thanks,

Redacted

Principal Regulatory Analyst

Demand Response

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted