

From: Lindh, Frank
Sent: 5/4/2012 10:39:29 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc: Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3);
Joseph Ronan (Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com); Lindh, Frank
(frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov); paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov);
Gray, Jeffrey (JeffreyGray@dwt.com)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Russell City--- 10-73870 Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA, et al
"Memorandum Filed"

Every transaction with Mr. Ronan involves a certain amount of pain, but they typically do not rise to the definition of "torture" under standards of International Law.

On May 4, 2012, at 10:23 AM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

I'm not sure about that Frank. Tom and I have suffered our share on Russell City.....

From: Lindh, Frank [mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 10:22 AM
To: Joseph Ronan
Cc: paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov; FrankLindh; Bottorff, Thomas E; Cherry, Brian K; Gray, Jeffrey
Subject: Re: Russell City--- 10-73870 Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA, et al
"Memorandum Filed"

Congratulations, Joe. As it happens, both you and Professor John Yoo managed to prevail in cases before the Ninth Circuit this week. Only one of those cases, however, involved torture of one human being by another.

Happy Friday!

On May 4, 2012, at 9:52 AM, Joseph Ronan <Joseph.Ronan@calpine.com> wrote:

It is shaping up to be good day!

We won the 9th Circuit Case.

From: Poloncarz, Kevin [<mailto:kevinpoloncarz@paulhastings.com>]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 9:42 AM
To: Rosemary Antonopoulos; Alex Makler; Joseph Ronan; Gevan Reeves; Jill Van Dalen; Thad Miller
Cc: John Bryson; Thomas Sansonetti
Subject: FW: 10-73870 Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA, et al "Memorandum Filed"

We won!

From: ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov
[\[mailto:ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov\]](mailto:ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov)
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 9:34 AM
To: Poloncarz, Kevin
Subject: 10-73870 Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA, et al "Memorandum Filed"

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.**

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 05/04/2012 at 9:33:27 AM PDT and filed on 05/04/2012

Case Name: Chabot-Las Positas Community v. EPA, et al
Case Number: [10-73870](#)
Document(s): [Document\(s\)](#)

Docket Text:

FILED MEMORANDUM DISPOSITION (ALFRED T. GOODWIN, STEPHEN R. REINHARDT and MARY H. MURGUIA) DENIED; DISMISSED. FILED AND ENTERED JUDGMENT. [8164912] (DD)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Mr. John Alan Bryson
Ms. Jewell Hargleroad
Mr. Dustin Javad Maghamfar, Trial Attorney
Mr. Kevin Poloncarz, Attorney
Mr. Thomas L. Sansonetti, Attorney

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Memorandum

Original Filename: 10-73870.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1106763461 [Date=05/04/2012]

[FileNumber=8164912-0]

[598a03c0417e977c3f66e8c01c46f1a6c2fd9200b0dbff8971306faeded9294dadcd5884b9988ffabd

Document Description: post judgment form

Original Filename: PostJudgmentandBillofCosts.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1106763461 [Date=05/04/2012]

[FileNumber=8164912-1]

[1c0c35f554277417c3e254c48eb4f2f42ef5f49d64f7fcab37c7513d30177261c5117782d60da54c

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S.Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

For additional information, please visit our website at

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or privileged and protected by work product immunity or other legal rules. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by mistransmission. If you are not the intended recipient or

an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of this e-mail and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your computer system. Thank you.

<2012-05-04 Russell City Decision.pdf>