From:	Redacted
Sent:	5/1/2012 4:33:21 PM
To:	Liang-Uejio, Scarlett (scarlett.liang-uejio@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted
	Redacted
Cc:	Ikle, Judith (judith.ikle@cpuc.ca.gov); Lakhchaura, Megha (megha.lakhchaura@cpuc.ca.gov); Brooks, Donald J. (donald.brooks@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted; Redacted;

Bcc:

Subject: RE: local dispachability requirement of 13-14 AMP contracts

Scarlett,

Thanks for your email, and I just wanted to get back to you to make sure it is clear that PG&E always has and continues to take very seriously the RA local dispatchability requirements for DR in RA.

The only reason we asked for a conditional exemption for these programs is due to a possible lack of time to reasonably implement the changes needed for local dispatch. Our 2012-2014 DR application planned for all DR in our portfolio to be locally callable except for dynamic rates in 2013. A final decision was anticipated for issuance in December 2011. The actual decision (D.12-04-045) was issued on April 30, 2012. The decision also significantly altered some of the important plans we had for local calling (e.g. by keeping DBP, ending PeakChoice and not having a long term RFP for AMP). Also, both DBP and CBP are now required to file Advice Letters and have them approved before funding is assured. This will add additional time before we can move fully ahead. We will likely not have the outcome of these ALs until after the RA decision has been issued.

If it works out that we can have the local dispatch in time for 2013 we will do that, but we cannot commit at this time as we work out new plans for DR based on D.12-04-045 and as we will await the outcomes of the AL processes.

If you or others at the CPUC have any questions or need more information – don't hesitate to call me.

Redacted Principal Regulatory Analyst Demand Response Pacific Gas and Electric Company Redacted

From: Liang-Uejio, Scarlett [mailto:scarlett.liang-uejio@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Monday, April 30, 2012 2:04 PM To: Redacted Redacted Redacted Cc: Brooks, Donald J.; Lakhchaura, Megha; Ikle, Judith Subject: local dispachability requirement of 13-14 AMP contracts



I would just like to follow up on the issue related to the RA local dispachability requirement of 13-14 AMP contracts. As I stated in our meeting this morning, the Commission is very serious about this rule. I will follow up with PG&E's request for the exemption of AMP, CBP, & DBP in its Post-Workshop Comments in the 2013 RA proceeding with our RA folks. However, I anticipate that the Commission would require PG&E to demonstrate its commitment and efforts to comply with the rule. At minimum, all 2014 AMP contracts or a portion should comply with the new RA rule.

I will follow up this issue with the Commission and will keep you posted on further guidance.

Scarlett