

From: Redacted
Sent: 5/25/2012 3:31:26 PM
To: Simon, Jason (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov) (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Bcc:
Subject: August 2012 PDSR - Questions

Jason –

Hope this email finds you well. PG&E is beginning to prepare for its August 2012 PDSR and had a few questions/requests we wanted to run by you. We are making changes to our internal database in order to more easily produce the PDSR and found some technical issues that cause some challenges.

1. **Phasing:** Several years ago Energy Division asked that in the PDSR for any project that has formal phasing, that the IOUs separate each phase of that project into its own row. Is there any way we can consolidate so that each project, regardless of phasing, will only be one row? We would reflect the final product/phase in the data fields and then update the major notes fields with phase specific information (e.g. Project Info. Notes; Transmission Notes) Doing this would make producing the PDSR out of our database much easier, as we don't create separate records for each phase of a project.

2. **Running Project List:** Starting in April 2011, Energy Division directed the IOUs to add all projects back to the PDSR that have ever been executed and to never delete any projects, regardless of their development status. We are also not currently allowed to remove any negotiations terminated projects. This has resulted in several projects that are on "the bottom" of the PDSR that don't get updated as they are either negotiations terminated, operational, terminated, or expired. The information in these rows is not accurate and do not necessarily line up with the current definitions of each data point. PG&E would like to request that after appearing in one PDSR as negotiations terminated, operational, terminated, or expired, the IOUs be allowed to remove those projects. This was the general rule that was applied prior to April 2011.

3. **CPUC IDs:** Energy Division updated the CPUC IDs for the March 2012 PDSR. The problem was the list I was provided about a week before filing used a different project list than what is in the PDSR. I am not sure what project list was used but at the time, Robert Blackney and I discussed and he said that since several projects were still missing from the new CPUC ID list, he would just put the new CPUC IDs in after we filed. For the August 2012 filing, I would like to update the CPUC IDs to the new numbering system. Can you provide a list with the following columns: New CPUC ID, IOU ID, and project name based on PG&E's March 2012 PDSR?

Please feel free to give me a call to discuss the above questions. I understand and recognize the CPUC uses this information for various reporting requirements and the requests above may, unknowingly, hinder some of the CPUC reporting requirements and PG&E obviously does not want to impede the CPUC's reporting requirements.


Thank you,

Redacted

Sr. Regulatory Analyst
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, MC B9A | San Francisco, CA 94105

ph Redacted

This email is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law, including the attorney-client privilege. If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. If you have received this communication in error, please return the original message to the sender via email.

 Stay GREEN: Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.