

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



May 25, 2012

Advice Letter 2730-E

Mr. Akbar Jazayeri  
Vice President of Regulatory Operations  
Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
Rosemead, California 91770  
Email: [advicetariffmanager@sce.com](mailto:advicetariffmanager@sce.com)

**Subject:** Approval of SCE's Advice Letter 2730-E requesting approval of a power purchase agreement between SCE and Calpine Energy Services in compliance with Commission Resolution E-4471

Mr. Jazayeri:

Energy Division approves Advice Letter 2730-E, effective May 25, 2012. Energy Division finds that the Advice Letter is in compliance with Commission Resolution E-4471.

**Background:**

On March 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012 the Commission issued Resolution E-4471 ordering Southern California Edison ("SCE") to negotiate a power purchase agreement with Calpine Energy Services L.P. ("Calpine") for the Sutter power plant for a price less than that available under the Capacity Procurement Mechanism (CPM). The California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") had filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") in order to create a contracting mechanism to provide Sutter with a backstop contract that would provide additional revenue to keep it operating. CAISO released a report as part of the backstop contracting process (referred to as Capacity Procurement Mechanism) that demonstrated the potential reliability risks that might occur in future years upon retirement of Sutter.

On May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2012 SCE filed Advice Letter 2730-E in compliance with Commission Resolution E-4471. The Advice Letter requests approval of the SCE contract with Calpine for its proportional share of the Sutter plant, and approval of the relevant modification to its Preliminary Statement, Part RR, New System Generation Balancing Account (NSGBA).

**Protests:**

DRA filed a protest on May 23<sup>rd</sup> disputing whether this contract and the pricing terms were just and reasonable. DRA asserts that SCE has "not provided an adequate explanation" of how the contract meets the requirements of PU Code Section 454.4. DRA discussed the contract price relative to market prices SCE otherwise pays for resource adequacy (RA) capacity and used this comparison to dispute SCE's assertion that SCE followed the dictates of Resolution E-4471. Energy Division

dismisses the protest, since Resolution E-4471 set the standard against which SCE was required to demonstrate reasonableness, i.e. the contract is less than SCE's proportionate share of the CPM price of \$17.4 million. SCE demonstrated that they complied with the requirements of the resolution.

Alliance for Retail Energy Markets, Direct Access Coalition, Energy Users Forum, and Marin Energy Authority filed a joint protest (AReM et al. protest) on May 24<sup>th</sup> arguing that the approval of this Advice Letter would create costs for direct access customers without the accompanying allocation of RA capacity credit, meaning that customers on direct access or customers of community choice aggregators would pay for RA capacity that they would not receive the benefits of. Protestants requested that the Commission order the utilities to allocate RA capacity credit to all benefitting customers as a means of giving them the benefits to go along with the costs of the Sutter contract. Energy Division does not believe this protest merits rejection of the Advice Letter since we plan to implement this request, as specified below. While the ARem et al. protest would have Energy Division implement their request at a later date, we believe we can comply immediately and avoid any further delays.

TURN protested the Advice Letter on May 24<sup>th</sup> on the basis that the mechanics of how Calpine and the utilities negotiated was not leading to best value for ratepayers. In addition, TURN proposed a means to return over market revenues to ratepayers in the form of agreements for future years. These concerns are outside the scope of the Advice Letter, as TURN's suggested process and any future year agreements are not criteria Energy Division was ordered to use in reviewing the Advice Letter. TURN's protest attempts to relitigate Resolution E-4471.

CUE filed a protest on May 24<sup>th</sup> and states that Calpine is bluffing about Sutter's retirement. CUE proposes a detailed mechanism to repay above market 2012 payments for Sutter from future profits from the Sutter plant. As with TURN's protest, CUE's suggestion is outside the scope of the Advice Letter and is an attempt to relitigate Resolution E-4471.

SCE waived its right to respond to protests on May 24<sup>th</sup>.

### **Discussion:**

Energy Division does not find any of the four protests meet the grounds for protest established in General Order 96-B (Section 7.4.2). In particular "a protest may not rely on policy objections to an advice letter where the relief requested in the advice letter follows rules or directions established by statute or Commission order applicable to the utility". (Ibid.) With the exception of the AReM et al. protest which the Energy Division addresses via separate action, each of the other protests requests a relitigation of Resolution E-4471 and each requests the Energy Division not apply the approval standard clearly communicated via the Resolution.

In light of the above, SCE's Advice Letter is in compliance with Commission Resolution E-4471, and we find that SCE has shown that the Sutter contract is just and reasonable and the costs fully recoverable in rates. SCE's Advice Letter is approved in its entirety.

### **Allocation of RA capacity credit:**

As adopted in D.07-09-044 and D.09-06-028, the Energy Division allocates capacity credit to load serving entities (LSEs) for the contracts whose costs are paid for via a non-bypassable charge to all benefitting customers. For purposes of SCE's Sutter contract, Energy Division will allocate capacity for July and August 2012 (capacity for those months was already allocated April 12<sup>th</sup> and May 16<sup>th</sup>

respectively), for use in the RA filings due on June 1 and July 2. Via revised letter dated today, Energy Division will send revised capacity allocations to each LSE for these filing months to reflect the Sutter contract. Energy Division will continue to allocate capacity for this contract along the normal schedule for the remaining months of the contract term.

Sincerely,

*Molly Stenkel for Ed Randolph*

Edward F. Randolph, Director  
Energy Division

Cc via email:

President Michael R. Peevey  
Commissioner Mark J. Ferron  
Commissioner Catherine J.K. Sandoval  
Commissioner Mike Florio  
Commissioner Timothy Alan Simon  
Frank Lindh, General Counsel  
Chief ALJ Karen Clopton  
Service List for R.10-05-006  
Service List for R.11-10-023  
Service List for R.12-03-014

Protestors:

CUE, via email, [mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com](mailto:mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com)  
TURN, via email, [matthew@turn.org](mailto:matthew@turn.org)  
AReM et al., via email, [sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com](mailto:sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com)  
DRA, via email, [Claire.eustace@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Claire.eustace@cpuc.ca.gov); [cynthia.walker@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:cynthia.walker@cpuc.ca.gov)