

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 5/31/2012 7:41:11 PM  
To: Dowdell, Jennifer (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKD5);  
Randolph, Edward F. (edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4);  
gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov (gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: CCA EE related data request

Ed,

Please understand that we are not delaying but have had just 4 days with the weekend holiday to clarify and respond to the request, which required some analysis to produce the results and review. The supplemental response will be provided tomorrow, including information that we further clarified with your staff this afternoon.

[Redacted]

*PG&E*

*Regulatory Relations*

*Principal Regulatory Case Manager*

[Redacted]

**From:** Randolph, Edward F. [mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 5:23 PM  
**To:** Dowdell, Jennifer  
**Cc:** gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov; Lawrie, Sandy; Dietz, Sidney

**Subject:** Re: CCA EE related data request

Jennifer, it appears to me that the delay to responding was do to PGE wanting to fight a policy issue that is yet to be determined. There is no other explanation for the legal interpretation that was included in PG&E's initial response. I would like to see some very specific numbers involving EE funding collected by PG&E. I don't understand why it would take a week to get that or why PG&E's response to that request involved questions of MEA's intent or a legal interpretation of a statute.

On Jun 1, 2012, at 6:05 AM, "Dowdell, Jennifer" <[JKD5@pge.com](mailto:JKD5@pge.com)> wrote:

Ed and Gurbux,

I apologize that this has taken a little while, but we really are working diligently.

I am told we should be able to provide the response today.

Again sorry for the delay.

Jennifer

**From:** Randolph, Edward F. [<mailto:edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov>]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 02:27 PM

**To:** Kahlon, Gurbux <[gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov)>

**Cc:** Dowdell, Jennifer; [Redacted]

**Subject:** Re: CCA EE related data request

Jennifer and [Redacted]

I am traveling right now and have been monitoring this data request via email only so I may be missing something, but right now it appears to me that PG&E is dragging its feet on a simple data request that should have been completely fulfilled last Monday.

If PG&E is concerned about MEA's legal reading of PU Code 381.1(f), the appropriate venue to raise that concern is through a protest to MEA's filing for funding or in response to any draft resolution Energy Division issues.

Please provide the data Gurbux is seeking without delay.

On Jun 1, 2012, at 2:47 AM, "Kahlon, Gurbux" <[gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov)> wrote:

Jennifer, Redact I would like to talk to you regarding PG&E's assertion that MEA is not entitled to any EE funding under 381 (f) because EE funds are not being collected through PGC. Would a call at 3: 30 work for you?

Gurbux