From: Kao, Valerie

Sent: 6/20/2012 9:08:30 AM

To: Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1);

Litteneker, Randall (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RJL9);

Walter, Stacy W (Law)

(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWW9)

Cc: Lee, Diana (diana.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: questions re: PG&E AL 4055-E

Ms. Yura, Mr. Litteneker and Ms. Walter,

Regarding PG&E's AL 4055-E, I would like to ask for clarification regarding what criteria or standards PG&E would use to determine when a VNM site assessment is essential, and what PG&E proposes to define as a complex service configuration. It would be helpful if these details could be spelled out in both the Advice Letter and the proposed tariff sheet (Electric Preliminary Statement Part FZ). If you could please direct this inquiry to the appropriate contact I would appreciate it,

thanks & best regards,

Valerie

Valerie Kao

California Public Utilities Commission – Division of Ratepayer Advocates

415.703.1341