

From: St. Marie, Stephen  
Sent: 6/4/2012 4:19:46 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Humboldt Decommissioning

Well, yeah, sort of. Basically, it tells me that my current case may be unique.  
Thanks, Brian,  
-- Steve.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 04, 2012 4:18 PM  
**To:** St. Marie, Stephen  
**Subject:** FW: Humboldt Decommissioning

Does this help ? If the asset hasn't been retired yet, then I would suspect it is earning whatever the authorized is.

**From:** Smith, Bruce T  
**Sent:** Monday, June 04, 2012 4:11 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** RE: Humboldt Decommissioning

Brian:

The asset has been retired, so there is no remaining net book value and no rate base related to the plant cost. Oddly, if you're talking about the fossil units, we may have negative rate base –customers have paid in advance for the decommissioning work, so we're holding cash provided by customers rather than investors which reduces rate base and dollars of return at the adopted ROR. I'd expect Chuck Marre's folks would have the details,

Bruce

**From:** Cherry, Brian K

**Sent:** Monday, June 04, 2012 3:07 PM

**To:** Hughes, John (Reg Rel); O'Flanagan, Joseph; Manheim, William (Law); Post, Jennifer (Law)

**Subject:** Humboldt Decommissioning

When we decommission assets, what ROR is allowed on the asset while it is being decommissioned ?