From:	Malnight, Steven
Sent:	6/5/2012 2:00:09 PM
То:	Tyrrell, Denise (denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov); Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:	Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)
Bcc:	
Subject: RE: EE Guidance Memo	

Denise,

Thanks for the insights. I'm working with my team to make sure we get this addressed.

Look forward to meeting you in person when you make your way up to San Francisco.

Best,

Steve Malnight Vice President, Customer Energy Solutions Pacific Gas and Electric Company San Francisco, California

From: Tyrrell, Denise [mailto:denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 1:55 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Malnight, Steven; Dietz, Sidney
Subject: RE: EE Guidance Memo

I believe you are right. Thank you for taking care of this.

Denise

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 1:51 PM To: Tyrrell, Denise Cc: Malnight, Steven; Dietz, Sidney Denise – I suspect the following is happening. Agenda item 33, the 2012-2014 Energy Savings Assistance and California Rates for Energy Proposed Decision, is on the agenda for Thursday. As I understand it, the item is going to be held for two Commission meetings. Holding the \$5 billion decision also puts all new programs on hold and prevents checks from being issued. However, I understand that the Commission has put an item on the agenda that will allow us bridge funding in the interim (Sid – please confirm). While the bridge funding is great, it doesn't completely resolve all program funding issues (for example: which program will be approved and for how much). I'll bet the confusion is the result of people interpreting the holding of this decision incorrectly. I will work with Steve and our Governmental Representatives to make sure there is no issue.

From: Tyrrell, Denise [mailto:denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 1:32 PM To: Cherry, Brian K Cc: Malnight, Steven Subject: RE: EE Guidance Memo

Brian -

Here is some of the detail I've received since this morning.

These are the organizations that believe their Energy Watch programs will be cut as a result of communication with PG&E personnel:

Silicon Valley Energy Watch Programs

Shana Hirshfield and Mike Foster

East Bay – Neil Disroo and Timothy Burrows at Oakland

Alameda and Contra Costa - Tim Anderson

Suzanne Smith and Liz Yeager – Sonoma Energy Upgrade California and Energy Watch

Santa Barbara, SLO - "taking away Energy Watch" programs as a result of Regional programs.

Joseph Oldham – City of Fresno

ABAG

Kim Springer in San Mateo

Demetra McBride in Santa Clara County

As you can see, this is a little more than one or two anxious local people.

Denise

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 9:21 AM To: Tyrrell, Denise Subject: RE: EE Guidance Memo

Denise – that is contrary to everything I have heard. Can you give me any examples of cities that are hearing this? I will run this up the flagpole here, but I would like to know more if possible as to where this is occurring. If it is occurring, I want to make sure it is an isolated incident and not something else.

From: Tyrrell, Denise [mailto:denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 9:18 AM To: Cherry, Brian K Subject: EE Guidance Memo

Brian,

I am hearing disturbing reports that PG&E reps are threatening local governments if they participate in any kind of regional program. Some have gone so far as to the threaten to pull all partnership dollars for anyone that gets involved in regional planning.

I'm writing to you to give you an opportunity to address this before I take it to the Commissioners.

Best,

Denise