Sent: 6/4/2012 4:15:51 PM	
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipien	nts/cn=EBJ1)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEA	Ae)
Bcc:	
Subject: RE:	
Much Thanks.	
Aloke Gupta California Public Utilities Commission O: 415.703.5239 aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov	
From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com] Sent: Saturday, June 02, 2012 3:39 PM To: Gupta, Aloke; Strauss, Todd Cc: Allen, Meredith Redacted Subject: RE:	
Confidential	
Subject to PU Code 583	
Aloke,	
I'm glad you found our discussion helpful. As discussed, attached is PG&E's testimony from the 2008 long term RFO. It describes the evaluation protocols solicitation. While these protocols are subject to change and updating for futuyou may find that this testimony provides useful insights into our general evalu	s used for that ure solicitations,
Best regards,	
Erik	

Gupta, Aloke

From:

From: Gupta, Aloke [mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 1:40 PM
To: Strauss, Todd; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject:

Erik, Todd:

I just wanted to thank you for taking the time to chat with me. It was very helpful in getting a good overview of how the RFO evaluation process might address stroage based bids. After some more reflection on our discussions, I may want to follow up on some specific elements of the eval process to delve into more details as part of the ongoing research effort.

Thanks.

Aloke Gupta

O: 415.703.5239 aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

California Public Utilities Commission