

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Sent: 6/6/2012 8:34:57 PM
To: 'Gupta, Alope' (aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: RFO follow up

Alope, Jason will follow-up with you to get something scheduled. Thanks, Erik

From: Gupta, Alope [mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 4:57 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RFO follow up

Hi Erik:

This document has been helpful in understanding the process for valuing various attributes. However, I now have multiple questions at a more detailed level about some of the offer valuation, as well as re the methodology used to arrive at various scores. So, I am wondering if it would be possible to arrange a conversation with someone familiar with the specifics of the various offers in the summary table (I assume this would be someone different from Todd) and the methodologies used to arrive at some of the entries? [Redacted]

[Redacted] I think Dipya/Jason may want to sit in on that discussion as well.

[Redacted]
[Redacted] Would it be possible to get some type of overview document submitted by Megawatt that summarizes the offer specifics (we don't need the very detailed appendices/worksheets for now)? [Redacted]
[Redacted] S

One quick question in the meantime: there is a reference to [Redacted] Can you tell me who generally sits on this (and who actually did for the 2008 RFO)?

Thanks.

Aloke Gupta

California Public Utilities Commission

o: 415.703.5239

e: aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Gupta, Aloke
Sent: Mon 6/4/2012 4:15 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE:

Much Thanks.

Aloke Gupta

California Public Utilities Commission

O: 415.703.5239
aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [<mailto:EBJ1@pge.com>]
Sent: Saturday, June 02, 2012 3:39 PM
To: Gupta, Aloke; Strauss, Todd
Cc: Allen, Meredith;
Subject: RE:

Confidential

Subject to PU Code 583

Aloke,

I'm glad you found our discussion helpful. As discussed, attached is PG&E's confidential testimony from the 2008 long term RFO. It describes the evaluation protocols used for that solicitation. While these protocols are subject to change and updating for future solicitations, you may find that this testimony provides useful insights into our general evaluation approach.

Best regards,

Erik

From: Gupta, Aloke [<mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 1:40 PM
To: Strauss, Todd; Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject:

Erik, Todd:

I just wanted to thank you for taking the time to chat with me. It was very helpful in getting a good overview of how the RFO evaluation process might address storage based bids. After some more reflection on our discussions, I may want to follow up on some specific elements of the eval process to delve into more details as part of the ongoing research effort.

Thanks.

Aloke Gupta

California Public Utilities Commission

O: 415.703.5239
aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov