

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 7/6/2012 1:56:09 PM
To: 'Shori, Sunil' (SKS@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: DFM Leak

Sunil

You asked how the DFM 2405-01 leak was zeroed out based on a visual inspection. Here is what happened.

This is the original leak survey A-Form (previously provided).

<<...>>

As noted, the Grade 3 leak was originally discovered by Leak Surveyor [Redacted] on 11/24/2010 and assigned leak number 24-10-11006-1.

It was checked 6 months later (5/25/2011).

Then, on 10/07/2011, Surveyor [Redacted] completed a new A-Form for the DFM leak and assigned a new leak number 24-11-10537-1.

<<...>>

According to Distribution Supervisor, Kevin Sousa, three days later (on 10/10/2011) Leak Surveyor, [Redacted], was assigned to perform the regular 5-year survey of the distribution plat which included the Warm Springs Blvd. DFM. ([Redacted] was using an HFI for the distribution leak survey.) As part of the distribution survey, [Redacted] was provided copies of the transmission leak A-Forms on that plat for recheck. At the Warm Springs location [Redacted] noted that, while there were two DFM leak numbers (#24-10-11006-1 for 2010 and # 24-11-10537-1 for 2011), he could see that there was only one leak and one set of probe holes. Therefore, to avoid possible confusion with two leak numbers for the same leak, [Redacted] zeroed out the 2010 leak number and kept the 2011 leak number based on his visual observation.

The leak on DFM 2405-01 was repaired on April 14, 2012.

[Redacted]