

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Approval of its 2012-2014 California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) and Energy Savings Assistance Programs and Budgets.	Application 11-05-017 (Filed May 16, 2011)
Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904-G) for Approval of Low-Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2012-2014	A.11-05-018 (Filed May 16, 2011)
Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2012-2014 Energy Savings Assistance and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U 39-M)	A.11-05-019 (Filed May 16, 2011)
Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-M) for Approval of Low-Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2012-2014	A.11-05-020 (Filed May 16, 2011)

NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

Pursuant to Rule 8.4(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby gives notice of the following ex parte communications. The communications occurred on Wednesday, June 27, 2012 at approximately 10:30 a.m., and 11:00 a.m., at the offices of California Public Utilities Commission. The communications were oral and included handouts, which are attached to this notice. [(Rule 8.4(a)(c))]

Sidney Dietz, Director, Regulatory Relations, PG&E, initiated two separate communications with Sepideh Khosrowjah, Advisor to Commissioner Michel Florio; and Rahmon Momoh, Advisor to Commissioner Timothy Simon (respectively). Also present from PG&E were Janice Berman, Senior Director, Customer Energy Solutions Policy and Integrated Planning, and Mary O'Drain, Regulatory Reporting Policy Expert, Customer Energy Solutions. [Rule 8.4(b)]

Ms. Berman stated that the Proposed Decision (PD) should be approved soon to avoid difficulties of continuing programs in the bridge period. Mr. Dietz stated that the PD should be changed to clarify that the goals are three-year goals, and that PG&E's budget should be corrected to \$471,032,000 (rather than \$459,467,324) to correctly apply the 5% reduction to PG&E's filed budget. Mr. Dietz further stated that the issue of providing direct installation of water heaters and furnaces for landlords, including issues around free riders and cost effectiveness and impact on the number of homes treated, should be covered in workshops and with further review after approval of the Decision. Ms. O'Drain stated that the ESAP furnace and hot-water heater measures are not cost effective and do not save energy. Ms. O'Drain stated that PG&E supports statistics-guided PEV as well as an increase in PEV along with an understanding that increased PEV will mean that raw numbers of CARE enrollees will decrease. [Rule 8.4(c)]

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian K. Cherry

Brian K. Cherry

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C

San Francisco, CA 94177

Phone: 415-973-4977

Fax: 415-973-7226

E-mail: BKC7@pge.com

Attachment

Dated: July 2, 2012