



ESA: Budget and Goals

Goal: PDShould be modified to clarify that the homes-treated goal is 3-year rather than annual.

The PD's ESAProgram budget for PG&Eshould be corrected to \$471,032,000 (rather than \$459,467,324) to correctly apply the 5% reduction to PG&E's filed budget.

- Consistent with:
 - The number of homestreated goal
 - The increased number of refrigerator installations expected
- Revised budget filed inPG&EReply Testimony, Dec. 9, 2011.

ESA Budget

PG&⊞SAProgram Budgets 2012-2014					
Filed	2012	2013	2014	Cycle T	ptal
PG&EApp 5/15/2011	\$137,90	4,000 \$167	525,000 \$	73,422,000	\$478,851,00
PG&EReply Testimony 12/9/2011 *	\$15	7,023,000	\$162,622,000	\$168,347,0	00 \$487,99
PD 5% Adjustment 5/4/2012	\$132,	321,707 \$1	60,743,662	\$166,401,95	5 \$459,467,
PG&ECorrects PD in Comments5/24/20	12 \$150	,158,500 \$	56,994,000	\$162,453,00	0 \$471,032
Proposed Numberof Homesto be Treated					
PG&EApp 5/15/2011	110	,000 13	32,500 1	132,500	375,000
PG&EReply Testimony 12/9/201		25,000	125,000	125,000	375,000
PD 5% Adjustment 5/4/2012	1	19,940	119,940	119,940	359,820
PG&EPD Comments5/24/2012	110	,940 1°	19,940	119,940	359,820
* PG&F's revised FSA Program Budget	(Reply Test	fimony filed	Dec 9 20	11)	

PG&E's revised ESA Program Budget (Reply Testimony filed Dec. 9, 2011)

¹⁾ PG&Erevised homestreated to equalize annual goals (increasing homestreated in 2012 from 125,000, and decreasing each of 2013-2014 homestreated from 132,500 to 125,000; the 3-year to same).

²⁾ PG&Encreased 2012-2014 budgets to accommodatehigher number of pre-1999 refrigerators fore new market potential data received from KEMAin Dec 2011.



ESA: Landlord-Owned Property

Water Heaters and Furnaces

The ESAProgram should not provide Water Heaters and Furnaces in tenant-occupied buildings

- PG&E believes this prohibition is fair to all customers as providing these measures would significantly reduce the number of homestreated.
- The Energy Efficiency proceeding provides rebates for Water Heaters and Furnaces.
- Replacing broken or hazardous equipment is providing ratepayer funding to landlords who would have to repair or replace this equipment anyway.
- The CPUchas consistently not provided furnaces and water heaters under ESAexcept when the equipment fails NGAT.



Impacts of Increased Income Verification

- PG&Esupports increased income verification using statistical modeling to target those least likely to be eligible
- The 90% penetration goal needs to be relaxed as increased income verification will result in more customers falling off the program
- Doubling PG&E's PEV rate to 20% would reduce the penetration rate to 84%by the end of 2014(based on 2012 eligibility estimates)

Enrollment & Verification Estimates - Comparison by Approach						
Approach	Application Filing	Proposed Decision	20%PEV Rate			
Annual Verification Requests	120,000	800,000	310,000			
Percent of Total Enrolled	8%	52%	20%			
Admin Costs - Verification	\$625K	\$4M	\$1.6M			
2012-2014 Net Enrollment Change	47,000	-459,000	-134,000			
2014 Year-End Enrollment	1,581,000	1,075,000	1,400,000			
2014 Year-End Penetration Rate	95%	65%	84%			



High Usage Customers

- PG&Esupports opportunity for all >400% of Baseline customers to participate in ESA.
- ESAeligibility requirements must be modified to allow these customers to be treated by ESA.

Streamlining Categorical Program Eligibility

- PG&Eagrees that workshops with all parties would be a good forum to review categorical program alignment with CAREeligibility requirements:
 - Household versus individual (e.g., Lifeline) eligibility needs to be reconciled
- PG&Esupports income verifying any customer that falls into the top 20%most likely ineligible group, even if they initially qualified categorically.