From: Warner, Christopher (Law

Sent: 7/18/2012 12:09:13 PM

To: DNg@semprautilities.com (DNg@semprautilities.com); claire.torchia@sce.com (claire.torchia@sce.com); 'Lee, Diana' (diana.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Pagedar, Sujata (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=sxpg); Redacted Redacted Redacted Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: RE: informal request for information related to ALJ Ruling soliciting Comment re SB 1018 PLUS procedural question

Thx Diana, I think the answers to 2 and 3 should be available pretty quickly, but 1 may be a bit more difficult. I'll check from my end on how quickly we can assemble this info for you – given the shortness of the comment period, it may be that we will only be able to get this to you on the same schedule as the initial comments, but we will certainly try to get you something ahead of time.

In addition, I expect PG&E would support DRA's request for an opportunity to file reply comments – I will doublecheck with my client.

Chris

From: Lee, Diana [mailto:diana.lee@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2012 5:47 PM
To: claire.torchia@sce.com; dng@semprautilities.com; Warner, Christopher (Law)
Cc: Redacted
Subject: informal request for information related to ALJ Ruling soliciting Comment re SB 1018 PLUS procedural question

Greetings Claire, Deana, and Chris, DRA is in the process of preparing comments in response to the 7/11 ALJ ruling asking 6 questions about SB 1018. Because of the tight time frame, we don't have time to submit formal data requests with a 10-day turn around, but wonder whether SCE, SDG&E, and PG&E would be able to provide this information to DRA in advance of the July 27 deadline for comments. If possible, we would appreciate it by next Tuesday July 24. If a phone call would be easier than responding in an email, that would work for us. If that is the preferred approach for any of you, perhaps the knowledgeable person could call Jordan Parrillo at 415-703-1562 or email him to set up a time.

1. Are the IOUs able to estimate what percentage of total bundled sales (and/or of total additional generation costs attributed to GHG costs) is to EITE industry ratepayers? If so, can you please provide DRA with those estimates?

2. Are the IOUs able to estimate what percentage of total bundled sales (and/or of total additional generation costs attributed to GHG costs) is to non-residential ratepayers that draw under 20 kW in demand? If so, can you please provide DRA with those estimates?
3. Looking at the Joint IOU Rate Impact Model, is it accurate to say that the values in the Illustrative Bill Impact tabs labeled 'Non-CARE T3T5 sales as portion of residential sales' (PG&E, SCE) and 'Non-CARE T3T4 sales as portion of residential sales' (SDG&E) represent the portion of residential ratepayers that will absorb all of the GHG cost impacts allocated to the residential customer class? Do those values represent the current reality to the best of the IOU's knowledge?

Aside from these questions, I would like to ask the ALJs for the opportunity for parties to file reply comments 10 days after opening. I would appreciate it if you would let me know whether you support, oppose, or are neutral such a request.

thanks,

Diana Lee

415-703-4342