

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 7/10/2012 12:29:37 PM
To: Shori, Sunil (SKS@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd), [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in F [Redacted]

Sunil

Attached below are field pictures of the [Redacted] DFM corrosion leak showing the pipe in the ground. These views are consistent with the previous information that a backhoe nicked the pipe and compromised the HAA coating which allowed water to get to the pipe and cause the corrosion leak.

In the meantime, I understand your position that, while the pressure test here did not cause this corrosion leak, in future the CPUC wants to be notified whenever a pre-existing leak causes the test to be terminated. I will see that this new directive is communicated to the team.

Please note that this communication includes the names of personnel below the director-level and is provided under the confidentiality protections of Public Utilities Code section 583.

Chuck

[Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 7:44 AM
To: Campbell, Ben (Hydrotest); [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

fyi

[Redacted]

GC Field Engineer
Pipeline Safety Enhancement

[Redacted]

R
e
d
a
c
t
e
d

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 6:16 AM
To: [Redacted]

Subject: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in Redacted on Redacted

<<...>> <<...>> <<...>>

These pictures are from Redacted