From: [Redacted]

Sent: 7/10/2012 2:44:08 PM

To: Shori, Sunil (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov) (sunil.shori@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak [Redacted]

Tell me if this comes through.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 12:30 PM
To: 'Shori, Sunil'
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

Sunil

Attached below are field pictures of the [Redacted] DFM corrosion leak showing the pipe in the ground. These views are consistent with the previous information that a backhoe nicked the pipe and compromised the HAA coating which allowed water to get to the pipe and cause the corrosion leak.

In the meantime, I understand your position that, while the pressure test here did not cause this corrosion leak, in future the CPUC wants to be notified whenever a pre-existing leak causes the test to be terminated. I will see that this new directive is communicated to the team.

Please note that this communication includes the names of personnel below the director-level and is provided under the confidentiality protections of Public Utilities Code section 583.

Chuck

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 7:44 AM
To: Campbell, Ben (Hydrotest); [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

fyi

[Redacted]

Pipeline Safety Enhancement

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 6:16 AM
Redacted Mannie, Joel C
Subject: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in

Redacted

<<...>> <<...>>

These pictures are from Redacted