

From: Shori, Sunil
Sent: 7/10/2012 2:57:01 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd) [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

[Redacted]

I got the e-mail; however, I can't make out any of the pipe in the photographs. Am I missing something?

Sunil

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 2:44 PM
To: Shori, Sunil
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

Tell me if this comes through.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 12:30 PM
To: 'Shori, Sunil'
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

Sunil

Attached below are field pictures of the [Redacted] leak showing the pipe in the ground. These views are consistent with the previous information that a backhoe nicked the pipe and compromised the HAA coating which allowed water to get to the pipe and cause the corrosion leak.

In the meantime, I understand your position that, while the pressure test here did not cause this corrosion leak, in future the CPUC wants to be notified whenever a pre-existing leak causes the test to be terminated. I will see that this new directive is communicated to the team.

Please note that this communication includes the names of personnel below the director-level and is provided under the confidentiality protections of Public Utilities Code section 583.

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 7:44 AM
To: Campbell, Ben (Hydrotest); [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Pictures of PR002-12 Leak in [Redacted]

fyi

[Redacted]

GC Field Engineer

Pipeline Safety Enhancement


[Redacted]


Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 6:16 AM

To: Redacted Mannie, Joel C

Subject: Pictures of PR002-12 | Redacted





These pictures are from Redacted