

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 7/31/2012 5:44:55 PM
To: matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov (matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] Dietz,
Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: Re: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip Code+4 Digit Areas

Hi Matthew,

Attached is PG&E's response to Questions 10 through 14 providing system wide data on customer accounts by zip code+4 digit areas; and for Questions 10 through 14 for the City and County of San Francisco requested in Question 15. Also attached are PG&E's responses to Questions 16 and 17.

If you have any questions, please let me know. We would be glad to walk you through the attachments including the graphic presentation of the results.

[Redacted]

PG&E

Regulatory Relations

Principal Regulatory Case Manager

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2012 3:59 PM
To: 'matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov'
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]
Subject: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip Code+4 Digit Areas

Hi Matthew,

Attached is PG&E's response to Questions 1 through 9 providing system wide data on customer accounts by zip code+4 digit areas. Also attached is PG&E's response to Questions 1 through 9 for the City and County of San Francisco requested in Question 15. We will provide our response for the remaining questions as soon as possible.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

[Redacted]

PG&E

Regulatory Relations

Principal Regulatory Case Manager

[Redacted]

From: Tisdale, Matthew [<mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 07:35 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Subject: Data Request

Sid,

Could PG&E please provide the following data by July 20?

1. Total number of active accounts in PG&E service territory
2. Total number of active accounts broken into Commercial, Industrial, and Residential customer classes
3. Total number of Zip Code+4 digit areas in PG&E service territory
4. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 15 or more active accounts (+ % of total)
5. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 10 to 14 active accounts (+ % of total)
6. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 5 to 9 active accounts (+ % of total)
7. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 3 or 4 active accounts (+ % of total)
8. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 2 active accounts (+ % of total)
9. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account (+ % of total)
10. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 15% of the total usage for the area.
11. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 25% of the total usage for the area.
12. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 50% of the total usage for the area.
13. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 75% of the total usage for the area.
14. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that equals 100% of the total usage for the area. (A graph might accomplish #s10-14 effectively)
15. To narrow the search from the whole service territory to urban centers, please repeat 1-15 for the City and County of San Francisco.
16. Please explain other filters or screens available in the active account database. Please provide a list of available alternatives to Zip Code+4 built into the IOU's database of active accounts. For example, can the database filter by county, city, census track, block

number area, zip code, area code, etc.?

17. To assist the Commission in understanding the database of active accounts and how customer energy usage data is organized, please provide a slice of the database in excel format. The slice should include all available fields and include at least 10 active accounts. If anonymizing the information eases or expedites a response, please do so.

If you have any questions about this data request, please let me know at your earliest convenience.

Thank you,

Matthew