

From: Louie, Stephanie

Sent: 8/22/2012 4:43:07 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7); Choudhuri, Saipriya (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=S1C7); RegRelCPUCCases (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RegRelCPUCCases); Huffman, Mark (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MRH2); Khamou, Karen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=K1K3); Halverson, Shaun E (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SEHc); Kjellund, Niels (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=NXK2); Koo, Alyssa (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ATK4); 'cpuccases@pge.com' (cpuccases@pge.com); Iwahashi, Miyuki (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MXI8); Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd); Lucha, Ed (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ELL5); Mason, Cory (law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CMMw); Winn, Valerie J (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=VJW3); Yan, Jason (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JaY2); Pappas, John (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JSP5); Rohde, Lauren (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=LDRi); Reilly, Brooke A (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=BARI1); Vanko, Maria (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MNVA); 'RPSCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov' (RPSCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: R11 05 005, Proposal for Extension of Time on Reply Comments on Feed-In Tariff PPA and Tariffs,

*\*\*\*\* Please note this e-mail was previously sent today, but not all parties received it due to e-mail server capacity constraints, so PG&E is now re-sending and splitting the service list into 20-addressee groups to avoid rejection. \*\*\*\**

TO ALL PARTIES IN R.11-05-005:

Pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice and Procedure 11.6, this e-mail requests that the parties agree to a twelve (12) day extension for reply comments on the Feed-In Tariff (FIT) Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) and the separate utility tariffs implementing the FIT program adopted in D.12-05-035. Reply comments are currently due Wednesday, August 29. Given the volume of opening comments and detailed proposals for amending the FIT PPA and utility tariffs, PG&E is proposing a twelve (12) day extension for reply comments so that reply comments would be due on Monday, September 10. This short extension will give parties sufficient time to carefully review and respond to all of the initial comments and proposals filed on August 15.

Rule 11.6 requires that before requesting an extension from an Administrative Law Judge, a party contact all of the other parties in the proceeding and “make a good-faith effort to ask such parties to agree to an extension.” Please let me know by 9:00 a.m. on Thursday (8/23) whether you support or oppose an extension. We will then send an e-mail to ALJ DeAngelis requesting a twelve (12) day extension and indicating which parties support or oppose an extension.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Stephanie Louie, on behalf of

CHARLES MIDDLEKAUFF

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

NOTE: The recipient portion of this e-mail may not reflect all the addressees who are being served. The service list has been split into 20-addressee groups, to avoid rejection by CPUC and other e-mail servers.

Please note that the PG&E law department does not maintain the official service list for docket no. R11 05 005. If you would no longer like to receive documents regarding this docket, please contact the CPUC Process Office directly via email at [Process\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) or by phone at 415-703-2021 to remove yourself from the official service list.