

From: Louie, Stephanie

Sent: 8/23/2012 10:04:18 AM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Choudhuri, Saipriya (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=S1C7);  
RegRelCPUCCases (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RegRelCPUCCases); Huffman, Mark (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MRH2); Khamou, Karen (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=K1K3); Halverson, Shaun E (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SEHc); Kjellund, Niels (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=NXK2); Koo, Alyssa (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ATK4); 'cpuccases@pge.com' (cpuccases@pge.com); Iwahashi, Miyuki (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MXI8); Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd); Lucha, Ed (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=ELL5); Mason, Cory (law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CMMw); Winn, Valerie J (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=VJW3); Yan, Jason (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JaY2); Pappas, John (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JSP5); Rohde, Lauren (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=LDRi); Reilly, Brooke A (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=BARI1); Vanko, Maria (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MNVA); 'RPSCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov' (RPSCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: R11 05 005, Extension of Time on Reply Comments on Feed-In Tariff PPA and Tariffs

ALJ DeAngelis:

Pursuant to Rule 11.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) respectfully requests a twelve (12) day extension of time to file reply briefs concerning the Feed-In Tariff (FIT) form Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) and separate utility tariffs implementing the FIT Program consistent with D.12-05-035. Initial comments on the form PPA and tariffs were filed on August 15 and reply comments are due August 29. Given the volume of comments and proposals, PG&E believes that the parties and the Commission would benefit from a short extension of time to prepare reply comments. PG&E requests a twelve day extension so that reply comments would be due Monday, September 10.

Consistent with Rule 11.6, PG&E sent an e-mail to all of the parties in this proceeding yesterday, August 22, asking if parties supported or opposed an extension. No parties indicated an opposition to a request for extension. The following parties indicated they support PG&E's request: Placer County Air Pollution Control District, Clean Coalition, Jan Reid, Southern California Edison, and Solar Energy Industries Association.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Stephanie Louie, on behalf of

**Charles Middlekauff**

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

cc: All Parties in R.11-05-005

NOTE: The recipient portion of this e-mail may not reflect all the addressees who are being served. The service list has been split into 20-addressee groups, to avoid rejection by CPUC and other e-mail servers.

Please note that the PG&E Law Department does not maintain the official service list for Docket No. R.11-05-005. If you would no longer like to receive documents regarding this docket, please contact the CPUC Process Office directly via email at [Process\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) or by phone at 415-703-2021 to remove yourself from the official service list.