

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 8/29/2012 10:20:44 AM
To: Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3);
'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Can you tell me details of this?

Michelle,

This is to confirm that these amounts are all transmission level expense, not distribution and not capital. Other kinds of work in this category include vintage pipe camera inspections and station testing requested by the NTSB, work on Line 132 (the insertion project, removal of pipe, etc.), and patrolling and work related to class and encroachment verification. These costs were either not contemplated in the last Gas Transmission & Storage rate case settlement (otherwise known as the Gas Accord) in D. 11-04-031, or San Bruno changed things such that the level of costs anticipated changed. Most items fall in the former category.

Trina

From: Cooke, Michelle [mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 8:14 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: Re: Can you tell me details of this?

Thanks, that would be helpful.

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 07:57 PM
To: Bottorff, Thomas E <TEB3@pge.com>; Cooke, Michelle
Subject: RE: Can you tell me details of this?

Hi Michelle, I don't have that additional detail at my fingertips tonight but can track it down and get back to you tomorrow.

Trina

From: Bottorff, Thomas E
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 6:09 PM
To: 'Cooke, Michelle'
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Can you tell me details of this?

Michelle,

Yes; this is spending above authorized levels to conduct additional leak surveys, MAOP validations, records collection, and in-line inspections. I'll defer to Trina on what's capital and expense, and also to provide additional details on the authorized amounts.

Tom

From: Cooke, Michelle [<mailto:michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 6:02 PM
To: Bottorff, Thomas E
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: Can you tell me details of this?

Hi Tom- In the offer last week and today, PG&E indicated that it would absorb the following:

Non-PSEP Gas Work

Non-PSEP Gas Work (2010/2011)	\$ 85 million
Non-PSEP Gas Work (2012 forecast)	\$ 105 million

Can you tell me what this is made up of (i.e., transmission or distribution gas spending, expense or capital, or more about the nature of what is included in these line items)? I assume that this is spending above PG&E authorized levels in various cases, if so, where was the revenue authorized that did not include these costs and provide a decision number.

Thanks.

Michelle Cooke

Director of Administrative Services

California Public Utilities Commission

415 703 2163

415 987 1184

mlc@cpuc.ca.gov

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>