

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue  
Implementation and Administration of California  
Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 11-05-005  
(Filed May 5, 2011)

**MOTION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF  
THE UNREDACTED VERSION OF  
THE UPDATED 2012 RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROCUREMENT  
PLAN OF TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC. AND PROPOSED ORDER**

Pursuant to Rule 11.4 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and in accordance with Decision (D.) 06-06-006 and D.08-04-023, Tiger Natural Gas, Inc. (“TNG”) hereby submits this motion for leave to file under seal and confidential treatment of the unredacted version of its Updated 2012 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan (“Updated RPS Plan”) filed concurrently with this motion.

In D.06-06-066, the Commission adopted rules governing the confidentiality of certain categories of electric procurement data routinely submitted to the Commission by investor owned utilities (“IOUs”) and electric service providers (“ESPs”). In addition, the Commission adopted two “matrices”—one for IOU data (the “IOU Matrix”) and one for ESP data (the “ESP Matrix”)—specifying the confidential treatment to be afforded to certain categories of data, as identified in the applicable matrix, that are routinely submitted to the Commission. Subsequently, in D.08-04-023, the Commission clarified the categories of data that are eligible

for confidential treatment under D.06-06-066 and made corresponding modification to the ESP Matrix.<sup>1</sup>

D.08-04-023 provides that where, as in the case of the RPS Plan, “[a] party files a pleading in a formal proceeding, including data of the type addressed in the Matrices to D.06-06-066, and seeks confidential treatment of that data,” the party is required to file a motion that complies with the requirements of Rule 11.4 and meets the five required showings specified in Ordering Paragraph 2 of D.06-06-066:

1. That the material constitutes a particular type of data listed in the Matrix;
2. The category or categories in the Matrix to which the data correspond;
3. That the submitting party is complying with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data;
4. That the information is not already public; and
5. That the data cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized, masked or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure.

On August 15, 2012, TNG filed and served a redacted public version of the Updated RPS Plan. To the extent data submitted to the Commission by TNG in the unredacted Updated RPS Plan falls within one or more of the categories of data set forth in the ESP Matrix, the data are eligible for the confidential treatment specified in the ESP Matrix.<sup>2</sup> In addition, the Commission has previously determined that data that does not fall within a matrix category but which “consists of information from which (Matrix) information may be easily derived” is eligible for the corresponding confidential treatment specified in the matrix.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See D.08-04-023, *mimeo*, pp. 11-19 and Appendix B.

<sup>2</sup> See D.06-06-066, *mimeo*, p. 23 and Conclusion of Law 6.

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., R.06-05-027, *Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling on San Diego Gas & Electric Company’s April 3, 2007 Motion to File Data Under Seal* (May 4, 2007), *mimeo*, p. 2.

In accordance with the aforesaid requirements, TNG hereby affirms that the following data for which it seeks confidential treatment is either Matrix data or consists of information from which Matrix data may be easily derived, as described in the following table:

**TABLE OF CONFIDENTIAL DATA**

<b>Description of Data</b>	<b>Spreadsheet Location</b>	<b>Matrix Category</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
<b>Annual Retail Sales (MWh)</b>	Cells B3-F3	I.A and I.B	Because TNG procures all of its energy requirements for a given year through short-term transactions made shortly before and during the year in question, TNG’s “net short” for any given year is nearly identical with its annual retail sales in that year.
<b>Procurement Targets (MWh)</b>	Cells B5-F5	I.A and I.B	Because TNG’s APTs are calculated based on annual retail sales using a formula that is in the public record, public disclosure of TNG’s APT (MWh) data would reveal its net short.
<b>RPS-Eligible Procurement Data (MWh)</b>	Cells C6-F6, C8-F8, B10-F10, B12-F12 and B13-F13	I.A and I.B	TNG’s annual retail sales could be calculated by multiplying TNG’s annual RPS procurement (MWh) by TNG’s reported RPS actual procurement percentages.

TNG further affirms that the data for which TNG is seeking confidentiality treatment is not already public, and that the redacted data could be made public without the risk of harm to TNG and its customers if and only if the data were aggregated with that of all electric service providers (“ESPs”).

TNG therefore requests, in accordance with the confidentiality treatment specified in D.06-06-066, D.08-04-023, and the ESP Matrix, that the Commission grant confidential treatment to the first three years of forecast data and the prior year’s historical data specified in the above Table of Confidential Data. As required by Rule 11.4, a Proposed Order is attached to this motion.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Gregory S. G. Klatt  
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL  
21700 Oxnard Street, Suite 1030  
Woodland Hills, California 91367  
Telephone: (818) 961-3002  
Facsimile: (818) 961-3004  
Email: klatt@energyattorney.com

Attorney for **TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC.**

August 15, 2012

**VERIFICATION**

I, Gregory S. G. Klatt, am counsel for the Tiger Natural Gas, Inc. and am authorized to make this Verification on its behalf. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements in the foregoing copy of the Motion for Confidential Treatment of the Unredacted Version of the Updated 2012 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan of Tiger Natural Gas, Inc., and Proposed Order, filed in R.11-05-005, are true of my own knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information or belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

Executed on August 15, 2012, at Woodland Hills, California.



Gregory S. G. Klatt  
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

Attorney for the  
**TIGER NATURAL GAS, INC.**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue  
Implementation and Administration of California  
Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 11-05-005  
(Filed May 5, 2011)

**PROPOSED ORDER**

Pursuant to Rule 11.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and in accordance with Decision (D.) 06-06-006 and D.08-04-023, Tiger Natural Gas, Inc ("TNG"), a registered electric service provider ("ESP"), filed a Motion on August 15, 2012, for leave to file under seal and confidential treatment of the unredacted version of its Updated 2012 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan ("Updated RPS Plan") filed concurrently with the motion. The Motion requests confidential treatment of specified data in TNG's Updated RPS Plan.

The data for which TNG requests confidential treatment are protected under the ESP Matrix adopted in D.06-06-066, as modified in D.08-04-023. In addition, the Motion complies with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the ESP Matrix, and the data in question are not already public. TNG further claims that the data can be made public without risking material harm to TNG and its customers only if the data are aggregated with that of all ESPs.

Therefore, it is ordered that:

1. The confidential data contained in TNG's Updated RPS Plan shall remain sealed and is not to be disclosed to anyone other than Commission staff, the Assigned Commissioner, the assigned Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") or an ALJ designated to decide this motion.
2. Further proceedings, if any, held with respect to matters contained in the

confidential data shall be conducted in a manner the assigned ALJ deems reasonably necessary to protect the confidentiality of the data described herein;

3. Non-market participants may request access to the confidential information pursuant to applicable rules; and

4. All additional documentary evidence in this proceeding that addresses or relates to the confidential data must be treated in the confidential manner described in paragraphs 1 and 2, above.

---

Administrative Law Judge

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_