## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company with Respect to Facilities Records for its Natural Gas Transmission System Pipelines.

I.11-02-016 (Filed February 24, 2011)

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO "FRIENDLY" CROSS-EXAMINATION

LISE H. JORDAN

Law Department

COURTNEY LINN

Ouith Heriantee 8.6

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Orrick, Herrington, & Sutcliffe LLP

77 Beale Street The Orrick Building San Francisco, CA 94105 405 Howard Street

Telephone: (415) 973-6965 San Francisco, CA 94105 Facsimile: (415) 973-0516 Telephone: (415) 773-5505 Email: LHJ2@pge.com Facsimile: (415) 773-5759

Email: jmalkin@orrick.com

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

August 30, 2012

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company with Respect to Facilities Records for its Natural Gas Transmission System Pipelines.

I.11-02-016 (Filed February 24, 2011)

## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO "FRIENDLY" CROSS-EXAMINATION

As noted in the Joint Submission of Witness Schedule, etc., filed concurrently, CPSD and intervenors propose to "cross-examine" each other's witnesses. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) objects to such "friendly" cross-examination. If the ALJ allows it, she should also allow PG&E to conduct additional direct examination of its witnesses.

This is a serious enforcement proceeding in which the Commission has said PG&E faces significant civil penalties. Under these circumstances, PG&E is entitled to the highest standard of due process.

CPSD and the intervenors are aligned in asserting that PG&E has violated rules and regulations. None of them would gain by cross-examination to test or undermine the testimony they are "cross-examining." Thus, in the name of "cross-examination," their questioning of each other's witnesses amounts to additional or supplemental direct testimony that will be used to provide the witnesses the opportunity to elaborate or further explain their positions.

Stacking the deck by allowing the parties adverse to it to do additional direct while denying PG&E the same opportunity would not be consistent with the requirements of procedural due process. PG&E urges the ALJ to preclude "friendly" cross amounting to additional direct. If the

ALJ decides to permit it, then, in fairness, she should also allow PG&E to conduct additional direct of its witnesses.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lise H. Jordan

LISE H. JORDAN Law Department

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

77 Beale Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 973-6965 Facsimile: (415) 973-0516

Email: LHJ2@pge.com

/s/ Joseph M. Malkin

JOSEPH M. MALKIN

COURTNEY LINN

Orrick, Herrington, & Sutcliffe LLP

The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 773-5505 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759

Email: jmalkin@orrick.com

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

August 30, 2012