

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implementation and Administration Renewables Portfolio Standard Pro	Rulemaking 051005 (Filed May 15, 2011)
---	---

CLAIM AND DECISION ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION

Claimant: Clean Coalition (formerly the FIT Coalition)	For contribution to D.11-11-012 and D.10-12-048
Claimed (\$): 45,010	Awarded (\$):
Assigned Commissioner: Ferron	Assigned ALJ: DeAngelis
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1). <p style="text-align: right;">Signature: </p>	
Date: 12/30/2011	Printed Name: Tamlyn Hunt

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. Brief Description of Decision: D.11-11-012, Granted the Clean Co amendments to SCE's CRE prog D.10-12-048, Created the RAM pro IOUs to submit advice letters

B. Claimant must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812:

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
--	-----------------	----------------------

Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (§ 1804(a)):		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	June 13, 2011	
2. Other Specified Date for NOI:		
3. Date NOI Filed:	7/8/2011	
4. Was the notice of intent timely filed?		
Showing of customer or customer-related status (§ 1802(b)):		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	Not yet issued	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	TBD	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):	Ruling forthcoming	
8. Has the claimant demonstrated customer or customer-related status?		
Showing of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)):		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	R.10-05-006	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	7/19/2011	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the claimant demonstrated significant financial hardship?		
Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):		
13. Identify Final Decision	D.11-11-012, 웹 012-0148 웹 009)	
14. Date of Issuance of Final Decision:	Nov. 웹 17, 웹 20 D.11-11-012 웹 and Dec. 웹 16, 웹 20 D.10-12-048	
15. File date of compensation request:	December 웹 30, 웹	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		Yes

C. Additional Comments on Part I (use line reference # as appropriate):

#	Claimant	CPUC	Comment

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. In the fields below, describe in a concise manner Claimant’s contribution to the final decision (see § 1802(i), § 1803(a) & D.9804-059) (For each contribution, support with specific reference to final or record.)

Contribution	Citation to Decision or Record	Showing Accepted by CPUC
<p>1.D.11-11-012 (CREST motion) The Clean Energy Coalition submit motion CLEAN COALITION MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE AMENDMENTS OF AB 1969 CREST POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENT), on Aug. 26, 2011, re: CREST program PPA; comments and reply Proposed Decision. We motion after learning developers that the program simply wasn’t Developers and advocates Clean Coalition had with SCE over the years to improve the avail. SCE had a stakeholder reform program 2011 but abandoned prompted the Clean motion. The decision solely due to the motion, so our substantial contribution in this motion. We also filed opening comments on Nov. 1, 2011, on Nov. 7, 2011</p>	<p>The Commission heard our motion on 12, and sided with the Commission on all but one decision. The decisionize (p. 2): “This decision modifications, the Clean Coalition, new of Clean Coalition for Immediate Amendments of AB 1969 CREST Power Purchase Agreement. Clean requests changes to California Edison Company California Renewable Energy Tariff Power Purchase (PPA) so that small developers have an to receive federal § 1603 of the and Reinvestment Tax projects. We direct 1 advice letter to, changes, (1) modify (Date of Initial Operation Section 4.2(d)(3) (Term Termination); (2) Section (Term and Termination); Section 12 man (4) remove Section (future modifications) and 14.4 for modifications); and new contract sections, Majeure, Indemnification, Curtailment, and Collateral Requirements. We na certain matters regarding</p>	<p>Clean Purchase</p>

	interconnection.”	
<p>2. D.10-12-048 (It was formerly known as Coalition this decision are submitted for this decision was decided in a proceeding (R.11-05-005) and because we do so from an email from Coalition submissions for a (Oct. 19, 2009, “Pricing and</p>		

¹ Hunt’s email to Judge DeAngelis:

Judge DeAngelis,

I’m writing to inquire about timing for applying for intervenor compensation. We spent a significant amount of time on RPS proceeding issues that are not part of the CREST decision (which just came out). For example, we spent a lot of time on the RAM advice letters. Would it be appropriate to include this time in our comp request on the CREST decision?

Sincerely,

Tam Hunt, Policy Advisor and Attorney
 Clean Coalition
 (805) 214-6150

Judge DeAngelis’ response on 11/18/11:

Mr. Hunt, It would be appropriate. Thank you.

Regina M. DeAngelis

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

<p>the Proposed Decision Oct. 7, 2010, the Clean Energy Coalition submitted detailed comments on the RAMP in 2011 (March 17, 2011) Coalition</p>		
<p>Made recommendations on sharing requirements for interconnection opening comments</p>	<p>The Decision states: “For the initial rollout of the FIT Coalition recommendation require the IOUs to provide ‘available capacity’ at each substation and circuit we define as the minus the allocated capacity. The IOUs should provide this information in an understandable format initially prior to detail, and establish a data at the most feasible, and work to precision of the time.”</p>	
<p>Argued that requiring cost bids to be a violation of federal opening comments</p>	<p>The decision comments on this (38): “PG&E, SCE, and that a requirement for bids to be set at the market a 50% premium violates federal law. They violate state law (Public Section 399.15(d)) which limitation on the IOUs’ procure renewable energy MPR costs. They violate federal law and would require the power at a rate FIT Coalition Vote Solar, Alliance and IEP opposition arguments about the proposed decision. The Vote Solar opposes the arguments about state contends that IOUs’ are</p>	

	<p>are based on the assumption that RAM exceed the MPR. The proposed decision does federal law because it set targets for the procurement of specific and the prices would be determined through a mechanism.” The decision these concats (19): “The proposed decision required the utilities eligible projects up to accept all bids offered up to a fixed price capacity cap. Parties legality of this approach both federal and state federal law issue is in this decision because the IOUs’ discretion in instances of market non-competitive pricing compared to other renewable opportunities. See S details on project</p>	
<p>Recommended a 4,000 cap</p>	<p>The decision did our recommendation (24): “In response to 1,000 MW cap, Solar Club, First Solar, Community College District Solar and others argued or no cap. For Alliance recommends a MW; recommends MW (with all FIT included); FIT Coalition recommends 4,000 MW minimum of \$100 per year); LA Comm District and Vote Sol no cap (i.e. unlimited). The decision included</p>	

	<p>“Parties provide a recommendations on the appropriate cap level, unlimited authorization, of ED’s 1,000 MW have had mixed experience uncapped programs and adopt this expansion program at least some evidence. We decline to adopt no cap. The 1,000 to three IOUs is provide market opportunity being sufficiently small protection against bad outcomes in the absence of a requirement cap, we DRA and First Solar nameplate capacity MW to be procured IOUs over the next may adjust our 1,000 time has evidence of and decisions.”</p>	
<p>Argued for increased prices Coalition pricing comments, (p. 5)</p>	<p>The decisions “Parties present a FIT Coalition that prices for each project revealed or the key identified by ED (i.e. provides an investment signal) will not be</p> <p>The Commission our recommendations (p. expect ED, respondents, to explore all real make price and other widely available. At require specific information revealed publicly. For received and shortlisted, the IOUs to provide information: names of companies and the number</p>	

	<p>per company; number received and shortlisted; size, participating technology quantitative summary of projects passed each viability screen, and by county provided. Finally, the IOUs must information on the project development milestones all executed RAM.</p>	
<p>3. Resolution (No) advice</p> <p>The IOUs submitted a implement the RAM Clean Coalition submitted comments and PG letters March 2 attachment COALITION OPENING COMMENTS ON ADVICE LETTERS. The Coalition agreed with all but Coalition's recommendations as described.</p>	<p>Resolution</p>	
<p>Argued that auction should remain at Coalition comments,</p>	<p>The resolution (4): Decision direct to hold two auction year over year. its advice, change the Decision's requirement of holding auctions per year. auction per year. supports this request Alliance, Clean Coalition and Recurrent oppose it. resolution agreed with Coalition concludes "The IOUs shall every six months. auction shall close November 15, 2011, second auction shall</p>	

	later than May 31	
<p>Argued that full capacity should not be used for projects</p>	<p>The resolution generally with our position but additional nuance by deliverability to be secured at developer (p. 16) does not require the same capacity deliverability unless the seller can deliverability with no costs to the seller. We not use achievement deliverability as a select criterion nor shall the achievement of full capacity deliverability status as precedent to commercial operation.”</p>	
<p>Opposed the use of caps</p>	<p>The resolution agreed position (p. 18) that the estimated transmission upgrade costs resulting most recent interconnect to the seller’s proposals bids. SCE and SDG&E the transmission network cost caps from their protocols and contract.”</p>	
<p>Argued that SCE’s RA in compliance 12-04 7-8)</p>	<p>The resolution agreed stating (p. 21) that parties that SCE’s may provide “available capacity substation and circuit required in the Decision should not be available capacity at the substation circuit level for its locations within 30 days resolution.”</p>	
<p>Argued that any bids higher than the media accepted by IOUs 16)</p>	<p>The resolution did not with this point (p. 22) purposely did not determine so that the their discretion based</p>	

	nearly ten years of procuring renewable energy through a competitive process as a Clean Energy Coalition proposal is rejected.”	
Argued that IOU suggest forecasting requirements w/onerous	in part, stating shall work with part more standardized requirements and submit language in the company required by this decision. us, however, on our recommendation (p. 32). Coalition that the be responsible for forecasting. Decision did not require responsible for staff reject the proposal.”	
Objected to IOU proposal performance obligation	The resolution agreed Clean Coalition (p. 33) shall use the performance ordered in the CARE	
Objected to SCE’s proposal cap on damages	The resolution agreed 33): SCE shall require actual damages and damages based on the ceiling and floor.”	

B. Duplication of Effort (§§ 1801.3(f) & 1802.5):

	Claimant	CPUC Verified
a. Was DRA a party to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding? (Y/N)	Y	
c. If so, provide name of other parties:		
D.11-11-012: Comments were filed by SCE, Silverado Power was supportive of our are also hundreds of other parties to comments on the decision in the certificate filing).		
D.10-12-048: On September 27, 2010, comments CARE, CEERT, DRA, enXco, FIT Coalition,		

Reid, Sierra Club, Solar Alliance, Sustainable Conservation, Western Power Trading Group, and others. The parties were aligned with the DRA and other parties to avoid duplication or how your participation supplemented, complemented, or contributed to that of another party.

d. Describe how you coordinated with DRA and other parties to avoid duplication or how your participation supplemented, complemented, or contributed to that of another party:

The Clean Coalition's participation in the proceedings reduced the risk of duplication of the showings of 011-012, there was essentially no duplication. We took the lead in submitting the motion with SCE and other parties.

With respect to the DRA decision, we advise the Clean Coalition is seeking compensation, in a participants (and there were many in this case) virtually impossible for the Clean Coalition to avoid duplication of the work by other parties. We noted that duplication may be practically unavoidable where many stakeholders are involved.

In this case, the Clean Coalition took duplication to a minimum, and to ensure we served to complement and assist the showings. We reviewed the parties' comments and we also note comments were unique on many issues. Moreover, the Commission cited the Clean Coalition's comments as the non-duplicative nature of our comments.

In summary, any incidental duplication that should be found to be more than offset by our contributions to the proceeding. Under these circumstances, our compensation due to duplication is warranted.

C. Additional Comments on Part II (use line reference # or letter as appropriate):

#	Claimant	CPUC	Comment

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION (to be completed by Claimant except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§§ 1801 & 1806):

Concise explanation as to how the cost of claimant’s participation bears a reasonable relationship with benefits realized through participation (include references to record, where appropriate)	CPUC Verified
<p>With respect to the Clean Energy Coalition directed at creating a unified umbrella. AB 1969 set in place SCE’s implementing the program in a way that alone construction of projects. The L the AB 1969 program was good for these projects. As such, our effort program will result in an environment of renewable energy projects for all ratepayer California. Compared to the benefits these compensation that the Clean Energy Coalition discussed above, there was a consensus by the addressed by the Clean Energy Coalition and ensure that only personnel essential to matters. Attorney Hunt took the lead. Associate Executive Director took the lead. Lewis, the Clean Energy Coalition’s Executive Director, SCE, other parties and the Commission’s terms of using the most appropriate program.</p> <p>In terms of a time between issue there was really one overarching issue: contract to remove particular contract language projects unfinanceable for lenders. So 100% issue.</p> <p>Our efforts were aimed at ensuring program without overburdening. Time our efforts were successful, but we can very likely provide good value to compensation should be more than offset under the program. We cannot know actual monetary benefits will be under an auction program, but given the par</p>	

Commission for this program, we feel we realize good value.
 We were always ready to meet our terms and personnel for each task.
 It is very difficult to provide the comments ranged widely over various contracts and tariff language. All of our ensuring a functional RAM program, with language.

B. Specific Claim:

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD			
ATTORNEY AND ADVOCATE FEES									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Hunt	2010	18.25	\$315	D.11-10-040 ² and D.08-04-010	\$5,749				
Hunt	2011	35.5	\$330	D.11-10-040 and D.08-04-010	\$11,715				
	Subtotal:					\$17,464			
EXPERT FEES									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Craig Lewis ³	2010	4	\$170	D.08-04-010	\$680				
Craig Lewis	2011	12	\$180	D.08-04-010	\$2,160				
Ted Ko ⁴	2009	34	\$135	D.08-04-010	\$4,590				
Ted Ko	2010	9.75	\$145	D.08-04-010	\$1,414				
Ted Ko	2011	18.75	\$155	D.08-04-010	\$2,906				

² D.11-10-040 approved \$300 an hour for Hunt in 2009 and D.08-04-010 (p. 9) provides for a 5% annual increase each year within each level of experience (p. 8). **See Attachment A for resumes for each Clean Coalition staff.**

³ Lewis has 6 years experience in the renewable energy field and over a decade of experience in the telecommunications field. Lewis is the Executive Director of the Clean Coalition.

⁴ Ko is the Associate Executive Director of the Clean Coalition and has five years of experience in the renewable energy field, with previous experience in the IT field.

Sahm White ⁵	2010	47.75	\$175	D.08-04-010	\$8,356				
Sahm White	2011	27.5	\$185	D.08-04-010	\$5,088				
					Subtotal:	\$25,194			
OTHER FEES									
Describe here what OTHER HOURLY FEES you are claiming (paralegal, travel, etc.):									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
[Person 1]									
[Person 2]									
					Subtotal:			Subtotal:	
INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **									
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Hunt 1	201	9.75	\$165	D.11-10-040 D.08-04-010	\$1,609				
Hunt 2	201	4.5	\$165	D.11-10-040 D.08-04-010	\$743				
					Subtotal:	\$2,352			
COSTS									
#	Item	Detail	Amount	Amount					
			Subtotal:		Subtotal:				
			TOTAL REQUEST \$:	45,010	TOTAL AWARD \$:				
When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows as necessary.									
*If hourly rate based on CPUC decision, provide decision number; otherwise, attach rationale.									
**Reasonable claim preparation time typically compensated at 1/2 of preparer's normal hourly rate.									

C. Attachments or Comments Documenting Specific Claim (Claimant completes; attachments not attached to final Decision):

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
1	Certificate of Service
2	Time record

⁵ White has 12 years of experience in the energy and clean air field and is the Clean Coalition's Policy Director.

3	Staff resumes
4	Clean Coalition Comments on RAM Advice Letters

D. CPUC Disallowances & Adjustments (CPUC completes):

#	Reason

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the claim (see § 1804(c))

(CPUC completes the remainder of this form)

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the claim (Y/N)?

--

If so:

Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Disposition

B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (see Rule 14.6(2)(6)) (Y/N)?

--

If not:

Party	Comment	CPUC Disposition

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Claimant [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to Decision (D.) _____.
2. The claimed fees and costs [, as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The total of reasonable contribution is \$_____.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Public Utilities Code §§ 1801-1812.

ORDER

1. Claimant is awarded \$_____.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, _____ shall pay claimant the total award. Payment of the award shall include interest at the rate earned on prime,

three-month commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning _____, 200__, the 75th day after the filing of claimant's request, and continuing until full payment is made.

3. The comment period for today's decision [is/is not] waived.
4. [This/these] proceeding[s] [is/are] closed.
5. This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

VERIFICATION

I am an attorney for the Clean Coalition and in this verification, I am informed and believe the matters stated in the foregoing pleading are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is correct. Executed on December, 2011, at Santa California.

Tam

Attorney for Clean Coalition

**Attachment 1:
Certificate of Service by Customer**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing **CLAIM AND ORDER ON REQUEST FOR INTERVENOR COMPENSATION** by (check as appropriate):

- hand delivery;
- first-class mail; and/or
- electronic mail
-

to the following persons appearing on the official Service List:

cadowney@cadowneylaw.com
chris.leveriza@glacialenergy.vi
douglass@energyattorney.com
liddell@energyattorney.com
Jean-Claude.Bertet@ladwp.com
jnelson@psrec.coop
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net
jleslie@luce.com
mgml@pge.com
matthew@turn.org
info@calseia.org
rick_noger@praxair.com
stephen.burnage@gmail.com
tam.hunt@gmail.com
wplaxico@sunedison.com
lwisland@ucsusa.org
rhardy@hardyenergy.com
Andrew.Luszcz@GlacialEnergy.com
dgulino@ridgewoodpower.com
abraham.silverman@nrgenergy.com
rresch@seia.org
keith.mccrea@sablaw.com
jim_p_white@transcanada.com
JMeyer@pathfinderwind.com
tlocascio@libertypowercorp.com
JCasadont@BlueStarEnergy.com
jkern@bluestarenergy.com
mkuchera@bluestarenergy.com
eszalskowski@cleanlineenergy.com

gretchen.schott@bp.com
angela.gregory@DirectEnergy.com
kb@EnerCalUSA.com
TPhillips@TigerNaturalGas.com
kara.morgan@tac-denver.com
Thomas.Loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
pesposito@tresamigasllc.com
dsaul@pacificsolar.net
pthomsen@ormat.com
GouletCA@email.laccd.edu
kelly.cauvel@build-laccd.org
thall@laccd.edu
npedersen@hanmor.com
d.moss@renewables100.org
mmazur@3PhasesRenewables.com
susan.munves@smgov.net
adam.green@solarreserve.com
mmcdannel@lacsd.org
mmcdannel@lacsd.org
igoodman@commerceenergy.com
ej_wright@oxy.com
pssed@adelphia.net
cathy.karlstad@sce.com
kswitzer@gswater.com
cponds@ci.chula-vista.ca.us
mary@solutionsforutilities.com
AMSmith@SempraUtilities.com
DAKing@SempraGeneration.com
fortlieb@sandiego.gov

thomascorr@gmail.com
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com
kswitzer@scwater.com
GloriaB@anzaelectric.org
government.affairs@flexenergy.com
phil@reesechambers.com
andrea.morrison@directenergy.com
tom.wheeler@madera-county.com
Joe.Langenberg@gmail.com
dorth@krcd.org
craigermp@gmail.com
sue.mara@RTOadvisors.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
dil@cpuc.ca.gov
jeanne.sole@sfgov.org
norman.furuta@navy.mil
nes@a-klaw.com
whb@a-klaw.com
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com
jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com
jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com
nmurray@natureener.net
sdhilton@stoel.com
shong@goodinmacbride.com
VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com
dhuard@manatt.com
todd.edmister@bingham.com
nicole@evomarkets.com
jkarp@winston.com
edwardoneill@dwt.com
jeffgray@dwt.com
bobgex@dwt.com
stevegreenwald@dwt.com
ssmyers@att.net
robin@resource-solutions.org
gpetlin@3degreesinc.com
tam.hunt@gmail.com
kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com
jkeyes@keyesandfox.com
kfox@keyesandfox.com
sstanfield@keyesandfox.com
zeynep.erdal@ch2m.com
Patrick.VanBeek@CommercialEnergy.net

gmorris@emf.net
ndesnoo@ci.berkeley.ca.us
clyde.murley@comcast.net
tomb@crossborderenergy.com
ERasmussen@MarinEnergyAuthority.org
roy@repenenergy.com
jweil@aglet.org
maldridge@ecoact.org
janreid@coastecon.com
michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net
johnredding@earthlink.net
cdarling@placer.ca.gov
jsanders@caiso.com
kelly@votesolar.org
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
davidb@cwo.com
jmcfarland@treasurer.ca.gov
jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org
wynne@braunlegal.com
blaising@braunlegal.com
rl@eslawfirm.com
sgp@eslawfirm.com
abb@eslawfirm.com
dkk@eslawfirm.com
jjg@eslawfirm.com
lmh@eslawfirm.com
wwester@smud.org
kristin.b.burford@gmail.com
kmills@cfbf.com
rob@clfp.com
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com
peter.eichler@libertywater.com
kevin.lynch@iberdrolaren.com
jcolive@bpa.gov
mary.wiencke@pacificorp.com
pbrachvogel@cowlitzpud.org
kim.moore@argusmedia.com
Nancy.Norris@powerex.com
amber@iepa.com
freesa@thirdplanetwind.com
acitrin@prosoliana.com
brees@evomarkets.com
WBlattner@SempraUtilities.com

bryan.miller@constellation.com
ccollins@Energystrat.com
clamasbabbini@comverge.com
RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com
charlie.buck@energycenter.org
davidmorse9@gmail.com
dtownley@infiniacorp.com
Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com
cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com
ddavie@wellhead.com
esison@smud.org
ehadley@reupower.com
Erin.Grizard@BloomEnergy.com
fhall@solarelectricsolutions.com
gthomas@ecoact.org
gfishman@ecoact.org
klatt@energyattorney.com
gohara@calplg.com
hrasool@semprautilities.com
harry.singh@gs.com
HYao@SempraUtilities.com
JanMcFar@sonic.net
jpepper@svpower.com
janice@strategenconsulting.com
jbarnes@SolarLandPartners.com
jrichman@bloomenergy.com
jspears@orrick.com
kgiddens@orrick.com
sahm@fitcoalition.com
kevin.chen@trinasolar.com
ldri@pge.com
lsherman@orrick.com
lwhouse@innercite.com
Luke@SolarMonkey.net
marcusdacunha@hotmail.com
mbruce@ecoact.org
moxsen@calpine.com
mfraze@anaheim.net
mark.roberts@sunlightpartners.com
matt.miller@recurrentenergy.com
mcox@calplg.com
mpf@stateside.com
mbass@esolar.com

mdeange@smud.org
michael.wheeler@recurrentenergy.com
nedrayoung@gmail.com
nblack@calbioenergy.com
obartho@smud.org
PowerLegRegAffairs@sflower.org
roblongnecker@gmail.com
rbuckenham@calbioenergy.com
ryan.heidari@endimensions.com

seang@kroadpower.com
sean.beatty@genon.com
eddyconsulting@gmail.com
sballesteros@semprautilities.com
siobhan.doherty@frv.com
snuller@ethree.com
StephanieC@greenlining.org
steven@iepa.com
steven.zhu@trinasolar.com
tculley@keyesandfox.com
ttutt@smud.org
todd.johansen@recurrentenergy.com
tkirk@cvag.org
vjw3@pge.com
bill@cleancoalition.com
mrw@mrwassoc.com
RPSCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov
regulatory@silveradpower.com
artrivera@comcast.net
CKebler@SempraGeneration.com
cynthia.brady@constellation.com
cynthia.brady@constellation.com
Derek@AltaPowerGroup.com
pstoner@lgc.org
imcgowan@3DegreesInc.com
Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com
James.Stack@CityofPaloAlto.org
judypau@dwt.com
lmitchell@hanmor.com
m.stout@meridianenergyusa.com
martinhomec@gmail.com
mniroula@water.ca.gov
nrader@calwea.org

pblood@columbiaenergypartners.com 썬 □ η
pshaw@suntechamerica.com 썬 □ η
richard.chandler@bp.com 썬 □ η
r.raushenbush@comcast.net 썬 □ η
rwalther@pacbell.net 썬 □ η
pletkarj@bv.com 썬 □ η
SEHC@pge.com 썬 □ η
spauker@wsgr.com 썬 □ η
ted@fitcoalition.com 썬 □ η
thomase.hobson@ge.com 썬 □ η
dwtcpucdockets@dwt.com 썬 □ η
mpr-ca@coolearthsolar.com 썬 □ η
TReid@fce.com 썬 □ η
Karen.Kochonies@MorganStanley.com 썬 □

nicole.fabri@clearenergybrokerage.com 썬 □
catherine.krupka@sutherland.com 썬 □ η
alexandra.konieczny@sutherland.com 썬 □ η
tjaffe@energybusinessconsultants.com 썬 □
ron.cerniglia@directenergy.com 썬 □ η
DBodine@LibertyPowerCorp.com 썬 □ η

cswollums@midamerican.com 썬 □ η
abiecunasjp@bv.com 썬 □ η
cpacc@calpine.com 썬 □ η
echiang@elementmarkets.com 썬 □ η

jon.jacobs@paconsulting.com 썬 □ η
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com 썬 □ η
Jeff.Guldner@aps.com 썬 □ η
jenine.schenk@apses.com 썬 □ η
mark@pdsplc.com 썬 □ η
chilen@NVEnergy.com 썬 □ η
emello@sppc.com 썬 □ η
tdillard@sppc.com 썬 □ η
jgreco@terra-genpower.com 썬 □ η
bryan.schweickert@ladwp.com 썬 □ η
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com 썬 □ η
Randy.Howard@ladwp.com 썬 □ η
Jeff.Newman@bth.ca.gov 썬 □ η
albyr24@gmail.com 썬 □ η
rkeen@manatt.com 썬 □ η

csteen@fulbright.com 썬 □ η
fyanney@fulbright.com 썬 □ η
galiteva@aol.com 썬 □ η

Andrew.Wang@SolarReserve.com 썬 □ η
aayala@amonix.com 썬 □ η
gbawa@cityofpasadena.net 썬 □ η
cchang@cityofpasadena.net 썬 □ η
vpuffer@gwpenergy.com 썬 □ η
mc@amandfm.com 썬 □ η
jackmack@susec.com 썬 □ η
BJeider@ci.burbank.ca.us 썬 □ η
ylehr@ci.azusa.ca.us 썬 □ η
kholmes@trane.com 썬 □ η
case.admin@sce.com 썬 □ η
curt.roney@sce.com 썬 □ η
george.wiltsee@sce.com 썬 □ η
janice.Wang@sce.com 썬 □ η
Joni.Templeton@sce.com 썬 □ η
Laura.Genao@sce.com 썬 □ η
Melissa.Hovsepian@sce.com 썬 □ η
jennifer.shigekawa@sce.com 썬 □ η
nquan@gswater.com 썬 □ η
kswitzer@gswater.com 썬 □ η
rkmoore@gswater.com 썬 □ η
jay@trealestate.net 썬 □ η
chad@cenergypower.com 썬 □ η
rjgilleskie@san.rr.com 썬 □ η
hal@rwitz.net 썬 □ η
j.miles.cox@sbcglobal.net 썬 □ η
ggisel@indenergysolutions.com 썬 □ η
gbass@noblesolutions.com 썬 □ η
SNelson@Sempra.com 썬 □ η
TRoberts@SempraUtilities.com 썬 □ η
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com 썬 □ η
farrellytc@earthlink.net 썬 □ η
marcie.milner@shell.com 썬 □ η
andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org 썬 □ η
jpierce@semprautilities.com 썬 □ η
DNiehaus@SempraUtilities.com 썬 □ η
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com 썬 □ η
sharding@iidenergy.com 썬 □ η

fwnoble@WintecEnergy.com
jim@govlaw.com
peter.pearson@bvcs.com
CBlack@riversideca.gov
chestonem@sharpec.com
pauldonahue@ieee.org
john@deweygroup.com
cathompson@anaheim.net
cathompson@anaheim.net
JLehman@anaheim.net
msamra@anaheim.net
kerry.eden@ci.corona.ca.us
pfmoritzburke@gmail.com
Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com
tam.hunt@gmail.com
cseymour@sunedison.com
ek@a-klaw.com
eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com
info@GridX.com

nao@cpuc.ca.gov
austin.yang@sfgov.org
Dan.adler@calcef.org
mramirez@swater.org
susan.preston@calcefangelfund.com
tburke@swater.org
andre.devilbiss@recurrentenergy.com
dcover@esassoc.com
hans@silveradopower.com
luke.dunnington@recurrentenergy.com
marcel@turn.org
sam.maslin@recurrentenergy.com
regulatory_affairs@recurrentenergy.com
mcarboy@signalhill.com
avege@firstwind.com
CxAs@pge.com
CRMd@pge.com
ELL5@pge.com
ian.kalin@poweradvocate.com
jay2@pge.com
jsp5@pge.com
filings@a-klaw.com
filings@a-klaw.com

MMCL@pge.com
mginsburg@orrick.com
s1c7@pge.com
sls@a-klaw.com
spauker@wsgr.com
cmmw@pge.com
nxk2@pge.com
abrowning@votesolar.org
amartin@nextlight.com
ashianna.esmail@lw.com
fderosa@nextlight.com
jstoddard@manatt.com
jwoodruff@nextlight.com
jscancarelli@crowell.com
jared.johnson@lw.com
jpaul@naturener.net
jmalta-weingard@naturener.net
mchediak@bloomberg.net
markfumia@dwt.com
mday@goodinmacbride.com
Rachel.McMahon@firstsolar.com

tkaushik@manatt.com
Monica.Schwebs@bingham.com
katherine@evomarkets.com
lcottle@winston.com
nformosa@winston.com
tsolomon@winston.com
cem@newsdata.com
lisa_weinzimer@platts.com
atk4@pge.com
CPUCCases@pge.com
bkc7@pge.com
bari@pge.com
GXL2@pge.com
MNVA@pge.com
mrh2@pge.com
S2B9@pge.com
k1k3@pge.com
chris@emeter.com
beth@beth411.com

andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com

kowalewskia@calpine.com
barmackm@calpine.com
JChamberlin@LSPower.com
phanschen@mofo.com
masont@bv.com
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net
alex.kang@itron.com
ramonag@ebmud.com
scott.goorland@fpl.com
bepstein@fablaw.com
eschroeder@keyesandfox.com
matthew.schafer@nexteraenergy.com
nellie.tong@us.kema.com
ramesh.ramchandani@commercialenergy.net
ron.perry@commercialenergy.net
cwooten@lumenxconsulting.com
rschmidt@bartlewells.com
andykatz@sonic.net
gtrobinson@lbl.gov
ed.smeloff@sunpowercorp.com
lynn@lmaconsulting.com
tfaust@redwoodrenewables.com
paulfenn@local.org
anders.glader@elpower.com
jna@speakeasy.org
tim@marinemt.org
johnspilman@netzero.net
ed.mainland@sierraclub.org
keithwhite@earthlink.net
wem@igc.org
eric.cherniss@gmail.com
shani@scvas.org
jerry.mix@wattstopper.com
vsuravarapu@cera.com
marytuckerconsulting@mindspring.com
sberlin@mccarthylaw.com
mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov
tom_victorine@sjwater.com
mjensen@mercedid.org
davido@mid.org
joyw@mid.org
lindaf@mid.org

brbarkovich@earthlink.net
bossman_burgess@yahoo.com
dgrandy@caonsitegen.com
mjberm@davisenergy.com
rmccann@umich.edu
tobinjmr@sbcglobal.net
saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov
e-recipient@caiso.com
wylier@beutlercorp.com
brian.theaker@nrgenergy.com
rick@sierraecos.com
david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com
kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com
pmaxwell@navigantconsulting.com
Margaret.Miller@brookfieldpower.com
tpomales@arb.ca.gov
acsmith@stoel.com
tbrunello@calstrat.com

mclaughlin@braunlegal.com
cbarry@iwpnews.com
danielle@ceert.org
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com
mlowder@rcrcnet.org
bernardo@braunlegal.com
steveb@foresthealth.org
Tiffany.Roberts@lao.ca.gov
braun@braunlegal.com
aecaonline@gmail.com
cte@eslawfirm.com
cte@eslawfirm.com
rroth@smud.org
vwood@smud.org
michael@rockwood-consulting.com
lterry@water.ca.gov
hurlock@water.ca.gov
varanini@sbcglobal.net
steven@lipmanconsulting.com
karen@klindh.com
DocToxics@aol.com
dbranchcomb@spi-ind.com
cpuc@liberty-energy.com

c.mentzel@cleanenergymaui.com
mpa@a-klaw.com
rvn@a-klaw.com
Varner.Seaman@edpr.com
CaliforniaDockets@pacificorp.com
Tashiana.Wangler@PacifiCorp.com
teri.ikeda@pacificorp.com
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
castille@landsenergy.com
djurijew@capitalpower.com
john_dunn@transcanada.com
meredith_lamey@transcanada.com
spillott@capitalpower.com
mark.thompson@powerex.com
lisa.cherkas@morganstanley.com
CNL@cpuc.ca.gov
DBP@cpuc.ca.gov
mm2@cpuc.ca.gov
MWT@cpuc.ca.gov
niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov
rb3@cpuc.ca.gov
SMK@cpuc.ca.gov
TRH@cpuc.ca.gov
lgonzale@energy.state.ca.us
jmcMahon@8760energy.com
as2@cpuc.ca.gov
aes@cpuc.ca.gov
bwm@cpuc.ca.gov
clu@cpuc.ca.gov
ctd@cpuc.ca.gov
dsc@cpuc.ca.gov
iak@cpuc.ca.gov
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov
jls@cpuc.ca.gov
jzr@cpuc.ca.gov
jp6@cpuc.ca.gov
jaa@cpuc.ca.gov
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov
jmh@cpuc.ca.gov
jnr@cpuc.ca.gov
kar@cpuc.ca.gov
kho@cpuc.ca.gov
kwh@cpuc.ca.gov

mpo@cpuc.ca.gov
mrl@cpuc.ca.gov
mjs@cpuc.ca.gov
meb@cpuc.ca.gov
mc3@cpuc.ca.gov
nlr@cpuc.ca.gov
nil@cpuc.ca.gov
psd@cpuc.ca.gov
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov
rkn@cpuc.ca.gov
wtr@cpuc.ca.gov
rmd@cpuc.ca.gov
svn@cpuc.ca.gov
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov
ys2@cpuc.ca.gov
claufenb@energy.state.ca.us
cleni@energy.state.ca.us
hrait@energy.state.ca.us
kzocchet@energy.state.ca.us
mpryor@energy.state.ca.us
mjaske@energy.state.ca.us
pdoughma@energy.state.ca.us
dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us
gherrera@energy.state.ca.us
jwoodwar@energy.state.ca.us
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us

Executed this 30th day of December, 2011, at Santa Barbara,
California.



[Signature]
Tamlyn Hunt
124 W. Alamar Ave., #3
Santa Barbara CA 93105
[Printed name and address]

