

From: Tisdale, Matthew
Sent: 8/1/2012 11:30:18 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted] [Redacted]
[Redacted] [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: Re: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip Code+4 Digit Areas

Got it. We'll handle accordingly.

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2012 11:17 AM
To: Tisdale, Matthew
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip Code+4 Digit Areas

Matthew,

Please replace Attachment 8 with this file. The one I sent previously inadvertently contained customer phone numbers.

Thank you

[Redact]

-----Original Message-----

From: Tisdale, Matthew [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 10:44 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]
Subject: Re: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip Code+4 Digit Areas

Sandy, thank you very much for this data. We really appreciate the effort you put into this.

On Jul 31, 2012, at 6:01 PM, "[Redacted]" wrote:

> Hi Matthew,

>

> Attached is PG&E's response to Questions 10 through 14 providing system wide data on customer accounts by zip code+4 digit areas; and for Questions 10 through 14 for the City and County of San Francisco requested in Question 15. Also attached are PG&E's responses to Questions 16 and 17.

>

> If you have any questions, please let me know. We would be glad to walk you through the attachments including the graphic presentation of the results.

>

> [Redacted]

> PG&E
> Regulatory Relations
> Principal Regulatory Case Manager
> Redacted
> Redacted
>
>
> From: Redacted
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2012 3:59 PM
> To: 'matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov'
> Cc: Dietz, Sidney; Redacted
> Redacted
> Subject: PG&E's Response to Data Request re: Customer Accounts by Zip
> Code+4 Digit Areas
>
> Hi Matthew,
>
> Attached is PG&E's response to Questions 1 through 9 providing system wide data on customer accounts by zip
code+4 digit areas. Also attached is PG&E's response to Questions 1 through 9 for the City and County of San
Francisco requested in Question 15. We will provide our response for the remaining questions as soon as
possible.
>
> If you have any questions, please let me know.
>
> Redacted
> PG&E
> Regulatory Relations
> Principal Regulatory Case Manager
> Redacted
> Redacted
>
>
> From: Tisdale, Matthew
> [mailto:matthew.tisdale@cpuc.ca.gov]<mailto:[mailto:matthew.tisdale@cp
uc.ca.gov]>
> Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 07:35 PM
> To: Dietz, Sidney
> Subject: Data Request
>
> Sid,
>
> Could PG&E please provide the following data by July 20<x-apple-data-detectors://4>?
>
> 1. Total number of active accounts in PG&E service territory 2. Total
> number of active accounts broken into Commercial, Industrial, and
> Residential customer classes 3. Total number of Zip Code+4 digit areas
> in PG&E service territory 4. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas
> containing 15 or more active accounts (+ % of total) 5. Number of Zip
> Code+4 digit areas containing 10 to 14 active accounts (+ % of total)
> 6. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 5 to 9 active accounts
> (+ % of total) 7. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 3 or 4
> active accounts (+ % of total) 8. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas
> containing 2 active accounts (+ % of total) 9. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account (+
> % of total) 10. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 15% of the

total usage for the area.

- > 11. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 25% of the total usage for the area.
- > 12. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 50% of the total usage for the area.
- > 13. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with usage that exceeds 75% of the total usage for the area.
- > 14. Number of Zip Code+4 digit areas containing 1 active account with
- > usage that equals 100% of the total usage for the area. (A graph might accomplish #s10-14 effectively) 15. To narrow the search from the whole service territory to urban centers, please repeat 1-15 for the City and County of San Francisco.
- > 16. Please explain other filters or screens available in the active account database. Please provide a list of available alternatives to Zip Code+4 built into the IOU's database of active accounts. For example, can the database filter by county, city, census tract, block number area, zip code, area code, etc.?
- > 17. To assist the Commission in understanding the database of active accounts and how customer energy usage data is organized, please provide a slice of the database in excel format. The slice should include all available fields and include at least 10 active accounts. If anonymizing the information eases or expedites a response, please do so.
- >
- > If you have any questions about this data request, please let me know at your earliest convenience.
- >
- > Thank you,
- >
- > Matthew
- >
- > _____
- > PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
- > To learn more, please visit
- > <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>
- >
- > <EnergyEfficiencyOIR-Post-2008_DR_CPUC_001_Q01-Q17_partial02.docx>
- > <Attachment 1_Q10-Q14 System.docx>
- > <Attachment 2_Q10-Q14 SF.docx>
- > <Attachment 3_Q10-Q14 Graph - Elec.xlsx> <Attachment 4_Q10-Q14 Graph
- > - Gas.xlsx> <Attachment 5_Q10-Q14 Graph - ElecSF.xlsx> <Attachment 6_
- > Q10-Q14 Graph - GasSF.xlsx> <Attachment 7_Q16 Variable list.xlsx>
- > <Attachment 8_Q17 Sample Data.xlsx>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.

To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>