

From: Gupta, Alope
Sent: 9/13/2012 2:14:29 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Villarreal, Christopher (christopher.villarreal@cpuc.ca.gov); Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E / Alope - HAN update

Amy, Sid:
Thanks for coming over and giving us an update.

We have some quick follow up questions.

Control4 IHD has been already validated via the pilot. Are there any devices that have previously been validated (in the sense used in the draft resolution - DR) or are being used in the pilot? Is there any reason why Control4 and (other devices used in pilot, if any) could not be "listed" (in the sense used in DR) sooner than Feb 1 date (by which you expect to select the 5 devices from the RFI process)? Do the vendors of the pilot devices wish to be "listed" as retail options for customers?

In the July RFI, it states that PG&E will "announce" RFI results (presumably the selection of the 5 devices) in Dec 2012. So, does the Feb. 1 represent a delay with respect to that goal?

Is there still a plan to hold a CA zigfest?

Please let us know soon.

Thanks.
Alope

From: Gupta, Alope
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: 'Dietz, Sidney'
Subject: RE: PG&E / Alope - HAN update

Amy:

Thanks. Let's do the meeting here. We can end at 2:30. If you like, we can start at 1p, or meet 11-12:30, if either works for you. Let me know if you decide on either variation.

In terms of topics, just to recap:

- update on 500 home pilot
- update on rollout of HAN implementation plan, including
 - * explanation of schedule change (if we read it properly)
 - * RFI and device testing plans

* collaboration with other IOUs as applicable
- alignment (or differences) with respect to E-4527 draft resolution

Thanks.

Aloke Gupta
California Public Utilities Commission
O: 415.703.5239
aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Redacted
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 1:36 PM
To: Gupta, Aloke
Subject: RE: PG&E / Aloke - HAN update

Hi Aloke,

I don't believe we set a location in the initial email(s), however I talked to the team and it looks like most people have a 3:00 meeting scheduled. I would suggest we either leave the meeting at the GO for the full 1.5 hours or set the meeting up at the CPUC for an hour (to end at 2:30). Please let me know what you prefer.

Thanks,

Amy

From: Gupta, Aloke [<mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>]
Sent: Sunday, September 09, 2012 11:02 PM
To: Redacted
Subject: RE: PG&E / Aloke - HAN update

Amy:

I am sorry, but it seems there was a misunderstanding. In my initial email, I believe I had suggested meeting here at CPUC, since I expect to have others present. But I just noticed that the meeting invite is for PG&E HQ. Would the same timeslot work for your team if meeting is at CPUC?

Aloke

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 4:38 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]; Ryan, Patrick; Gupta, Aloke; [Redacted]
Cc: Ho, Nick
Subject: PG&E / Aloke - HAN update
When: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 1:30 PM-3:00 PM.
Where: CR 301, 77 Beale

Updated with Conf Room

Aloke – I'll meet you in the Beale lobby at 1:30

Update on the pilot and the general progress in rolling out the HAN implementation plan, including the RFI process.

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>