

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 9/21/2012 1:51:04 PM  
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Petlin, Gabriel (gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Caron, Jennifer (jennifer.caron@cpuc.ca.gov); Benjamin, Robert (robert.benjamin@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

Gabe, Bob and Jennifer,

I propose an hour during the afternoon of Monday, October 1, between 2 and 5. Is there a good hour for you in there?

[Redacted]

**From:** Petlin, Gabriel [mailto:gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 21, 2012 10:26 AM  
**To:** [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney  
**Cc:** Benjamin, Robert; Caron, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

[Redacted]

I am tentatively attending the PG&E 2012 RDW hearing at that time, so 9/28 would be preferable and is wide open. Perhaps you can propose an alternative time and let Bob and Jennifer respond?

We look forward to the discussion.

**Gabe Petlin**

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch| Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | [gpl@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gpl@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Redacted  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 20, 2012 4:36 PM  
**To:** Petlin, Gabriel; Dietz, Sidney  
**Cc:** Benjamin, Robert; Caron, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

Gabe,

Thanks. We are discussing and consulting ourselves. We would like to get some clarifications on some of the recommendations. May we meet with your team for an hour between 1 and 3 on Thursday September 27?

Redacted

Sr. Policy Analyst

Pacific Gas and Electric

Redacted

**From:** Petlin, Gabriel [<mailto:gabriel.petlin@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 17, 2012 5:04 PM  
**To:** [Redacted] Dietz, Sidney  
**Cc:** Benjamin, Robert; Caron, Jennifer  
**Subject:** Energy Division Requested Changes to PG&E RCES

Hello [Redact] and Sid,

Attached, later than I had hoped, are Energy Division's requested changes to PG&E's RCES (Advice Letter 2204-G / 4052-E). We discussed the RCES at length and consulted with Business and Community Outreach to arrive at these recommendations. The RCES is an important improvement over the current statement. We would like PG&E to address these additional changes in a follow-up supplemental AL. I am open to holding a meeting and/or teleconference with your team to discuss these changes. If you wish to have that discussion please schedule it with the CPUC staff on this email. We intend to dismiss the June 20<sup>th</sup> protest of DRA, but have not yet formally done so. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

**Gabe Petlin**

Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division | Demand-Side Analysis Branch | Retail Rate Design

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave | San Francisco CA 94102

415-703-1677 | [gp1@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gp1@cpuc.ca.gov)

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy.  
To learn more, please visit <http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/>